TUCKER v. SEARCY Doc. 44

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA MACON DIVISION

ROBERT TUCKER, III,	)
Plaintiff,	) }
v.	) CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:12-CV-244 (MTT)
RALPH SEARCY,	)
Defendant.	)
	)

## **ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on the Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Stephen Hyles. (Doc. 42). The Magistrate Judge recommends

granting the Defendant's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 31) because the Plaintiff

cannot show the Defendant was deliberately indifferent to his medical needs. The

Plaintiff has filed an objection to the Recommendation. (Doc. 43).

The Court has reviewed the Recommendation. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has also considered the Plaintiff's objection and made a de novo determination of the portions of the Recommendation to which the Plaintiff objects.

The Recommendation is adopted and made the order of this Court. The Defendant's motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**.

**SO ORDERED**, this 25th day of March, 2014.

S/ Marc T. Treadwell
MARC T. TREADWELL, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT