

EXHIBIT C

Schmidt, Jill

From: Schmidt, Jill
Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 8:44 PM
To: 'Cathleen Garrigan'; Moto-Apple-SDFL
Cc: Weil_TLG Apple Moto FL External; AppleCov@cov.com
Subject: RE: Apple/Motorola (FL): motion for leave to file amended answer
Attachments: REDLINE Amended Answer.pdf

Counsel,

Please see the attached redline.

Best regards,
Jill

From: Cathleen Garrigan [mailto:cathleengarrigan@quinnemanuel.com]
Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 5:50 PM
To: Schmidt, Jill; Moto-Apple-SDFL
Cc: Weil_TLG Apple Moto FL External; AppleCov@cov.com
Subject: RE: Apple/Motorola (FL): motion for leave to file amended answer

Jill,

It is not clear to us what you are referring to. Please send us a redline.

Best,
Cathleen

From: Schmidt, Jill [mailto:jill.schmidt@weil.com]
Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 1:53 PM
To: Cathleen Garrigan; Moto-Apple-SDFL
Cc: Weil_TLG Apple Moto FL External; AppleCov@cov.com
Subject: RE: Apple/Motorola (FL): motion for leave to file amended answer

Hi Cathleen,

The language we intend to use for our amended answer will be identical to the language used in our answer to Motorola's complaint in the second FL action, which was filed earlier today.

Best regards,
Jill

From: Cathleen Garrigan [mailto:cathleengarrigan@quinnemanuel.com]
Sent: Friday, March 09, 2012 12:15 PM
To: Schmidt, Jill; Moto-Apple-SDFL
Cc: Weil_TLG Apple Moto FL External; AppleCov@cov.com
Subject: RE: Apple/Motorola (FL): motion for leave to file amended answer

Jill,

In order to evaluate Apple's request, we will need to see Apple's proposed amended answer. Additionally, our team is traveling today so it will not be possible for us to review the proposed amended answer by the close of business today.

Best,
Cathleen

From: Schmidt, Jill [mailto:jill.schmidt@weil.com]
Sent: Friday, March 09, 2012 9:53 AM
To: Cathleen Garrigan; Moto-Apple-SDFL
Cc: Weil_TLG Apple Moto FL External; AppleCov@cov.com
Subject: Apple/Motorola (FL): motion for leave to file amended answer

Hi Cathleen,

As we discussed the other day, Apple is contemplating amending its answer to provide more specific details regarding its indirect infringement theories. Please let me know by COB today whether Motorola will oppose our motion for leave to file an amended pleading.

Best regards,
Jill



Jill Schmidt (née Ho)

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065-1134
jill.schmidt@weil.com
+1 650 802 3163 Direct
+1 650 802 3100 Fax

The information contained in this email message is intended only for use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by email, postmaster@weil.com, and destroy the original message. Thank you.