## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA MACON DIVISION

John Bartow REYNOLDS,	
Plaintiff,	) )
V.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:10-CV-249 (MTT)
Glenn MOURIDY, et. al,	
Defendants.	
	)

## ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (the "Motion") (Doc. 21). The Court dismissed the action because the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. As the Court wrote, "Although the Plaintiff may, in fact, have a valid claim arising from the foreclosure in this case, the Court is unable to construe any viable legal theory or factual basis on which Plaintiff's claims could rest." The Plaintiff's Motion does not resolve this deficiency. And in any event, a Motion to reconsider is not sufficient or effective to save a complaint such as the one filed by the Plaintiff in this case.

The Plaintiff is reminded, however, that the Court dismissed this case without prejudice. Thus, the Plaintiff may be able to re-file his claim by filing a complaint in which the relief sought is clearly laid out, as well as the factual basis for such relief.

The Plaintiff has shown no cause why this Court should reconsider its previous order. Therefore, the action remains **DISMISSED**.

## **SO ORDERED**, this the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 2010.

S/ Marc T. Treadwell
MARC T. TREADWELL, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

jch