Sprayberry v. Hart et al Doc. 47

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA VALDOSTA DIVISION

:

HUBERT SPRAYBERRY,

:

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.

٧.

7:08-CV-27 (HL)

J. DARRELL HART and JACK

MELTON,

:

Defendants.

ORDER

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (Doc. 41), which recommends granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 15) and denying Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 35 and 38). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has thoroughly considered Plaintiff's untimely Objection and has made a *de novo* determination of the portions of the R&R to which Plaintiff objects. After careful consideration, the Court accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 19) and Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed (Doc. 45) are denied.

SO ORDERED, this the 13th day of March, 2009.

s/ Hugh Lawson HUGH LAWSON, Judge

wjc