

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 11-23580-CIV-SCOLA/BANDSTRA

MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

---

APPLE INC.,

Counterclaim Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA, INC., and  
MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,

Counterclaim Defendants.

---

**ORDER**

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc.'s Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories Nos. 7 and 12 Regarding Products Embodying Motorola's Asserted Patents (D.E. 225) filed on January 31, 2012. Upon review of this motion, the response and reply thereto, the court file and applicable law, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc.'s Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories Nos. 7 and 12 is GRANTED, the Court overruling Motorola's objections thereto. Specifically, the Court finds that the requested information in Interrogatory No. 12 is relevant and/or likely to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence. See e.g., Leader Technologies Inc. v. Facebook Inc., 2009 WL 3021158 (D.Del. 2009) (requiring the disclosure of all products or services that embody any of the asserted claims of the patent in suit and identification of which claims the product practice.) Likewise, the Court finds that information sought in Interrogatory No. 7 is relevant and not overly broad. Accordingly, Motorola shall supplement its answers to these interrogatories by providing all non-privileged information within ten (10) days of the date of this Order.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, at Miami, Florida this 24<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2012.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ted E. Bandstra', is written over a horizontal line.

Ted E. Bandstra  
United States Magistrate Judge

Copies furnished to:  
Honorable Robert N. Scola  
Counsel of record