Silvers v. Google, Inc. Doc. 228

Document 228 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/11/2007

Page 1 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 05-80387 CIV RYSKAMP/VITUNAC

STEVEN A. SILVERS, an individual,
Plaintiff, v.
GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,
Defendant/
GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,
Counterclaimant, v.
STEVEN A. SILVERS, an individual; STELOR PRODUCTIONS, INC., a Delaware Corporation; STELOR PRODUCTIONS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and STEVEN ESRIG, an individual,
Counterdefendants.

STELOR PRODUCTIONS, LLC'S REPLY TO SILVERS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR BENCH TRIAL AND MEMORANDUM

Cross-Plaintiff Stelor Productions, LLC, hereby replies to Silvers' Opposition to Stelor's Motion for Bench Trial:

Silvers has no right to jury trial in this Phase I trial. The matter must be tried non-jury. The issue is not one of discretion or choice by the parties. As a matter of law, no legal right exists to a jury trial in this phase of the action.

Silvers' Opposition provides no authority to the contrary. First, Silvers improperly cites Florida law, even though the right to jury trial in a federal action – even on state law claims – is

Coffey Burlington

governed by federal law. Ford v. Citizens and Southern National Bank, 928 F.2d 1118, 1121

(11th Cir. 1991) ("Even though the 'substantive dimension' of a claim brought in federal court

may be governed by state law, "the right to a jury trial in federal courts is to be determined as a

matter of federal law." (quoting Simler v. Conner, 372 U.S. 221, 222 (1964) (emphasis added).

The controlling federal law is uniform that the right to jury trial, even in a declaratory

judgment action such as this, is determined by the nature of the relief sought. Id; Leary v.

Daeschner, 349 F.3d 888, 908 (6th Cir. 2003). Here, Stelor seeks purely equitable relief,

specifically declaratory and injunctive relief that Silvers' termination is invalid, the Agreements

are reinstated and in effect, and must be specifically performed. Stelor seeks no damages or

other legal relief in Phase I; nor does Silvers.

Under these circumstances, the uniform weight of authority confirms that this trial must

be non-jury. E.g., CBS Broadcasting, Inc., v. Echostar Comm. Corp., 450 F.3d 505, 518 (11th

Cir. 2006) ("There is no right to a jury trial . . . when the plaintiffs seek purely equitable relief

such as an injunction."); Ford, 928 F. 2d at 1121-1122 ("purely equitable claims . . . are matters

to be resolved by the court rather than a jury."); Leary, 349 F.3d at 910 (since the plaintiffs only

requested injunctive and declaratory relief, the court considered the claims equitable and,

therefore, held that the Court – rather than a jury – must hear the case); Owens-Illinois, Inc., v.

Lake Shore Land Co., 610 F.2d 1185, 1189 (3rd Cir. 1979) (holding that a declaratory action was

equitable as it sought to establish plaintiff's rights under an agreement, and the only remedy

sought was legal); U.S. v. Barker, 19 F. Supp. 2d 1380, 1385 (S.D. Ga. 1998) (stating that there

is generally no right to a jury trial of historically equitable claims, such as specific performance

and actions for injunctions).

2

Coffey Burlington

EMAIL: info@coffeyburlington.com

www.coffeyburlington.com

WHEREFORE, as Stelor proceeds solely in equity in the Phase I trial, no right to jury trial exists. Accordingly, Stelor respectfully requests that the Court issue an Order confirming that the Phase I trial shall proceed as a non-jury bench trial.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Kevin C. Kaplan - Florida Bar No. 933848 David J. Zack - Florida Bar No. 641685 Email: kkaplan@coffevburlington.com dzack@coffeyburlington.com

COFFEY BURLINGTON Office in the Grove, Penthouse A 2699 South Bayshore Drive Miami, Florida 33133 Tel: 305-858-2900

Fax: 305-858-5261 Counsel for STELOR PRODUCTIONS, LLC and STEVEN ESRIG

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that on January 10, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

s/Kevin C. Kaplan

3

www.coffeyburlington.com

SERVICE LIST

STEVEN A. SILVERS, Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC. CASE NO. 05-80387 CIV RYSKAMP/VITUNAC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Robert H. Cooper, Esq.	Ramsey Al-Salam, Esq.
robert@rcooperpa.com	RAlsalam@perkinscoie.com
ROBERT COOPER, P.A.	William C. Rava, Esq.
Concorde Centre II, Suite 704	PERKINS COIE LLP
2999 N.E. 191 Street	Suite 4800
Aventura, Florida 33180	1201 Third Avenue
Tel: 305-792-4343	Seattle, Washington 98101-3099
Fax: 305-792-0200	Tel: 206-359-8000
Attorney for Plaintiff Steven A. Silvers	Fax: 206-359-9000
Method of Service: CM/ECF	Attorneys for Defendant Google
	Inc.
	Method of Service: U.S. Mail
Johanna Calabria, Esq.	Jan Douglas Atlas, Esq.
PERKINS COIE LLP	jatlas@adorno.com
Suite 2400	ADORNO & YOSS LLP
Four Embarcadero Center	Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94111	350 East Las Olas Boulevard
Tel: 415-344-7050	Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Fax: 415-344-7124	Tel: 954-763-1200
E-mail: jcalabria@perkinscoie.com	Fax. 954-766-7800
Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.	Attorneys for Defendant Google
Method of Service: U.S. Mail	Inc.
	Method of Service: CM/ECF

EMAIL: info@coffeyburlington.com www.coffeyburlington.com