IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA MACON DIVISION

MARCO MCILWAIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:17-CV-363 (MTT)

DR. EDWARD BURNSIDE, et al.,

Defendants.

<u>ORDER</u>

Plaintiff Marco McIlwain has filed an application to appeal in forma pauperis

("IFP"). Docs. 36; 38. The Plaintiff seeks to appeal from the Court's judgment

dismissing the action without prejudice (Doc. 32) following its Order (Doc. 31) adopting

the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 27) and granting the

Defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. 17). Doc. 33. Applications to appeal IFP are

governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and Fed. R. App. P. 24. 28 U.S.C. § 1915 provides:

(a)(1) [A]ny court of the United States may authorize the commencement, prosecution or defense of any suit, action or proceeding, civil or criminal, or appeal therein, without prepayment of fees or security therefor, by a person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such prisoner possesses that the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. Such affidavit shall state the nature of the action, defense or appeal and affiant's belief that the person is entitled to redress.

(3) An appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith.

Similarly, Fed. R. App. P. 24(a) provides:

(1) [A] party to a district-court action who desires to appeal in forma pauperis must file a motion in the district court. The party must attach an affidavit that:

(A) shows . . . the party's inability to pay or to give security for fees and costs;

(B) claims an entitlement to redress; and

(C) states the issues that the party intends to present on appeal.

(2) If the district court denies the motion, it must state its reasons in writing.

Thus, the Court must make two determinations when faced with an application to proceed IFP. First, it must determine whether the plaintiff is financially able to pay the filing fee required for an appeal. Next, the Court must determine if the plaintiff has satisfied the good faith requirement. "'[G]ood faith' . . . must be judged by an objective standard." *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962). The plaintiff demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a non-frivolous issue. *Id.* An issue "is frivolous if it is 'without arguable merit either in law or fact." *Napier v. Preslicka*, 314 F.3d 528, 531 (11th Cir. 2002) (citations omitted). "Arguable means capable of being convincingly argued." *Sun v. Forrester*, 939 F.2d 924, 925 (11th Cir. 1991) (quotation marks and citations omitted); *Carroll v. Gross*, 984 F.2d 392, 393 (11th Cir. 1993) ("[A] case is frivolous . . . when it appears the plaintiff 'has little or no chance of success."") (citations omitted). "In deciding whether an [in forma pauperis] appeal is frivolous, a district court determines whether there is 'a factual and legal basis . . . for the asserted wrong, however inartfully pleaded." *Sun*, 939 F.2d at 925 (citations omitted).

The Plaintiff stated that "because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs for this appeal . . . If this Court has any applications or any other type of paperwork that I need, I request that also." Doc. 36. The Court responded to the Plaintiff's request by asking him to provide more detailed financial information, requesting he identify the issues he intends to appeal, and directing the Clerk of Court to mail him a copy of a form for a financial affidavit and motion to proceed IFP. Doc. 37 at 3. The Plaintiff

-2-

completed that form and filed it. Doc. 38. According to his affidavit, the Plaintiff is unemployed and has no income or assets. *Id.* at 1-2. That affidavit adequately demonstrates he is unable to pay the filing fee.

Although the Plaintiff has not submitted a statement of the issues he intends to appeal, as is required under Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(1)(C), the Court's independent review of the issues addressed in the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 27), the objection (Doc. 29), and the Court's Order adopting the Recommendation (Doc. 31) demonstrates that the Plaintiff's appeal is frivolous. *See Hyche v. Christensen*, 170 F.3d 769, 771 (7th Cir. 1999), overruled on other grounds by *Lee v. Clinton*, 209 F.3d 1025 (7th Cir. 2000) (explaining that the arguments to be advanced on appeal are often obvious and decisions regarding good faith can be made by looking at the "reasoning of the ruling sought to be appealed" instead of requiring a statement from the plaintiff).

For those reasons, the appeal is not brought in good faith. The Plaintiff has raised no issues with arguable merit. Consequently, the Plaintiff's application to appeal in forma pauperis (Doc. 38) is **DENIED**.

If the Plaintiff wishes to proceed with his appeal, he must pay the entire \$505 appellate filing fee. Because the Plaintiff has stated that he cannot pay the fee immediately, he must pay using the partial payment plan described under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). Pursuant to section 1915(b), the prison account custodian where the Plaintiff is confined shall cause to be remitted to the Clerk of this Court monthly payments of 20% of the preceding month's income credited to the Plaintiff's account (to the extent the account balance exceeds \$10) until the \$505 appellate filing fee has been paid in full. Checks should be made payable to "Clerk, U.S. District Court." The Clerk of Court

-3-

is **DIRECTED** to send a copy of this Order to the custodian of the prison in which the

Plaintiff is incarcerated.

SO ORDERED, this 29th day of May, 2019.

<u>S/ Marc T. Treadwell</u> MARC T. TREADWELL, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT