EXHIBIT 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC.,
TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM
CORPORATION, UNIVERSAL CITY
STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS LLLP,
COLUMBIA PICTURES INDUSTRIES,
INC., and WARNER BROS.
ENTERTAINMENT INC.,

Plaintiffs,

CASE NO.

vs.

11-20427-WILLIAMS-TURNOFF

HOTFILE CORP., ANTON TITOV, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL BENTKOVER

Los Angeles, California

Tuesday, December 13, 2011

Reported by: LORI SCINTA, RPR CSR No. 4811

Job No. 177476A

```
Page 2
 1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
 3
 4
     DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC.,
     TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM
 5
     CORPORATION, UNIVERSAL CITY
     STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS LLLP,
 6
     COLUMBIA PICTURES INDUSTRIES,
     INC., and WARNER BROS.
 7
     ENTERTAINMENT INC.,
              Plaintiffs,
 8
                                CASE NO.
 9
                                11-20427-WILLIAMS-TURNOFF
          vs.
10
     HOTFILE CORP., ANTON TITOV,
     and DOES 1-10,
11
              Defendants.
12
     AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.
13
14
15
        HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
              Videotaped deposition of MICHAEL BENTKOVER,
16
     taken on behalf of Defendants and Counterclaimant
17
     at 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 3600, Los Angeles,
18
19
     California, beginning at 9:38 A.M. and ending at
20
     12:17 P.M. on Tuesday, December 13, 2011, before
21
     LORI SCINTA, RPR, Certified Shorthand Reporter No.
22
     4811.
23
24
25
```

```
Page 3
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2.
 3
     For Plaintiffs:
 4
          JENNER & BLOCK LLP
 5
          BY: STEVEN B. FABRIZIO
          Attorney at Law
 6
          1099 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 900
          Washington, D.C. 20001-4412
 7
          202.639.6000
          Email: sfabrizio@jenner.com
 8
 9
     For Defendants and Counterclaimant:
10
11
          FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP
          BY: EVAN M. ENGSTROM
12
          Attorney at Law
          235 Montgomery Street
13
          San Francisco, California 94104
          415.954.4400
14
          Email: eengstrom@fbm.com
15
16
     Videographer:
17
18
          VONYARN MASON
          SARNOFF COURT REPORTERS
19
          20 Corporate Park, Suite 350
          Irvine, California 92606
          877.955.3855
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 Q Did you have any other communications with
- 2 Hotfile between this top-level email dated April --
- 3 August 26th, 2009, and the April 30th, 2009, regarding
- 4 the possibility of establishing a takedown tool?
- 5 MR. FABRIZIO: Objection. Overbroad.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 7 BY MR. ENGSTROM:
- 8 Q Okay. Did you ever communicate with Hotfile
- 9 about implementing any other policies or strategies or
- 10 tools for removing content from Hotfile?
- 11 MR. FABRIZIO: Objection. Vague and lacks
- 12 foundation.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question.
- 14 BY MR. ENGSTROM:
- 15 Q Did you ever communicate with Hotfile about
- 16 establishing any other tools, policies, measures,
- 17 basically within the realm of the idea of an SRA, any
- 18 other techniques, tools, implementations --
- 19 A No, I did not.
- 20 Q -- that --
- MR. FABRIZIO: Excuse me.
- Objection. Vague, compound and lacks
- 23 foundation and also vague as to timeframe.
- 24 BY MR. ENGSTROM:
- Q At any time.

- 1 MR. FABRIZIO: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: It was the only option we had.
- 3 Do I think it was the most effective? No.
- 4 BY MR. ENGSTROM:
- 6 effective option?
- 7 MR. FABRIZIO: Objection. Calls for
- 8 speculation and opinion.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Hotfile could have prefiltered
- 10 and not allowed the files in the first place.
- 11 BY MR. ENGSTROM:
- 12 Q Did you communicate to Hotfile about
- implementing such prefiltering?
- 14 MR. FABRIZIO: Objection. Vague.
- 15 THE WITNESS: No.
- 16 BY MR. ENGSTROM:
- 17 Q Did anyone you're aware of -- are you aware of
- 18 anyone at Warner Bros. that communicated to Hotfile
- 19 about implementing prefiltering?
- 20 MR. FABRIZIO: Objection. Vague as to
- 21 timeframe.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 23 BY MR. ENGSTROM:
- 24 Q The last sentence of this email reads, "We
- 25 appreciate the Hotfile takedown removal tool and the

1 2 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 5 before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to 6 7 testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand 8 which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; 9 that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the 10 testimony given. 11 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 13 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. I further certify I am neither financially 16 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name. 20 21 Dated: 12-15-11 22

23

24

LORI SCINTA, RPR CSR No. 4811

25