

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO.: 1:10-CV-23580-UU

_____)
MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
APPLE INC.,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)
APPLE INC.,)
)
Counterclaim-Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
MOTOROLA, INC. and)
MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,)
)
Counterclaim-Defendants.)
_____)

**DECLARATION OF CHRISTINE SAUNDERS HASKETT
IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIM-PLAINTIFF
APPLE INC.'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

I, Christine Saunders Haskett, hereby declare:

1. I am a partner with the law firm of Covington & Burling LLP, counsel of record for Apple Inc. (“Apple”) in the above-captioned matter. The matters referenced in this declaration are based on personal knowledge and if called as a witness I could, and would, testify competently to these matters.

2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the June 20, 1997 amendment from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 5,754,119.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Allowability from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 5,754,119.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of excerpts of the March 7, 2010 Request for Ex Parte Reexamination Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 302 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.510, of reexam number 09/010,891 (reexam of U.S. Patent No. 6,101,531).

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of the Order Granting Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of the ’531 patent, dated May 10, 2010, of reexam number 09/010,891.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of Notice of Intent to Issue Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, dated September 20, 2010, of reexam number 09/010,891.

7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of the May 21, 1996 Office Action from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 5,710,987.

8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of the July 25, 1996 Amendment After Final and Response from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 5,710,987.

9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of the June 2, 1995 Amendment and Response from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 5,710,987.
10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of the August 31, 1995 Office Action from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 5,710,987.
11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of the March 4, 1996 Amendment and Response from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 5,710,987.
12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of the definition of “address” from Webster’s Third New International Dictionary (unabridged) (1961 ed.).
13. Attached hereto as Exhibit 12 is a true and correct copy of the definition of “address” from the IBM Dictionary of Computing (1994 ed.).
14. Attached hereto as Exhibit 13 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,577,100.
15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 14 is a true and correct copy of the August 17, 1998 Amendment from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 6,008,737.
16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 15 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,335,278.
17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 16 is a true and correct copy of the September 13, 1999 Notice of Allowability from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 6,008,737.
18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 17 is a true and correct copy of the November 9, 1998 Amendment from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 6,008,737.
19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 18 is a true and correct copy of the January 20, 1999 Amendment from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 6,008,737.

20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 19 is a true and correct copy of the May 9, 1995 Amendment from the patent prosecution file history of U.S. Patent No. 5,594,509.

21. Attached hereto as Exhibit 20 is a true and correct copy of the definition of “move” from the Concise Oxford English Dictionary (11th ed. 2004).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on June 28, 2011 at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Christine Saunders Haskett

Christine Saunders Haskett