CLARENCE MADDOX

CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S.D. OF FLA. MIAMI

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA Palm Beach Division

STEVEN A. S ILVERS, an individual, Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendant.

GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation, Counterclaimant,

v.

1 of 4

STEVEN A. SILVERS, an individual; STELOR PRODUCTIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation; STELOR PRODUCTIONS, LLC; a business entity of unknown form; and STEVEN ESRIG, an individual.

Counterdefendants.

CASE NO. 05-80387-CIV

(Ryskamp/Vitunac)

# SILVERS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION

Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant, Steven A. Silvers ("Silvers"), requests a short extension to file

a reply to Stelor's Opposition To Motion To Dismiss Amended Cross-Claim and states:

1. On December 23, 2005, Stelor filed its Opposition to Silvers' Motion To Dismiss

Amended Cross-Claim. Stelor represents on the Certificate of Service that it served Silvers with

that pleading electronically and by mail. Stelor, however, did not serve Silvers in any manner.<sup>1</sup>

2. Yesterday, Silvers discovered that Stelor filed its Opposition while reviewing the

Court docket through Pacer. Had Silvers been served with this pleading his reply would have

been due on January 3, 2006. Silvers, however, did not see this pleading until yesterday (in fact

we have still not received this through the mail or electronically). Therefore, we need additional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is far from the first time Stelor has not served Silvers with pleadings. We addressed this with Stelor's counsel months ago and he agreed to serve all pleadings electronically. We will address this repeated failure to properly

time to prepare a reply.

3. Undersigned counsel has contacted counsel for Stelor and believes there will be

no objection to this extension.

4. The requested extension is reasonable and is not interposed for purposes of delay.

WHEREFORE, Silvers requests an extension up to and including January 12, 2006 in

which to file his reply to Stelor's Opposition to Silvers' Motion To Dismiss The Amended

Cross-Claim.

Respectfully submitted this 5<sup>th</sup> day of January 2006.

#### s/ Gail A. McQuilkin

Kenneth R. Hartmann (Fla. Bar #664286) Gail A. McQuilkin (Fla. Bar #969338) KOZYAK TROPIN & THROCKMORTON, P.A. 2525 Ponce de Leon, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Miami, Florida 33134 T: 305-372-1800 / F: 305-372-3508

Adam T. Rabin (Fla. Bar #985635) DIMOND KAPLAN & ROTHSTEIN, P.A. 525 S. Flagler Drive, Trump Plaza - Suite 200 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 T: 561-671-2110 / F: 561-671-1951

serve us in another motion.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished

by E-mail and U.S. mail on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2006 upon:

Jan Douglas Atlas Adorno & Yoss, LLP 350 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1700 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301-4217 E-mail: jatlas@adorno.com

\_\_\_\_\_

Andrew P. Bridges Winston & Strawn, LLP 101 California Street, Suite 3900 San Francisco, CA 94111 E-mail: abridges@winston.com

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Kevin C. Kaplan, Daniel F. Blonsky and David ZackBurlington Weil Schwiep Kaplan & Blonsky, PA2699 S. Bayshore Drive, Penthouse AMiami, FL 33133E-mail: kkaplan@bwskb.com

s/ Gail A. McQuilkin

/3339/102/261387.1

Case 9:05-cv-80387-KLR Document 60 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/06/2006 Page 4 of 4

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA Palm Beach Division

STEVEN A. SILVERS, an individual, Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 05-80387-CIV

GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendant.

GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation, Counterclaimant.

v.

STEVEN A. SILVERS, an individual; STELOR PRODUCTIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation; STELOR PRODUCTIONS, LLC; a business entity of unknown form; and STEVEN ESRIG, an individual. Counterdefendants.

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION** 

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Plaintiff, Steven A. Silvers', Motion For Extension filed on January 5, 2006. The Court has carefully considered the motion and is otherwise duly advised in the premises.

It is hereby **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant's Motion for

Extension is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff shall have up to and including **January 12, 2006** within which to file its reply to the Response To Motion To Dismiss Amended Cross-Claim.

DONE and ORDERED in chambers at West Palm Beach, Florida, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of January, 2006.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

All counsel c:

(Ryskamp/Vitunac)

ORDER