Garcon v. Reavis et al Doc. 32

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

## CASE NO. 10-81471-CIV-HURLEY/WHITE

JULIEN GARCON, plaintiff,

LARRY REAVIS, et al., defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION of MAGISTRATE JUDGE

THIS CAUSE is before the court upon the plaintiff's *pro se* civil rights complaint pursuant to *Bivens v Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was previously referred to Magistrate Judge Patrick White for an initial screening and a report and recommendation in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2)(B).

On February 25, 2011, Magistrate Judge White issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the plaintiff's *Bivens* complaint be allowed to proceed against defendants Reavis, Mathew, Weeks, Van Reeth, Birch and Fererra for unlawful search of his apartment and Audi at Portofino Way on March 22, 2007, while all other claims related to search and seizure, which were not granted in a previous motion to suppress, be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation *de novo*, together with the plaintiff's objections filed March 15, 2011 [DE# 24], pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the court finds the resolution of the issues as recommended by Magistrate Judge White to be sound and well-reasoned and accordingly shall adopt that recommendation here.

It is therefore **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED**:

1. The Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge White [DE # 13]]

is APPROVED and ADOPTED in full.

2. The plaintiff's Bivens claims against defendants Reavis, Mathew, Weeks, Van Reeth,

Birch and Fererra for unlawful search of his apartment and Audi located at Portofino Way on March

22, 2007, shall proceed.

3. All other claims related to search and seizure, not granted in a prior motion to suppress

and challenging the plaintiff's conviction, are barred by *Heck* and are accordingly **DISMISSED** 

WITH PREJUDICE pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to state a claim upon

which relief may be granted.

4. All claims for return of property are dismissed for failure to state a claim.

5. The claim against Officer Jane Doe is dismissed for failure to state a claim.

6. All claims raised on behalf of the plaintiff's daughter and girlfriend are dismissed for lack

of standing and failure to state a claim.

7. The claim of conspiracy is dismissed for failure to state a claim.

**DONE** and **SIGNED** in Chambers at West Palm Beach, Florida this day of

April, 2011.

United States District Judge

Copies furnished to:

United States Magistrate Judge White

Julien Garcon, pro se