UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 06-14033-CR-MOORE/LYNCH (s)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

NORMAN ALLAN LAVOIE,

Defendant.

DEFENDANT'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM

I BACKGROUND

Originally arrested on state charges, this defendant was indicted by a federal grand jury and arrested by federal authorities on July 7, 2006. He entered a guilty plea on December 4, 2006 to the one-count Superseding Information charging him with receipt of child pornography, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252 (a)(2). There is a written Plea Agreement in the case wherein the United States and the defendant jointly recommend that the Court impose a sentence within the advisory guideline range. This Memorandum is intended to present reasons for the Court to follow this joint recommendation.

FACTORS UNDER U.S.C. § 3553(a)

Prior to the recent Supreme Court decision in <u>United States v.</u>
<u>Booker</u>,125 S. Ct. 738 (2005), the Federal Sentencing Guidelines

were mandatory in nature, based on the instructions set forth in 18 U.S.C. §3553(b). Although departures below the guideline range were permissible in some instances, in practice, departures, with the exception of departures for Substantial Assistance [see U.S.S.G. §5K1.1], were rare and were expected to be rare. The remedial portion of the <u>Booker</u> decision, however, excised §3553(b) from the United States Code, thus rendering the guidelines just one of the factors to be considered in formulating a just and appropriate sentence that is "sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes of sentencing set forth in paragraph (a)(2)". 18 U.S.C. 3553 (a) states:

2.4

- a) Factors to be considered in imposing a sentence.—The court shall impose a sentence sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in paragraph (2) of this subsection. The court, in determining the particular sentence to be imposed, shall consider—
- (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant;
- (2) the need for the sentence imposed--
- (A) to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense;
- (B) to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct;
- ©) to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; and
- (D) to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner;
- (3) the kinds of sentences available;
- (4) the kinds of sentence and the sentencing range established for--
- (A) the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of defendant as set forth in the guidelines...

- (5) any pertinent policy statement
- (6) the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct.

It is the defendant's position that a sentence at the low end of the advisory guideline range, to wit, imprisonment for 135 months, is "sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes of sentencing". This argument is based on the evidence that has been presented in the PSR about the defendant's mental and emotional history and condition (paragraphs 51 through 54) along with the psychological evaluation of Edward S. Sczechowicz, Ph.D., which is attached hereto. From the totality of the circumstances it would appear that this defendant has been suffering from severe mental and emotional problems for many years, essentially untreated. While a lengthy prison sentence is certainly in order, some consideration should be paid to the root causes of defendant's behavior with an eye toward some form of treatment. The defendant will be asking the Court for a judicial recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons that he be considered for placement in the Sexual Addiction Program at FCI Butner (North Carolina).

Furthermore, based upon the defendant's long history of alcohol abuse (see PSR paragraph 55), he requests a judicial recommendation that he be allowed to participate in the 500 hour RDAP program offered by the Federal Bureau of Prisons.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

III LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION Attached for the Court's consideration are letters from the defendant's parents, as well as friends and members of his church. Respectfully submitted, CRIMINAL DEFENSE ASSOCIATES /s/ Alan Baum_ Ву ALAN R. BAUM 20700 Ventura Blvd., Suite 301 Woodland Hills, CA 91364 Tel: (818) 313-6870 Fax: (818) 313-6871 Alan.baum@emailcda.com Attorney for Defendant NORMAN ALLAN LAVOIE

1	SERVICE
2	<u> </u>
3	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 28th day of February, 2007, I electronically filed the
4	foregoing document with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing
5	document is being served on all counsel of record identified on the attached Service List in the
6	manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF
7	or in some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to
8	receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.
10	s/ Bruce Alter /
11	BRUCE ALTER
12	
13	SERVICE LIST
14	Case No. 06-14044-CR-Moore
15	United States District Court, Southern District of Florida
16	
17	Diana Acosta, Asst. U.S. Attorney
18	<u>Diana.acosta@usdoj.gov</u>
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	