UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 05-80387-CIV (Ryskamp/Vitunac)

STEVEN A. SILVERS, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,

Counterclaimant,

v.

STEVEN A. SILVERS, an individual; STELOR PRODUCTIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation; STELOR PRODUCTIONS, LLC, a business entity of unknown form; and STEVEN ESRIG, an individual,

Counter-Defendants.	
	/

DEFENDANT/COUNTERCLAIMANT GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE TWO (2) SEPARATE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Defendant/Counterclaimant Google Inc. ("Google"), by its undersigned counsel, pursuant to Southern District of Florida Local Rule 7.1(C)(2), hereby requests that this Court enter an Order allowing Google to file two (2) separate motions for summary judgment, based upon the following grounds:

 $\{SNT/213526.0001/N0629759_1\}$

Case No. 05-80387-CIV (Ryskamp/Vitunac)

1. Pursuant to this Court's Order dated September 29, 2006, entitled "In Re: Computation of Time for Case Filings During the Conversion to CM/ECF," Google's motion(s) for summary judgment are due to be filed on or before October 16, 2006.

2. As this Court is aware, Southern District Local Rule 7.1(C)(2), provides that:

Absent prior permission of the Court, no party shall file any legal memorandum exceeding 20 pages in length with the exception of a reply which shall not exceed 10 pages in length. The practice of filing multiple motions for partial summary judgment shall be prohibited, absent prior permission of the Court.

- 3. Because the subject matters of the issues presented in Google's intended motions are unrelated—one motion seeks summary judgment as to monetary relief, and the other motion seeks summary judgment as to the invalidity of Stevens Silvers' GOOGLES trademark registration—the arguments are more logically presented in two separate memoranda.
- 4. Accordingly, Google respectfully requests leave to file two (2) separate motions for summary judgment which, in total, will exceed the twenty (20) page limitation (though the total pages are expected to be under thirty (30)).
- 5. Counsel for Google has conferred with all parties in an attempt to resolve the issues. Stelor Productions has not agreed to the relief sought herein, and *pro se* litigant Mr. Silvers, who apparently is in the process of retaining counsel, has not yet taken a position.

WHEREFORE, Defendant/Counterclaimant Google respectfully requests that this Court grant the instant Motion and allow Google to file two (2) separate Motions for Summary Judgment, together with such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Case No. 05-80387-CIV (Ryskamp/Vitunac)

DATED: October 12, 2006. Fort Lauderdale, FL

Respectfully submitted,

By:/s/Stephen C. Hunt

Jan Douglas Atlas

Florida Bar No.: 226246

jatlas@adorno.com

Samantha Tesser Haimo Florida Bar No.: 0148016

stesser@adorno.com

Stephen C. Hunt

Florida Bar No.:191582

shunt@adorno.com

ADORNO & YOSS LLP

350 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1700

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Telephone: (954) 763-1200 Facsimile: (954) 766-7800

Johanna Calabria

California Bar No.: 226222 jcalabria@perkinscoie.com

PERKINS COIE LLP

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400

San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (415) 344-7000 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050

Ramsey M. Al-Salam, Esq. Washington Bar. No. 18822

<u>ralsalam@perkinscoie.com</u> William C. Rava, Esq.

Washington Bar No. 29948

wrava@perkinscoie.com

PERKINS COIE LLP

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800

Seattle, WA 98101-3099

Telephone: (206) 359-6338 Facsimile: (206) 359-7338

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant

Google Inc.