



her lawsuit should not be dismissed for failure to comply with the Court's orders and instructions. Plaintiff was again given twenty-one (21) days to respond, warned that failure to respond would result in the dismissal of her Complaint, and reminded of her obligation to inform the Court of any address change. ECF No. 6 at 1-2.

The time for compliance again passed with no response from Plaintiff. In addition, the Court's order to show cause was returned as undeliverable. *See* ECF No. 7. Accordingly, because of Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's instructions and orders, her failure to otherwise diligently prosecute this action, and because it does not appear her claims would be barred by the applicable statute of limitations, her Complaint shall be **DISMISSED without prejudice**. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; *see also* *Brown v. Tallahassee Police Dep't*, 205 F. App'x 802, 802 (11th Cir. 2006) (per curiam) ("The court may dismiss an action *sua sponte* under Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute or failure to obey a court order.") (citing *Lopez v. Aransas Cnty. Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 570 F.2d 541, 544 (5th Cir.1978)).

**SO ORDERED**, this 28th day of April, 2017.

S/ Marc T. Treadwell  
MARC T. TREADWELL, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT