

EXHIBIT 7

From: Schmidt, Jill
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 2:22 PM
To: Marshall Searcy; Moto-Apple-SDFL (Moto-Apple-SDFL@quinnemanuel.com)
Cc: Weil_TLG Apple Moto FL External
Subject: RE: Apple/Motorola (FL): meet and confer

Hi Marshall,

Further to my email below, this is the language we propose for our agreement regarding unreleased products:

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant Motorola Mobility, Inc. ("Motorola") and Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff Apple, Inc. ("Apple") hereby stipulate that discovery regarding unreleased products in the above-captioned litigation shall be limited to products that will be announced prior to **XXX**.

Let's schedule a call for later this week to discuss a mutually agreeable cutoff date.

Best regards,
Jill

From: Schmidt, Jill
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2012 8:01 PM
To: 'Marshall Searcy'; Moto-Apple-SDFL (Moto-Apple-SDFL@quinnemanuel.com)
Cc: Weil_TLG Apple Moto FL External
Subject: Apple/Motorola (FL): meet and confer

Hi Marshall,

I write to memorialize our meet and confer from earlier today. With respect to document production, we agreed that Apple will produce its documents as OCR'ed pdfs and Motorola will produce its documents as single-page TIFFs, but both sides will simply keep their copies of documents produced in the FL-1 action rather than re-producing everything again. Since Motorola did not previously specify which documents it produced for the FL-1 action with a different prefix, please identify those documents by Bates range. As for metadata fields, Apple would prefer to stick with the same fields as the other Apple/Motorola cases if HTC is no longer involved. With respect to documents produced in other Apple/Motorola cases, Apple is amenable to extending our cross-use agreement. You are double-checking with your team and will get back to me with any objections.

With regard to discovery limits, we agreed that the following limits would apply to the consolidated FL cases, with the understanding that either party may serve discovery (within these limits) that pertain to patents asserted in the FL-1 action as well as the FL-2 action:

- 30 Interrogatories
- 125 RFPs
- 100 RFAs
- 90 hours of deposition for fact/30(b)(6) witnesses (experts or third-party witnesses do not count towards this limit)

As for our agreement regarding unreleased products, we agreed that we likely need to craft a new agreement for the consolidated FL cases since my recollection was that our previously agreed cutoff date was the 745 trial and both Apple and Motorola have already accused products released since that date.

Finally, on financial data, we agreed in principle that an exchange of representative or summary data would be more efficient for both sides. We agreed to check with our respective teams to see what exchange was made in the NDIL case, so we can use that as a starting point for further discussions.

Please let me know if scheduling another call for early next week would be useful.

Best regards,
Jill



Jill Schmidt (née Ho)

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065-1134
jill.schmidt@weil.com
+1 650 802 3163 Direct
+1 650 802 3100 Fax

From: Marshall Searcy [<mailto:marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com>]
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2012 10:21 AM
To: Schmidt, Jill
Subject: conference call number

Hi Jill,
Here's the number for today

866-939-8416
Passcode: 518165

Speak to you at 11.