

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
MACON DIVISION**

**SHAWN JEROME KNOTT,** )

**Plaintiff,** )

**v.** )

**Warden GREGORY MCLAUGHLIN, et** )  
**al.,** )

**Defendants.** )

**CASE NO. 5:17-CV-36(MTT)**

**ORDER**

United States Magistrate Judge Charles H. Weigle recommends denying the Plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction (Doc. 25) because the Plaintiff has failed to satisfy the necessary prerequisites for the Court to issue such a drastic remedy. Doc. 28. The Plaintiff has not objected.<sup>1</sup> The Court has reviewed the Recommendation, and the Court accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Recommendation (Doc. 28) is **ADOPTED** and made the order of this Court, and the Plaintiff’s motion (Doc. 25) is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED**, this 30th day of May, 2018.

S/ Marc T. Treadwell  
MARC T. TREADWELL, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

---

<sup>1</sup> On April 16, 2018, the same day the Magistrate Judge filed the Recommendation (Doc. 28), the Court received the Plaintiff’s reply to the Defendants’ response (Doc. 27) to his motion, which obviously the Magistrate Judge could not have seen before issuing his Recommendation. Doc. 29. However, regardless of whether or not the Court considers the Plaintiff’s reply, the Plaintiff’s motion must be denied for the reasons articulated in the Recommendation (Doc. 28).