## ATTACHMENT G

## EXHIBIT D

```
Page 555
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
2
                  CASE NO. 11-20427-WILLIAMS/TURNOFF
3
     DISNEY ENTERPRISES,
     INC., TWENTIETH CENTURY
     FOX FILM CORPORATION,
     UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS
     PRODUCTIONS LLLP,
     COLUMBIA PICTURES
     INDUSTRIES, INC., and
     WARNER BROS.
     ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,
9
                Plaintiff,
10
     v.
11
     HOTFILE CORP., ANTON
     TITOV, and DOES 1-10,
12
13
                Defendants.
14
15
     HOTFILE CORP.,
16
                Counterclaimant,
17
     v.
18
     WARNER BROS ENTERTAINMENT
     INC.,
19
                Counterdefendant.
20
                                VOLUME IV
21
                  HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
              (Pursuant to protective order, the following
22
         transcript has been designated highly confidential)
23
                   30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF ANTON TITOV
                           Radisson Blu Hotel
24
                            Sofia, Bulgaria
                       Thursday, December 8, 2011
25
                           AT:
                                9:10 a.m.
    Job #44430
```

Page 637

- Q. Does Hotfile have a Twitter account?
- $^4$  A. I believe so.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Okay. What's the Twitter account name? What's the
- 6 handle?
- Maybe I can help you, it's not a memory game. Is it
- 8 "hotfile\_site"?
- 9 A. Maybe. I can't remember.
- 0. And who tweets through that Hotfile Twitter account?
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection, vague.
- MR. FABRIZIO: Maybe to you, but undoubtedly not to him.
- 13 A. That would be Mr. Ianakov.
- 14 BY MR. FABRIZIO:
- Q. Anybody else?
- 16 A. I don't believe so.
- $^{17}$  Q. Okay. And is he -- is that part of -- is that something
- he's permitted to do as part of his job responsibility
- 19 for Hotfile?
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection, assumes facts.
- A. I have impression that Mr. allowed him to do
- so, yes.

Page 674

- Q. Oh. Oh, there we go. So this is a true and correct copy of the Hotfile FAQ page as it appeared on the
- Hotfile website in the July 2010 timeframe?
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion, and
- I assume you mean excluding the court stamps and the
- 15 like?
- MR. FABRIZIO: Yes.
- 17 A. I don't have any reasons to believe it is not.
- 18 BY MR. FABRIZIO:
- 0. Okay. Are you aware that the -- strike that.
- Did the FAQ page change between July of 2010
- and February of 2011?
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection, overbroad and vague.
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 BY MR. FABRIZIO:
- Q. Okay. If you look at the third page of the exhibit,

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 675

- it's the one in the upper right marked "Page 4 of 5"
- there, under the question -- well, first of all, who
- wrote the questions and answers to the Hotfile FAQ?
- <sup>4</sup> A. I believe it would be Andre Ianakov.
- <sup>5</sup> O. Did you review them?
- 6 A. No, I did not.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Okay. Did you have to approve them?
- 8 A. No.
- $^{9}$  Q. He was perfectly within his rights to write these and
- put them up on the website?
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection, vague and ambiguous, calls for
- a legal conclusion.
- 13 A. You mean since he's the one who is responding to the
- query in the email, he's really the person who would
- know what frequently questions are in reality, so
- I think he was being given this task.

