

Exhibit C

Graham Pechenik

From: Matt Korhonen
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2012 8:04 PM
To: Graham Pechenik
Subject: FW: Motorola v. Apple (SDFL): Mobility discovery responses

From: Marshall Searcy
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 9:50 PM
To: 'DiMuzio, Elena'
Cc: 'Ho, Jill'; 'AppleCov'; 'Matthew.Powers@tensegritylawgroup.com'; 'Steven.Cherensky@tensegritylawgroup.com'; 'Apple Moto Weil'; 'emullins@astidavis.com'; Moto-Apple-SDFL
Subject: RE: Motorola v. Apple (SDFL): Mobility discovery responses

Elena,

Motorola's position with regard to Interrogatory No. 12 continues to be the same as we discussed in December. We believe Interrogatory No. 12 seeks irrelevant information, and would impose an undue and unnecessary burden on Motorola. And while, as I stated in my December 23 e-mail on the subject, Motorola is open to further suggestions on dealing with these problems, at this point they haven't been addressed and we do not intend to supplement.

From: DiMuzio, Elena [<mailto:edimuzio@cov.com>]
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 2:45 PM
To: Marshall Searcy
Cc: Ho, Jill; AppleCov; Matthew.Powers@tensegritylawgroup.com; Steven.Cherensky@tensegritylawgroup.com; Apple Moto Weil; emullins@astidavis.com; Moto-Apple-SDFL
Subject: RE: Motorola v. Apple (SDFL): Mobility discovery responses

Marshall,

I understand that in the meet-and-confer yesterday you stated that Motorola does not intend to supplement its current response to Interrogatory 12. Please confirm. If this is Motorola's position, we will be addressing this in our motion to compel Motorola's response to Interrogatory 12.

Best Regards,
Elena.

From: Ho, Jill [<mailto:jill.ho@weil.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 6:30 PM
To: Cathleen Garrigan; AppleCov; Matthew.Powers@tensegritylawgroup.com; Steven.Cherensky@tensegritylawgroup.com; Apple Moto Weil; emullins@astidavis.com; Moto-Apple-SDFL
Subject: RE: Motorola v. Apple (SDFL): Mobility discovery responses

Hi Cathleen,

Based on my agreement with Ben, as documented in his November 21, 2011 letter and as modified by subsequent discussions with you and Marshall, we were expecting Motorola to supplement its responses for Interrogatory Nos. 1, 3, 7, 9, 11-13, and 16-22 by today. (Indeed, our original agreement was to exchange responses over a month ago, on December 16.) It appears, however, that Motorola only served supplemental interrogatory responses for Nos. 1, 3, 9, 11, 16 and 17.

Please let me know when you are available to meet and confer about this issue tomorrow.

Best regards,
Jill

From: Cathleen Garrigan [<mailto:cathleengarrigan@quinnemanuel.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 6:02 PM
To: AppleCov; Matthew.Powers@tensegritylawgroup.com; Steven.Cherensky@tensegritylawgroup.com; Apple Moto Weil; emullins@astidavis.com; Moto-Apple-SDFL
Subject: Motorola v. Apple (SDFL): Mobility discovery responses

Jill,

Please see the attached documents.

Best,
Cathleen

Cathleen Garrigan
Associate,
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP

50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
415-875-6341 Direct
415.875.6600 Main Office Number
415.875.6700 FAX
cathleengarrigan@quinnemanuel.com
www.quinnemanuel.com

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

The information contained in this email message is intended only for use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by email, postmaster@weil.com, and destroy the original message. Thank you.