EXHIBIT F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

APPLE INC.,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 10-CV-662

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MOTOROLA, INC. and MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, Apple Inc. ("Apple"), by its undersigned counsel, for its complaint against Defendants Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc. (collectively "Motorola"), alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Apple is a California corporation having its principal place of business at 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, California, 95014.

2. On information and belief, Motorola, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 1303 East Algonquin Road, Schaumburg, Illinois 60196.

3. On information and belief, Motorola Mobility, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Motorola, Inc. organized under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 600 North U.S. Highway 45, Libertyville, Illinois 60048.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This action for patent infringement arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction under Wis. Stats. § 801.05.

6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b).

THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS

7. The Accused Products are mobile devices, such as smartphones, and associated software, including operating systems, user interfaces, and other application software designed for use on, and loaded onto, such devices. Upon information and belief, these products are manufactured, marketed and/or sold by Motorola in the United States. At least the following mobile devices infringe one or more claims of one or more of the Asserted Patents: Droid, Droid 2, Droid X, Cliq, Cliq XT, BackFlip, Devour A555, Devour i1, and Charm.¹

THE ASSERTED PATENTS

Apple is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest to and in U.S.
 Patent No. 7,479,949, entitled "Touch Screen Device, Method, and Graphical User
 Interface for Determining Commands by Applying Heuristics," issued on January 20,
 2009, to inventors Steven P. Jobs, Scott Forstall, Greg Christie, Stephen O. Lemay, Scott
 Herz, Marcel van Os, Bas Ording, Gregory Novick, Wayne C. Westerman, Imran

¹ The aforementioned are not intended to exclusively define or otherwise limit the categories of Accused Products. Apple expects that Motorola will introduce additional products in the future that will also infringe the Asserted Patents.

Chaudhri, Patrick Lee Coffman, Kenneth Kocienda, Nitin K. Ganatra, Freddy Allen
Anzures, Jeremy A. Wyld, Jeffrey Bush, Michael Matas, Paul D. Marcos, Charles J.
Pisula, Virgil Scott King, Chris Blumenberg, Francisco Ryan Tolmasky, Richard
Williamson, Andre M. J. Boule, and Henri C. Lamiraux. The '949 patent issued from
U.S. Patent Application No. 12/101,832, filed on April 11, 2008, which was a
continuation of U.S. Application No. 11/850,635, filed on September 5, 2007, which
claims priority to Provisional Application No. 60/937,993, filed on June 29, 2007,
Provisional Application No. 60/937,991, filed on June 29, 2007, Provisional Application
No. 60/879,469, filed on January 8, 2007, Provisional Application No. 60/879,253, filed
on January 7, 2007, and Provisional Application No. 60/824,769, filed on September 6,
2006. A true and correct copy of the '949 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit
A.

9. Apple is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest to and in U.S. Patent No. 6,493,002, entitled "Method and Apparatus for Displaying and Accessing Control and Status Information in a Computer System," issued on December 10, 2002, to inventor Steven W. Christensen. The '002 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application No. 08/821,004, filed on March 20, 1997, which was a continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 08/316,237, filed on September 30, 1994. A true and correct copy of the '002 patent is attached to this Complaint as **Exhibit B**.

Apple is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest to and in U.S.
 Patent No. 5,838,315, entitled "Support for Custom User-Interaction Elements in a
 Graphical, Event-Driven Computer System," issued on November 17, 1998, to inventors
 Timothy J. Craycroft and Robert R. Ulrich. The '315 patent issued from U.S. Patent

Application No. 977,059, filed on November 24, 1997, which was a continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 593,171, filed on February 1, 1996. A true and correct copy of the '315 patent is attached to this Complaint as **Exhibit C**.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,479,949

11. Apple incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 10 above as if fully set forth herein.

12. On information and belief, Motorola has infringed and continues to infringe, contributorily infringe and/or induce infringement of one or more claims of the '949 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), (c) and/or (g), either directly or indirectly, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering for sale and selling in the United States and by importing in to the United States, without authority, mobile devices and related software including but not limited to the Droid, Droid 2, Droid X, Cliq, Cliq XT, BackFlip, Devour A555, Devour i1, and Charm.

13. Motorola directly infringes and/or will infringe the '949 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and importing the mobile devices and related software practicing the claimed inventions of the '949 patent. Moreover, Motorola is aware of the '949 patent, at least because Motorola was provided with a copy of this Complaint upon its filing. Motorola indirectly infringes the '949 patent by knowingly inducing the infringement of these patents by end users of its mobile devices. Further, on information and belief, Motorola contributes to the infringement of the '949 patent because Motorola knows that its mobile devices are made for use in infringement and are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.

14. Motorola's infringing activities have caused and will continue to cause Apple irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law, unless Motorola's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 283.

15. Apple has been and continues to be damaged by Motorola's infringement of the '949 patent in an amount to be determined at trial.

16. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement of the '949 patent is willful and deliberate, and justifies an increase in damages of up to three times in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284.

17. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement of the '949 patent is exceptional and entitles Apple to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,493,002

18. Apple incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 10 above as if fully set forth herein.

19. On information and belief, Motorola has infringed and continues to infringe, contributorily infringe and/or induce infringement of one or more claims of the '002 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), (c) and/or (g), either directly or indirectly, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering for sale and selling in the United States and by importing in to the United States, without authority, mobile devices and related software including but not limited to the Droid, Droid 2, Droid X, Cliq, Cliq XT, BackFlip, Devour A555, Devour i1, and Charm.

20. Motorola directly infringes and/or will infringe the '002 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and importing the mobile devices and related software practicing the claimed inventions of the '002 patent. Moreover, Motorola is aware of

the '002 patent, at least because Motorola was provided with a copy of this Complaint upon its filing. Motorola indirectly infringes the '002 patent by knowingly inducing the infringement of these patents by end users of its mobile devices. Further, on information and belief, Motorola contributes to the infringement of the '002 patent because Motorola knows that its mobile devices are made for use in infringement and are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.

21. Motorola's infringing activities have caused and will continue to cause Apple irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law, unless Motorola's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 283.

22. Apple has been and continues to be damaged by Motorola's infringement of the '002 patent in an amount to be determined at trial.

23. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement of the '002 patent is willful and deliberate, and justifies an increase in damages of up to three times in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284.

24. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement of the '002 patent is exceptional and entitles Apple to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,838,315

25. Apple incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 10 above as if fully set forth herein.

26. On information and belief, Motorola has infringed and continues to infringe, contributorily infringe and/or induce infringement of one or more claims of the '315 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), (c) and/or (g), either directly or indirectly, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering for

sale and selling in the United States and by importing in to the United States, without authority, mobile devices and related software including but not limited to the Droid, Droid 2, Droid X, Cliq, Cliq XT, BackFlip, Devour A555, Devour i1, and Charm.

27. Motorola directly infringes and/or will infringe the '315 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and importing the mobile devices and related software practicing the claimed inventions of the '315 patent. Moreover, Motorola is aware of the '315 patent, at least because Motorola was provided with a copy of this Complaint upon its filing. Motorola indirectly infringes the '315 patent by knowingly inducing the infringement of these patents by end users of its mobile devices. Further, on information and belief, Motorola contributes to the infringement of the '315 patent because Motorola knows that its mobile devices are made for use in infringement and are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.

28. Motorola's infringing activities have caused and will continue to cause Apple irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law, unless Motorola's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 283.

29. Apple has been and continues to be damaged by Motorola's infringement of the '315 patent in an amount to be determined at trial.

30. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement of the '315 patent is willful and deliberate, and justifies an increase in damages of up to three times in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284.

31. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement of the '315 patent is exceptional and entitles Apple to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

32. Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Apple demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

33. WHEREFORE, Apple respectfully prays for relief as follows:

(a) A judgment that Motorola has directly infringed, induced infringement, and/or contributed to the infringement of one or more claims of each of the '949, '002, and '315 patents;

(b) A judgment permanently enjoining Motorola and its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, affiliates, attorneys, and all others acting in privity or in concert with them, and their parents, subsidiaries, divisions, successors and assigns, from further acts of infringement, contributory infringement, or inducement of infringement of the Asserted Patents;

(c) A judgment awarding Apple all damages adequate to compensate
 for Motorola's infringement, and in no event less than a reasonable royalty for
 Motorola's acts of infringement, including all pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at
 the maximum rate permitted by law;

(d) A judgment that Motorola's various acts of infringement have been willful and deliberate, and therefore, that Apple is entitled to up to treble damages as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284;

(e) A judgment that Motorola's willful infringement renders this an exceptional case entitling Apple to an award of its attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action, together with interest, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

(f) Such other relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

Dated: October 29, 2010

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ James Donald Peterson James Donald Peterson (Wis. Bar No. 1022819) jpeterson@gklaw.com GODFREY & KAHN, S.C. One East Main Street, Suite 500 P.O. Box 2719 Madison, WI 53701-2719 Telephone: (608) 257-3911 Facsimile: (608) 257-0609

Of Counsel:

Matthew D. Powers <u>matthew.powers@weil.com</u> Steven S. Cherensky <u>steven.cherensky@weil.com</u> Jill J. Ho <u>jill.ho@weil.com</u> WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100

Mark G. Davis <u>mark.davis@weil.com</u> WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 1300 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 682-7000 Facsimile: (202) 857-0940

Patricia Young patricia.young@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Plaintiff Apple Inc.