Williams v. Williams et al Doc. 4

Case 1:05-cv-00151-WLS-RLH Document 4 Filed 03/20/2006 Page 1 of 8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ALBANY DIVISION

JOHN HENRY WILLIAMS, :

.

Plaintiff,

•

VS. : CIVIL ACTION NO.1:05-cv-151 (WLS)

.

ROD WILLIAMS; SEAN EDGAR;

DAVID GARLAND; KEVIN LEE,

:

Defendants. : ORDER

Plaintiff **JOHN HENRY WILLIAMS**, an inmate at Calhoun State Prison in Morgan, Georgia, has filed a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He also seeks leave to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee or security therefor pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). As it appears plaintiff is unable to pay the cost of commencing this action, his application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is hereby **GRANTED**. However, even if a prisoner is allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis*, he must nevertheless pay the full amount of the \$250.00 filing fee, as explained in detail later in this Order.

In a separate Recommendation entered on this date, the Undersigned recommended to Judge W. Louis Sands that plaintiff's claim of cruel and unusual punishment during his arrest be dismissed from this action. However, the Undersigned finds that plaintiff's claim of unlawful arrest should go forward. Plaintiff states that his arrest occurred on January 7, 2002. Plaintiff's claim would be barred by the applicable two year statute of limitations if his claim accrued on the date of this arrest. However, there is apparently a split among the Circuit Courts of Appeal regarding when a claim for false arrest accrues: On the date of the arrest or the date of the prisoner's release. *See Singleton v.*

City of New York, 632 F.2d 185 (2d Cir. 1980); Rose v. Bartle, 871 F.2d 331 (3d Cir. 1989); McCune v. City of Grand Rapids, 842 F.2d 903 (6th Cir. 1988); Rinehart v. Locke, 454 F.2d 313 (7th Cir. 1971); Davis v. Harvey, 789 F.2d 1332 (9th Cir. 1986); Shulman v. Miskell, 626 F.2d 173 (D.C. Cir. 1980). It does not appear that the Eleventh Circuit has directly addressed the issue. However, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals has held that a false arrest is a tort causing continuing injury and, therefore, a prisoner's cause of action does not accrue until the date of his release. Donaldson v. O'Connor, 493 F.2d 507, 529 (5th Cir 1974) (vacated and remanded on other grounds, 432 U.S. 885 (1975)) (holding that "[i]n the case of false imprisonment, . . . the cause of action does not accrue until the release of the imprisoned party.")¹

"To dismiss a prisoner's complaint as time-barred prior to service, it must 'appear beyond doubt from the complaint that [the prisoner] can prove no set of facts which would avoid a statute of limitations bar'." *Hughes v. Lott*, 350 F.3d 1157, 1163 (11th Cir. 2003)(quoting *Leal v. Ga. Dep't of Corrections*, 254 F.3d 1276, 1280 (11th Cir. 2001)). Based on a review of the relevant cases, the Court cannot, at this time, say that plaintiff's claim of false arrest is barred by the statute of limitations. Therefore, while the Court has reservations regarding the ultimate validity of plaintiff's claim of false arrest, the Court will allow this claim to go forward.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that service be made as provided by law upon defendants **ROD WILLIAMS, SEAN EDGAR, DAVID GARLAND,** AND **KEVIN LEE**, and that they file a Waiver of Reply, an Answer, or such other response as may be appropriate under

¹In *Bonner v. City of Pritchard*, 661 F.2d 1206, 1207 (11th Cir. 1981) (en banc), the Eleventh Circuit adopted as binding precedent the decisions of the former Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals rendered prior to October 1, 1981.

Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, U.S.C. § 1915, and the *Prison Litigation**Reform Act.

It is further ORDERED AND DIRECTED that a copy of this order be served upon plaintiff's custodian, if any.

DUTY TO ADVISE OF ADDRESS CHANGE

During the pendency of this action, each party shall at all times keep the clerk of this court and all opposing attorneys and/or parties advised of his current address. Failure to promptly advise the Clerk of any change of address may result in the dismissal of a party's pleadings filed herein.

DUTY TO PROSECUTE ACTION

Plaintiff is advised that he must diligently prosecute his complaint or face the possibility that it will be dismissed under Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for failure to prosecute. Defendants are advised that they are expected to <u>diligently</u> defend all allegations made against them and to file timely dispositive motions as hereinafter directed. This matter will be set down for trial when the court determines that discovery has been completed and that all motions have been disposed of or the time for filing dispositive motions has passed.

FILING AND SERVICE OF MOTIONS, PLEADINGS, DISCOVERY AND CORRESPONDENCE

It is the responsibility of each party to file original motions, pleadings, and correspondence with the Clerk of court; to serve copies of all motions, pleadings, discovery, and correspondence upon opposing parties or counsel for opposing parties if they are represented; and to attach to said original motions, pleadings, and correspondence filed with the Clerk a <u>certificate of service</u> indicating who has been served and where (i.e., at what address), when service was made, and how service was accomplished (i.e., by U.S. Mail, by personal service, etc.). The Clerk of Court will not

serve or forward copies of such motions, pleadings, and correspondence on behalf of the parties.

DISCOVERY

Plaintiff shall not commence discovery until an answer or dispositive motion has been filed on behalf of the defendants from whom discovery is sought by the plaintiff. The defendants shall not commence discovery until such time as an answer or dispositive motion has been filed. Once an answer or dispositive motion has been filed, the parties are authorized to seek discovery from one another as provided in the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. The deposition of the plaintiff, a state/county prisoner, may be taken at any time during the time period hereinafter set out provided prior arrangements are made with his custodian. Plaintiff is hereby advised that failure to submit to a deposition may result in the dismissal of his lawsuit under Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that discovery (including depositions and interrogatories) shall be completed within 90 days of the date of filing of an answer or dispositive motion by the defendant unless an extension is otherwise granted by the court upon a showing of good cause therefor or a protective order is sought by the defendants and granted by the court. This 90-day period shall run separately as to each plaintiff and each defendant beginning on the date of filing of each defendant's answer and/or dispositive motion. The scheduling of a trial may be advanced upon notification from the parties that no further discovery is contemplated or that discovery has been completed prior to the deadline.

Discovery materials shall <u>not</u> be filed with the Clerk of Court. No party shall be required to respond to any discovery not directed to him or served upon him by the opposing counsel/part. The undersigned incorporates herein those parts of the **Local Rules** imposing the following

limitations on discovery: except with written permission of the court first obtained, INTERROGATORIES may not exceed TWENTY-FIVE (25) to each party, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS under Rule 34 of the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE may not exceed TEN (10) requests to each party, and REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS under Rule 36 of the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE may not exceed TEN (10) requests to each party. No party shall be required to respond to any such requests which exceed these limitations.

REQUESTS FOR DISMISSAL AND/OR JUDGMENT

Dismissal of this action or requests for judgment will not be considered by the court absent the filing of a separate motion therefor accompanied by a brief/memorandum of law citing supporting authorities. Dispositive motions should be filed at the earliest time possible, but in any event no later than thirty (30) days after the close of discovery unless otherwise directed by the court.

DIRECTIONS TO CUSTODIAN OF PLAINTIFF

Following the payment of the required initial partial filing fee or the waiving of the payment of same, the Warden of the institution wherein plaintiff is incarcerated, or the Sheriff of any county wherein he is held in custody, and any successor custodians, shall each month cause to be remitted to the Clerk of this court twenty percent (20%) of the preceding month's income credited to plaintiff's account at said institution until the \$250.00 filing fee has been paid in full. In accordance with provisions of the *Prison Litigation Reform Act*, plaintiff's custodian is hereby authorized to forward payments from the prisoner's account to the Clerk of Court each month until the filing fee is paid in full, provided the amount in the account exceeds \$10.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED that collection of monthly payments from

plaintiff's trust fund account shall continue until the entire \$250.00 has been collected,

notwithstanding the dismissal of plaintiff's lawsuit or the granting of judgment against him prior to

the collection of the full filing fee.

PLAINTIFF'S OBLIGATION TO PAY FILING FEE

Pursuant to provisions of the *Prison Litigation Reform Act*, in the event plaintiff is hereafter

released from the custody of the State of Georgia or any county thereof, he shall remain obligated

to pay any balance due on the filing fee in this proceeding until said amount has been paid in full;

plaintiff shall continue to remit monthly payments as required by the *Prison Litigation Reform Act*.

Collection from the plaintiff of any balance due on the filing fee by any means permitted by law is

hereby authorized in the event plaintiff is released from custody and fails to remit payments. In

addition, plaintiff's complaint is subject to dismissal if he has the ability to make monthly payments

and fails to do so.

ELECTION TO PROCEED BEFORE THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) authorizes and empowers full-time magistrate judges to conduct any

and all proceedings in a jury or nonjury civil matter and to order the entry of judgment in a case

upon the written consent of the parties. If the parties desire for the United States Magistrate Judge

to hear this case through trial and the entry of judgment, they may obtain the necessary consent

forms from the Clerk of the Court.

SO ORDERED, this 20th day of March, 2006.

/s/ Richard L. Hodge

RICHARD L. HODGE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6

lnb

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES

PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY SET OUT ABOVE, NO DISCOVERY SHALL BE PERMITTED IN THIS CASE UNTIL AN ANSWER OR DISPOSITIVE MOTION (e.g., MOTION TO DISMISS, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS) HAS BEEN FILED BY THE DEFENDANT(S).

PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, DISCOVERY (DEPOSITIONS, INTERROGATORIES, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS, REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS, ETC., AND RESPONSES THERETO) SHALL NOT BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF COURT. NOTE THAT THIS IS A CHANGE IN THE PROCEDURE HERETOFORE FOLLOWED IN THIS DISTRICT.

DO NOT FILE ANY DISCOVERY WITH THE COURT UNLESS YOU ARE SPECIFICALLY DIRECTED TO DO SO BY THE COURT OR UNLESS FILING IS NECESSARY TO SUPPORT OR CONTEST A MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, OBJECTION TO DISCOVERY, DISPOSITIVE MOTION, OR SIMILAR MOTION. THE CLERK IS DIRECTED TO RETURN ANY SUBMITTED DISCOVERY TO THE PARTY SUBMITTING IT UNLESS IT IS FILED PURSUANT TO AN ORDER OF THE COURT OR IN SUPPORT OF A MOTION TO COMPEL, OBJECTION TO DISCOVERY, DISPOSITIVE MOTION, OR

SIMILAR MOTION.