Branham v. Astrue Doc. 25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA VALDOSTA DIVISION

WILLIAM A. BRANHAM, :

:

Plaintiff,

.

v. : Criminal Action No.

7:08-CV-123(HL)

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

Commissioner of the Social

Security Administration Agency

:

Defendant. :

:

ORDER

Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 24) is presently pending before this Court. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and may not be aware of the "subtleties of modern motion practice." See Griffith v. Wainwright, 772 F.2d 822, 825 (11th Cir. 1985) (directing courts to provide notice to pro se litigants facing a motion for summary judgment "of the summary judgment rules, [their] right to file affidavits or other material in opposition to the motion, and of the consequences of default"). The Court thus deems it appropriate and necessary to advise Plaintiff of his right to respond to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, and of the consequences he will suffer if he fails to file a response hereto.

Rule 56(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, dealing with motions for summary judgment, provides as follows:

The motion must be served at least 10 days before the day set for the hearing. An opposing party may serve opposing affidavits before the hearing day. The judgment sought should be rendered if the pleadings, the discovery and disclosure materials on file, and any affidavits show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). Although the Rule makes references to hearings, under the procedure and policies of this Court, motions for summary judgment are normally decided on the briefs. The Court considers the pleadings and any discovery and disclosure materials on file, together with any affidavits submitted by the parties, in deciding whether summary judgment is appropriate under Rule 56; the parties may submit their arguments to the Court by filing briefs in support of or briefs in opposition to said motions.

Under the law, the party upon whom a motion for summary judgment has been filed has the right to file affidavits or other material in opposition to a summary judgment motion. If he fails or refuses to file any affidavits or other materials in opposition to the motion for summary judgment, a final judgment may be rendered against him if otherwise appropriate under the law.

Summary judgment can only be granted if there are <u>no</u> genuine issues of material fact and if the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Fed.R.Civ.P. 56(c); <u>Warrior Tombigbee Transportation Co. v. M/V Nan Fung</u>, 695 F.2d 1294, 1296 (11th Cir. 1983). While the evidence and all factual inferences

therefrom must be viewed by the court in the light most favorable to the party opposing the motion, the party opposing the granting of the motion for summary judgment cannot rest on his pleadings to present an issue of fact but must make a response to the motion by filing affidavits, depositions, or otherwise in order to persuade the court that there are material facts which exist in the case which must be presented to a jury for resolution. See Van T. Junkins & Assoc. v. U.S. Industries, Inc., 736 F.2d 656, 658 (11th Cir.1984). Failure of Plaintiff herein to respond to and rebut the statements set forth in Defendant's statement of material facts, affidavits, or other sworn pleadings will result in said statements being accepted as the truth. If the Court finds that Defendant has satisfied its burden on motion for summary judgment, the Motion will be granted and there will be no trial or other further

Therefore, it is hereby ordered that the Clerk serve a copy of this Order on Plaintiff at the last address provided for him. Plaintiff shall have until June 1, 2008, to respond to the Motion for Summary Judgment. Defendant shall have ten days in which to file a reply to Plaintiff's response, after which the Court will take the Motion under advisement.

SO ORDERED, this the 28th day of April, 2009.

<u>s/ Hugh Lawson</u> HUGH LAWSON, Judge

dhc

proceedings.