EXHIBIT 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC.,
TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM
CORPORATION, UNIVERSAL CITY
STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS LLLP,
COLUMBIA PICTURES INDUSTRIES,
INC., and WARNER BROS.
ENTERTAINMENT INC.,

Plaintiffs,

CASE NO.

vs.

11-20427-WILLIAMS-TURNOFF

HOTFILE CORP., ANTON TITOV, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.

CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAVID P. KAPLAN, ESQUIRE

PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE 30(b)(6)

Los Angeles, California

Tuesday, December 13, 2011

Volume 1

Reported by: LORI SCINTA, RPR CSR No. 4811

Job No. 177476B

```
Page 2
 1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
 3
 4
     DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC.,
     TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM
 5
     CORPORATION, UNIVERSAL CITY
     STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS LLLP,
 6
     COLUMBIA PICTURES INDUSTRIES,
     INC., and WARNER BROS.
 7
     ENTERTAINMENT INC.,
              Plaintiffs,
 8
                                CASE NO.
 9
                                11-20427-WILLIAMS-TURNOFF
          vs.
10
     HOTFILE CORP., ANTON TITOV,
     and DOES 1-10,
11
              Defendants.
12
     AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.
13
14
15
           CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
              Videotaped deposition of DAVID P. KAPLAN,
16
17
     ESQUIRE, Volume 1, pursuant to Federal Rule 30(b)(6),
     taken on behalf of Defendants and Counterclaimant,
18
19
     at 633 West Fifth Street, Los Angeles, California,
20
     beginning at 2:18 P.M. and ending at 4:58 P.M. on
21
     Tuesday, December 13, 2011, before LORI SCINTA, RPR,
22
     Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 4811.
23
24
25
```

```
Page 3
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2.
 3
     For Plaintiffs:
 4
          JENNER & BLOCK LLP
 5
          BY: STEVEN B. FABRIZIO
          Attorney at Law
 6
          1099 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 900
          Washington, D.C. 20001-4412
 7
          202.639.6000
          Email: sfabrizio@jenner.com
 8
 9
     For Defendants and Counterclaimant:
10
11
          FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP
          BY: EVAN M. ENGSTROM
12
          Attorney at Law
          235 Montgomery Street
13
          San Francisco, California 94104
          415.954.4400
14
          Email: eengstrom@fbm.com
15
16
     Videographer:
17
18
          VONYARN MASON
          SARNOFF COURT REPORTERS
19
          20 Corporate Park, Suite 350
          Irvine, California 92606
          877.955.3855
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 2 MR. FABRIZIO: We didn't just run searches and
- 3 hand the results over to you and say, "This is a list of
- 4 what we contend is infringing."
- 5 We spent hundreds of man-hours, maybe
- 6 thousands, but certainly hundreds of man-hours having
- 7 human beings look through the metadata and all
- 8 information with regard to those files.
- 9 With regard to some of them, "they" may have in
- 10 fact been content files to look at but, in the main, we
- 11 did not yet have the content files.
- 12 So we advised defendants that we would get the
- 13 content files from defendants and do further analysis.
- MR. ENGSTROM: What metadata was looked at?
- 15 MR. FABRIZIO: I believe all the metadata that
- 16 Hotfile made available to us was looked at.
- 17 BY MR. ENGSTROM:
- 18 Q Okay. And we'll talk about files that are
- 19 produced -- files that are -- content files that have
- 20 already been produced.
- 21 But is that your understanding as to what was
- 22 done and that is the basis for Warner's allegation -- or
- 23 identification of files in Schedule A that it alleges
- 24 are infringing?
- 25 A It is.

DAVID P. KAPLAN, ESQ. CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	I, DAVID P. KAPLAN, ESQUIRE, do hereby declare
10	under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
11	transcript; that I have made any corrections as appear
12	noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached hereto; that
13	my testimony as contained herein, as corrected, is true
14	and correct.
15	
16	
17	EXECUTED this 15 day of Jones,
18	EXECUTED this 13th day of Jonean, 20 12, at bubble (City) (State)
19	(CICY) (DUCCO,
20	
21	
22	DAVID P. KAPLAN, ESQUIRE
23	Volume 1
24	
25	
	*



DAVID P. KAPLAN, ESQ. CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	Pg/Ln Corrections
3	13/ 2 Change from: delite "No"
4	Change to:
5	15/7 Change from: 1 dint
6	Change to: 12 d
7	72 / 18 Change from: add "on' before "a"
8	Change to:
9	/ Change from:
10	Change to:
11	/ Change from:
12	Change to:
13	/ Change from:
14	Change to:
15	/ Change from:
16	Change to:
17	/ Change from:
18	Change to:
19	/ Change from:
20	Change to:
21	/ Change from:
22	Change to:
23	/ Change from:
24	Change to:
25	Signature:



1	
2	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth; that
6	any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to
7	testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the
8	proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand
9	which was thereafter transcribed under my direction;
10	that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the
11	testimony given.
12	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
13	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
14	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of
15	the transcript $[x]$ was $[]$ was not requested.
16	I further certify I am neither financially
17	interested in the action nor a relative or employee
18	of any attorney or party to this action.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
20	subscribed my name.
21	
22	Dated: 12-15-11

23

25

LORI SCINTA, RPR CSR No. 4811

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 11-20427-WILLIAMS-TURNOFF

DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC., TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION, UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS LLLP, COLUMBIA PICTURES INDUSTRIES, INC., and WARNER BROS. ENTERTAINMENT INC.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

HOTFILE CORP., ANTON TITOV, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAVID R. KAPLAN, ESQUIRE

PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE 30(b)(6)

Los Angeles, California

Wednesday, December 14, 2011

Volume 2

Reported by: CHERYL R. KAMALSKI CSR No. 7113

Job No. 179415



1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
3	CASE NO. 11-20427-WILLIAMS-TURNOFF
	DIGNEY ENMEDDIGED INC
4	DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC., TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM
5	CORPORATION, UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS LLLP,
6	COLUMBIA PICTURES INDUSTRIES,
7	INC., and WARNER BROS. ENTERTAINMENT INC.,
8	Plaintiffs,
9	
	VS.
10	HOTFILE CORP., ANTON TITOV, and DOES 1-10,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.
14 15	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
16	Videotaped Deposition of DAVID R. KAPLAN,
17	ESQUIRE, Volume 2, pursuant to Federal Rule 30(b)(6),
18	taken on behalf of Defendants and Counterclaimant,
19	at 633 West Fifth Street, 37th Floor, Los Angeles,
20	California, beginning at 9:16 a.m. and ending at
21	12:33 p.m. on Wednesday, December 14, 2011, before
22	CHERYL R. KAMALSKI, Certified Shorthand Reporter
23	No. 7113.
24	
25	



1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	For Plaintiffs:
4	JENNER & BLOCK LLP BY: DUANE POZZA
5	Attorney at Law
6	1099 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20001-4412 202.639.6000
7	202.039.0000
8	For Defendants and Counterclaimant:
9	FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP BY: EVAN M. ENGSTROM
10	Attorney at Law 235 Montgomery Street
11	San Francisco, California 94104 415.954.4400
12	Videographer:
13	VONYARN MASON SARNOFF, a Veritext Company
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



	1	THE WITNESS: Again, for theatrical features				
	2	alone there's probably like 8,000 titles. So the answer				
	3	is some of them were and some of them were not.				
	4	BY MR. ENGSTROM:				
11:09	5	Q Okay. Were titles, movies, that Warner was				
	6	searching for infringing copies of on the Internet, were				
	7	all of those movies fingerprinted?				
	8	MR. POZZA: Objection; ambiguous and also				
	9	outside the scope of the notice.				
11:10	10	THE WITNESS: I don't think all were.				
:	11	BY MR. ENGSTROM:				
:	12	Q How about now, today?				
:	13	MR. POZZA: Objection; the question's				
:	14	ambiguous, it's also outside the scope of the notice.				
11:10	15	THE WITNESS: No, I don't think all are.				
:	16	BY MR. ENGSTROM:				
;	17	Q Okay. We can put this document away for now.				
:	18	Well, I don't think I'll be coming back to it, so we can				
:	19	just put it away.				
11:10	20	Okay. You'll forgive me. I have to staple				
:	21	these quickly.				
:	22	Why don't I give you a copy so you can look at				
:	23	it while I'm doing this. I'd like to mark this as				
:	24	Exhibit 31.				
11:11	25	(WB Exhibit 31 marked.)				



1	MR. POZZA: Counsel, do you know if this has			
2	2 previously been marked as an exhibit in the previous			
3	Warner Bros. deposition?			
4	MR. ENGSTROM: Off the top of my head, I don't.			
11:12 5	THE WITNESS: It was, but			
ϵ	MR. ENGSTROM: There may have been other			
7	iterations of the document. I'm not sure if this			
8	particular one was, because there were several e-mails			
9	on that string of e-mails, I believe.			
11:12 10	MR. POZZA: Okay. Well, I will object to any			
11	questioning on this document to the extent that it is			
12	duplicative of questioning that has already been asked			
13	of the witness in the previous deposition, or the same			
14	topic, for that matter.			
11:12 15	BY MR. ENGSTROM:			
16	Q So if you believe this was already marked as an			
17	exhibit, do you recognize this document?			
18	A I do.			
19	Q Okay. Do you recall what do you recall			
11:12 20	the strike that.			
21	What business proposal and I'm quoting			
22	here I should say Business Idea, which is the subject			
23	line of the top level e-mail, "Business Idea for Hotfile			
24	and Warner Bros.," do you can you explain what the			
11:13 25	business idea is?			



1	MR. POZZA: I'm going to object that this			
2	this was already covered at the last deposition, it's			
3	repetitive. And we have objected to reopening any of			
4	the testimony there.			
11:13	MR. ENGSTROM: If you'd like to stipulate that			
•	the foundation for this document has been laid, I'm more			
7	than happy to ask different questions on it.			
8	MR. POZZA: Not a speaking objection, but to			
9	understand where this is going, are you just are you			
11:13 10	asking about other things, but using this as a			
11	foundation?			
12	MR. ENGSTROM: I'm I'm asking questions			
13	about this document that weren't asked in the previous			
14	deposition that relate to the topic noticed here,			
11:13 1	communications with Hotfile that relate to the studios'			
16	main claim, not the counterclaim.			
17	MR. POZZA: Okay. Well, you can ask questions.			
18	But, you know, he testified as to what the, quote,			
19	business idea was at length.			
11:13 20	MR. ENGSTROM: And if we want to if you			
21	can if you stipulate that the previous testimony			
22	remains the same			
23	MR. POZZA: Well, we're not I'm not			
24	withdrawing his previous testimony. We don't need to			
11:14 25	question him again.			



1	MR. ENGSTROM: Okay. Fair enough.
2	MR. POZZA: It's just not the time to ask him
3	questions you already asked. I mean, if you want to ask
4	him different questions about the affirmative claims,
11:14 5	that's fine.
6	BY MR. ENGSTROM:
7	Q Let me ask this. Why did Warner Bros. propose
8	this to Hotfile?
9	A I think we covered that, too, in the previous
11:14 10	deposition. So
11	MR. POZZA: Yeah. I'm
12	THE WITNESS: I would be inclined to just
13	just to say what I said before was the reason.
14	BY MR. ENGSTROM:
11:14 15	Q Which was what?
16	A I may not say it this time with the exact same
17	words. That's my only
18	Q Okay. Sure.
19	MR. POZZA: Yeah. No. I'm going to I'm
11:14 20	going to object to this as asked and answered.
21	MR. ENGSTROM: Fine.
22	MR. POZZA: There was extensive questioning and
23	explanation of of this this e-mail chain. I don't
24	think it's necessary to get back into it again.



11:14 25

MR. ENGSTROM: Are you instructing him not to

	1	answer?
	2	MR. POZZA: I'm going to object to it as asked
	3	and answered.
	4	MR. ENGSTROM: Okay.
11:14	5	MR. POZZA: And he can state that he's already
	6	answered it and he sticks by his previous answer.
	7	BY MR. ENGSTROM:
	8	Q You can answer.
	9	A Yeah, I we testified about that I
11:14	10	testified to that in the previous deposition. And I
	11	I stick by whatever I said in the previous deposition.
	12	Q Did did Warner Bros. offer the same business
	13	idea or similar business idea to other hosting sites?
	14	MR. POZZA: Object to this in that it's
11:15	15	ambiguous and outside the scope of the deposition
	16	notice.
	17	THE WITNESS: This idea never really got off
	18	the ground at all. So I don't know exactly what
	19	exactly what it would have been had Hotfile said yes, we
11:15	20	were interested in talking to you more about this so
	21	I can't say we offered this to somebody else because
	22	there was never anything offered here, is what I'm
	23	trying to say.
	24	BY MR. ENGSTROM:
11:15	25	Q Proposed. The proposal. Was this proposed to



other hosting sites?

MR. POZZA: I have the same objections as to ambiguity and outside the scope of the notice.

THE WITNESS: So I'm referring to now -because this is the only basis for what the proposal
would have been, is the e-mail that Ethan sent in
February of 2010, which is, I guess, the first e-mail in
this chain, that talks about including links on Hotfile
to e-commerce sites where Warner Bros. content is
hosted. And I don't believe we contacted other oneclick downloading sites with that proposal.

BY MR. ENGSTROM:

Q Why was Hotfile the only one-click downloading site that Warner Bros. contacted with that proposal?

MR. POZZA: I'm going to object to the extent that this covers testimony that he's already had about this proposal, as you've characterized it.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I did testify as to why we contacted Hotfile at the time, which was that it was a site that had a lot of traffic on it, and it looked like maybe they would be amenable to some anti- -- you know, taking steps to minimize the piracy on the site, and that if there was a commercial incentive for them to do that, maybe they'd be more inclined to, you know, eliminate the piracy of Warner Bros. content.

11:17 20

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

11:17 15

11:16 10

11:16

1			ERRATA SHEET
2	Pg/Ln		Corrections
3	132/16	Change	from: to have up prescreened"
4		Change	to: to have up prescreened"
5	199 1 2	Change	from:
6		Change	to:
7	199/6	Change	from: "They were"
8		Change	to: They were " to: They were not.
9			from:
10		Change	to:
11	/	Change	from:
12		Change	to:
13	/	Change	from:
14		Change	to:
15	/	Change	from:
16		Change	to:
17	/	Change	from:
18		Change	to:
19	/	Change	from:
20		Change	to:
21	/	Change	from:
22		Change	to:
23	/	Change	from:
24		Change	to:
25	Signatu	re:	Date:

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	f .
8	I, DAVID R. KAPLAN, ESQUIRE, do hereby declare
9	under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
10	transcript; that I have made any corrections as appear
11	noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached hereto; that
12	my testimony as contained herein, as corrected, is true
13	and correct.
14	EXECUTED this 16 day of Vomm,
15	executed this 18 day of John, 20 10 at Subali (City) (State)
16	
17	
18	
19	DAVID R. KAPLAN, ESQUIRE
20	Volume 2
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	*

1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
2	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
3	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
4	before me at the time and place herein set forth; that
5	any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to
6	testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the
7	proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand
8	which was thereafter transcribed under my direction;
9	that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the
LO	testimony given.
L1	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
L2	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
L3	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of
L 4	the transcript [] was [x] was not requested
L5	I further certify I am neither financially
L6	interested in the action nor a relative or employee
L7	of any attorney or any party to this action.
L8	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed
L9	my name.
20	
21	Dated: 12/27/2011
22	
23	CHERYL R. KAMALSKI MOSL
24	CSR No. 7113



25