

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
MACON DIVISION

|                                   |   |                                |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| <b>WILLIE FRANK WRIGHT, JR</b>    | : |                                |
|                                   | : |                                |
| <b>Plaintiff</b>                  | : |                                |
|                                   | : | <b>NO. 5:11-CV-0394-HL-CHW</b> |
| <b>VS.</b>                        | : |                                |
|                                   | : |                                |
| <b>MICHAEL FREELAND, et. al.,</b> | : |                                |
|                                   | : |                                |
| <b>Defendants</b>                 | : |                                |

---

**ORDER**

Plaintiff Willie Frank Wright Jr., an inmate at Macon State Prison in Oglethorpe, Georgia, has filed a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal from the Court’s December 24, 2013, Order dismissing his complaint. In the Court’s best judgment, an appeal from that Order cannot be taken in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) (“An appeal may not be taken *in forma pauperis* if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith.”); Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3) (“A party who was permitted to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the district-court action . . . may proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis* . . . unless . . . the district court . . . certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith”).

A review of court records on the U.S. District Web PACER Docket Report also reveals that Plaintiff has already accumulated three “strikes” under section 28 U.C.S. § 1915(g): *See Wright v. Massey*, 5:11-cv-0491 (S.D. Ga. 2011); *Wright v. Hicks*, 5:10-cv-246 (MTT) (M.D. Ga. July 16, 2010); *Wright v. Waller*, 5:10-cv-254 (MTT) (M.D. Ga. Aug. 23, 2011). Because of these dismissals, Plaintiff may not proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal unless he can show that he qualifies for the “imminent danger of serious physical injury” exception in § 1915(g). Plaintiff’s Motion does not allege the existence of any such danger.

Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal (Doc. 70) is accordingly  
**DENIED.**

**SO ORDERED** this 29<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2014.

*s/ Hugh Lawson*  
Hugh Lawson, Judge  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

jlr