

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
VALDOSTA DIVISION**

TIMOTHY R. STEHN,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,

Defendant.

No. 7:12-MC-1 (HL)

ORDER

On June 18, 2012, Defendant Department of Defense filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Motion to Quash (Doc. 4-1). Since Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the Court deems it appropriate and necessary to advise him of his obligations in responding to said Motion and of the consequences which he may suffer if he fails to file a proper response thereto.

Plaintiff is advised:

- (1) that a Motion to Dismiss has been filed herein on behalf of Defendant;
- (2) that he has the right to oppose the granting of said Motion; and,
- (3) that if he fails to oppose said Motion, the complaint against Defendant may be dismissed.

Plaintiff is further advised that under the procedures and policies of this Court, motions to dismiss are normally decided on briefs. The Court considers the

complaint and briefs filed by the parties in deciding whether dismissal is appropriate under the law.

Failure of Plaintiff to respond to the Motion to Dismiss may result in the granting of said Motion. There would be no trial or any further proceedings as to the Defendant seeking dismissal.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is directed to file a response to the Motion to Dismiss no later than Thursday, July 12, 2012. Defendant will then have the opportunity to file a reply brief as provided by the Local Rules. Thereafter, the Court will consider the Motion. If no response is submitted by Plaintiff, the Court will consider said Motion to be uncontested.

The Clerk is directed to serve Plaintiff at the address listed on the docket.

SO ORDERED, this the 21st day of June, 2012.

s/ Hugh Lawson

HUGH LAWSON, SENIOR JUDGE

ebr