



with the alleged improper calculation of her annuity. Nothing in the motion indicates that the Court erred when it concluded that the complaint must be dismissed because: 1) it sought money damages against an immune defendant, 2) it sought review of an OPM decision, which this Court lacks jurisdiction to conduct, and 3) to the degree Plaintiff intended to raise a complaint under 5 U.S.C. § 552a(g), it was brought against the wrong defendant. Thus, Plaintiff has also failed to convince the Court that it committed clear error in its previous order or that reconsideration is necessary to prevent manifest injustice. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is denied.

SO ORDERED this 3rd day of December, 2010.

S/ C. Ashley Royal  
C. ASHLEY ROYAL, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

bcw