## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 1:10cv023580-Civ-RNS

MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

APPLE INC.,

Counterclaim Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA, INC. and
MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,

Counterclaim Defendants.

ORDER ALLOWING APPLE TO FILE AMENDED ANSWER; VACATING ORDER STRIKING MOTOROLA'S SUPPLEMENTAL INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS; AND DIRECTING THE PARTIES TO MEET-AND-CONFER REGARDING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer [ECF No. 279], submitted by Apple, Inc. The Court held a hearing concerning this Motion and related matters on April 19, 2012. Upon consideration of the parties' written submissions and argument from the hearing, it is hereby **ORDERED and ADJUDGED** as follows:

- 1. Apple's Motion [ECF No. 279] is **GRANTED**. Apple shall file its Amended Answer in this case forthwith.
- 2. The Court also vacates Judge Ungaro's Order Striking Motorola's Supplemental Infringement Contentions [ECF No. 198]. The Court finds that Motorola should, in fairness, be permitted to supplement its infringement contentions in this case. Motorola shall submit them forthwith.

3. Within <u>twenty</u> <u>days</u>, the parties are directed to meet-and-confer regarding an

appropriate amended procedural schedule for this case, in light of this Order. After meeting-and-

conferring, the parties shall notify the Court as to their agreement on a proposed amended

procedural schedule for this 2010 case. If there is full agreement on such a proposal, the Court

will adopt it. If the parties are unable to fully agree, they are directed to notify the Court as to

what they do and do not agree upon and the Court will thereafter schedule a brief hearing to rule

upon any disputed matters.

4. The parties shall separately discuss whether or not this case and the 2012 case

should be consolidated in whole or in part, for discovery purposes only, or for any other limited

purpose. Upon such discussions, the parties shall notify the Court of whether they have come to

an agreement, which the Court may adopt, or whether they disagree and why. To the extent

there is disagreement, the Court can take up the matter at a future hearing, as necessary.

**DONE and ORDERED** in chambers at Miami, Florida on April 19, 2012.

ROBERT N. SCOLA, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies to:
Counsel of record
U.S. Mag. Judge Bandstra