UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA, ATLANTA DIVISION

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS, OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS, INC., and SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

Civil Action No. 1:08-CV-1425-ODE

-v.-

MARK P. BECKER, in his official capacity as Georgia State University President, et al.,

Defendants.

Videotaped deposition of

_____/

DENIS CHARLES GAINTY, Ph.D., taken on behalf of the defendants, pursuant to the stipulations contained herein, before Carole E. Poss, RDR, CRR, Certified Court Reporter, at 1180 Peachtree Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia, on the 20th day of April, 2011, commencing at the hour of 10:13 a.m.

> SHUGART & BISHOP Certified Court Reporters 13 Corporate Square Suite 140 Atlanta, Georgia 30329 (770) 955-5252

Γ

DENIS GAINTY

1	тыреу	TO EXAMINATIONS		
1 2	INDEX	IO EXAMINATIONS		
2 3				
	Examination Pag			
4	Dire	ct Examination by Ms. Moffitt	5	
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bloom 4			
6	Redi	rect Examination by Ms. Moffitt	60	
7		INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
8	_			
9	Defendants' Exhibit Gainty TX			
10	1	Syllabus, Cross-Cultural Encounters in World History	11	
11				
12	2	Fair use checklist	21	
	Plaintiffs' Exhibit			
13	Gain	ty PX		
14	1	Policy on the Use of Copyrighted Works in Education and Research	44	
15	2	Excerpt from The Cambridge History of China,		
16	-	volume 8, part 2	56	
17	3	Portion of e-reserve report relating to Dr. Gainty's HIST 4820 course	58	
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

SHUGART & BISHOP

CAMBRIDGE vs. BECKER

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: On behalf of the Plaintiffs: 2 3 JONATHAN BLOOM, ESQ. Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue 4 New York, New York 10153-0119 5 On behalf of the Defendants: 6 NATASHA H. MOFFITT, ESQ. King & Spalding LLP 7 1180 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3521 8 9 Also Present: Elizabeth Kemp, Videographer 10 11 12 13 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now on the video 15 record. This is the beginning of tape number 1. 16 This is the videotaped deposition of Denis Gainty 17 taken by the defendants in the matter of Cambridge 18 University Press, Oxford University Press, 19 Incorporated, and Sage Publications, Incorporated, 20 versus Mark P. Becker, in his official capacity as Georgia State University president, et al. 21 Madam Court Reporter, would you please swear 22 in the witness. 23 24 (Witness sworn.) 25 MR. BLOOM: I'd like to make an objection on

SHUGART & BISHOP

CAMBRIDGE vs	s. BECKER
---------------------	-----------

DENIS GAINTY

1	that particular work?				
2	A Yes.				
3	Q What informs you on this checklist that this				
4	checklist, in particular, relates to that particular				
5	work?				
6	A I can read my name, the name of the course,				
7	the author and publisher and portions to be used, the				
8	page numbers. All of those match the work in question.				
9	Q When did you fill out this checklist?				
10	A I did.				
11	Q When did you fill out this fair use				
12	checklist?				
13	A I filled out this fair use checklist in the				
14	last few months.				
15	Q Now, in the upper right-hand corner it's				
16	dated August 1, 2009. Do you see that?				
17	A Yes.				
18	Q What does that date reflect?				
19	A I tried, as best I could, to the best of my				
20	ability, to recreate the fair use checklist that I				
21	would have filled out and I believe I did fill out for				
22	the fall 2009 semester.				
23	Q You stated that you recreated the checklist.				
24	Can you explain why you recreated the checklist?				
25	A I did not have any longer a copy of the fair				

SHUGART & BISHOP

use checklist that I completed for 2009.				
Q And why not? Why did you no longer have a				
copy of that checklist?				
A I discarded it.				
Q Why?				
A I believed that because the course was				
canceled after one class meeting, it was not necessary				
to retain the fair use checklist.				
Q And can you explain why you went about				
recreating this checklist?				
A I was informed that there was a lawsuit and				
asked by the Office of Legal Affairs at Georgia State				
to recreate this checklist.				
Q And when you recreated the checklist, did you				
make an effort to fill it out in the same way that you				
filled it out in the office or in the 2009 time				
frame before the course started?				
A Yes.				
Q When you originally filled this particular				
checklist out in the 2009 time frame, did you make a				
good faith effort to conduct a fair use analysis in				

- 22 accordance with the checklist?
- 23
- Α Yes.

24 And this particular checklist, Gainty TX 2, Q 25 relates to which pages of the "Sino-Korean Tributary

SHUGART & BISHOP

CAMBRIDGE vs. BECKER

DENIS GAINTY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21