UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Debtor in a Foreign Proceeding. BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED, Plaintiff, V. CASE NO. 12-81330-CIV-COHN (ADV. CASE NO. 11-03118-BKC-EPK ROBERT FULLERTON, BRIAN BRANKER, RAMCHAND RAMNARINE, LAWRENCE DUPREY, VISHNU RAMLOGAN, SHIVA RAMBERRAN, GREEN ISLAND HOLDINGS, LLC, and CHARLES PRATT, Defendants, ROBERT FULLERTON, Counter-Plaintiff, V. BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED, Counter-Defendant.	In re: BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED,	
COMPANY LIMITED, Plaintiff, CASE NO. 12-81330-CIV-COHN V. (ADV. CASE NO. 11-03118-BKC-EPK ROBERT FULLERTON, BRIAN BRANKER, RAMCHAND RAMNARINE, LAWRENCE DUPREY, VISHNU RAMLOGAN, SHIVA RAMBERRAN, GREEN ISLAND HOLDINGS, LLC, and CHARLES PRATT, Defendants, ROBERT FULLERTON, Counter-Plaintiff, V. BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED,	Debtor in a Foreign Proceeding.	
CASE NO. 12-81330-CIV-COHN (ADV. CASE NO. 11-03118-BKC-EPK ROBERT FULLERTON, BRIAN BRANKER, RAMCHAND RAMNARINE, LAWRENCE DUPREY, VISHNU RAMLOGAN, SHIVA RAMBERRAN, GREEN ISLAND HOLDINGS, LLC, and CHARLES PRATT, Defendants, ROBERT FULLERTON, Counter-Plaintiff, v. BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED,		
v. (ADV. CASE NO. 11-03118-BKC-EPK ROBERT FULLERTON, BRIAN BRANKER, RAMCHAND RAMNARINE, LAWRENCE DUPREY, VISHNU RAMLOGAN, SHIVA RAMBERRAN, GREEN ISLAND HOLDINGS, LLC, and CHARLES PRATT, Defendants, ROBERT FULLERTON, Counter-Plaintiff, v. BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED,	Plaintiff,	
RAMCHAND RAMNARINE, LAWRENCE DUPREY, VISHNU RAMLOGAN, SHIVA RAMBERRAN, GREEN ISLAND HOLDINGS, LLC, and CHARLES PRATT, Defendants, ROBERT FULLERTON, Counter-Plaintiff, v. BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED,	v.	(ADV. CASE NO. 11-03118-BKC-EPK)
ROBERT FULLERTON, Counter-Plaintiff, v. BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED,	RAMCHAND RAMNARINE, LAWRENCE DUPREY, VISHNU RAMLOGAN, SHIVA RAMBERRAN, GREEN ISLAND	
Counter-Plaintiff, v. BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED,	Defendants,	
v. BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED,	ROBERT FULLERTON,	
BRITISH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED,	Counter-Plaintiff,	
COMPANY LIMITED,	v.	
Counter-Defendant.		
	Counter-Defendant.	

ORDER ADOPTING BANKRUPTCY COURT'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION

THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon the Bankruptcy Court's Order on Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction [DE 14-2] ("Dismissal Order"). The Dismissal Order sets forth the Bankruptcy Court's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law regarding Defendant Vishnu Ramlogan's Motion to Dismiss for Lack

of Personal Jurisdiction [DE 14-3] ("Motion").¹ Although Plaintiff has filed no timely objections to the Dismissal Order, the Court has carefully reviewed the Order and all other filings pertaining to the Motion.

Plaintiff British American Insurance Company Limited ("BAICO"), a Carribean insurance company, is a foreign debtor in pending Chapter 15 bankruptcy proceedings. In this adversary case, BAICO alleges that several of its former directors, including Defendant Ramlogan, breached fiduciary duties to the company by involving it highly speculative real-estate transactions in Florida. BAICO's claims focus on an unsuccessful land investment known as the "Green Island transaction," which allegedly rendered BAICO insolvent.

In his Motion, Ramlogan—a citizen of Trinidad and Tobago—seeks dismissal of BAICO's claim against him, asserting that he is not subject to personal jurisdiction in the United States. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004(f); SEC v. Carrillo, 115 F.3d 1540, 1544 (11th Cir. 1997). BAICO opposes Ramlogan's Motion. Based on a detailed review of the parties' arguments and the record evidence, the Bankruptcy Court determined that exercising personal jurisdiction over Ramlogan in this case would violate due process. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004(f); Carrillo, 115 F.3d at 1542, 1544. The Bankruptcy Court found that Ramlogan's pre-suit contacts with the United States were not "systematic and continuous" and thus were insufficient "to support the exercise of jurisdiction over claims unrelated to his specific contacts with the United States." DE 14-2 at 7; see Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown, 131 S. Ct. 2846, 2851, 2853-54

¹ In its prior Order withdrawing the reference of this case to the Bankruptcy Court, this Court referred all dispositive pretrial motions to the Bankruptcy Court for a report and recommendation. See DE 6 at 5-6.

(2011) (discussing "general or all-purpose jurisdiction"). Further, the Bankruptcy Court concluded that it lacked specific personal jurisdiction over Ramlogan because he had no substantial contacts with the United States relating to the breach-of-fiduciary-duty claim alleged here. See DE 14-2 at 7-11; Brown, 131 S. Ct. at 2851, 2853-54 (addressing "specific or case-linked jurisdiction"). The Bankruptcy Court therefore recommended that Ramlogan's Motion be granted. See DE 14-2 at 11.

After a careful review, this Court agrees with the Bankruptcy Court's reasoning, conclusions, and recommendations. Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** as follows:

- The Bankruptcy Court's recommendations in its Order on Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction [DE 14-2] are ADOPTED;
- Defendant Vishnu Ramlogan's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction [DE 14-3] is GRANTED; and
- 3. Plaintiff BAICO's claim against Defendant Vishnu Ramlogan in the above-styled action is **DISMISSED**.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida, on this 7th day of June, 2013.

JAMES I. COHN United States District Judge

Copies provided to:

United States Bankruptcy Judge Erik P. Kimball

Counsel of record