

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
VALDOSTA DIVISION**

**WILLIAM BRANT,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**LAVERN FRY, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**Case No. 7:04-CV-41 (HL)**

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) (Doc. 61) on Defendants Mapp and Fry’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 56). The R&R recommends granting Defendants’ Motion. Plaintiff has filed a timely Objection (Doc. 63). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has thoroughly considered Plaintiff’s Objection and has made a de novo determination of the portion of the R&R to which he objects. After careful consideration, the Court accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

**SO ORDERED**, this the 15<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2008

**s/ Hugh Lawson  
HUGH LAWSON, Judge**

dhc