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JOHN S. DUFFIELD,
having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Good morning. Could you start by just spelling your full name and providing your address for the record?
A. My full name is John Stuart Duffield. J-o-h-n, S-t-u-a-r-t, D-u-f-f-i-e-l-d. And my home address is 596 North Superior Avenue in Decatur, Georgia, 30033.
Q. Thank you. I'm Todd Larson, we met off the record. I'm here representing the plaintiffs in this action.

Have you been deposed before?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Let me just give you a few sort of background rules. I'm sure your counsel told you some of this, but just so we're clear. Please answer the questions verbally as opposed to nods. Although we have a videotape, we're also taking a transcript and nods don't show up on the transcript.

Just let me finish my questions before you answer so we again have a clear transcript. If you don't understand a question $I$ ask or want some clarification, feel free to tell me and I'll attempt to clarify. If you need to take a break at any point, that's fine, just let me know, we can do that. I think it will be relatively short this morning total, so I'm sure we'll go pretty quickly.

If your counsel objects to a question, that is sort of a standard part of the deposition and you can go ahead and answer unless you're actually instructed, your counsel tells you not to answer. And that's about it.

Any questions on that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. What did you do to prepare for today's deposition?
A. Is this something that might involve
privilege?
MS. MOFFITT: I'll just caution the witness not to disclose the substance of any communications with counsel. But you can answer the question generally what you did to prepare.

THE WITNESS: All right. Well, $I$ took a look at the checklist that $I$ filled out and submitted to the university counsel several weeks or months ago. And took a look at the syllabus for the course for which the reading in question was used. And then $I$ met on Tuesday with counsel.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. How long was that meeting?
A. How long was that meeting. It was approximately an hour and a half.
Q. So you reviewed a checklist or checklists and syllabus for the class, is that right?
A. At what point are you referring to?
Q. During the run up to the deposition.
A. Well, again, on my own $I$ reviewed the checklists just to refamiliarize myself with what this was all about.
Q. And then you reviewed other materials with
counsel?
A. Well --
Q. And you don't need to tell me what they are. But did you look at some other materials with counsel?
A. I looked at various materials.
Q. Did you review any transcripts of prior depositions in this case?
A. No.
Q. Did you speak with any persons, other professors and the like who were deposed in the case?
A. I did speak yesterday with a colleague who was deposed $I$ think several months ago.
Q. Who was that?
A. Jason Riefler.
Q. And tell me the nature of that discussion.
A. Oh, I just asked him what the experience was like. And I don't know, he -- I'm trying to remember what specifically he said to me. It didn't seem to change my expectations of what this would be like.
Q. Did you talk about the substance of the case or the deposition at all?
A. No.
Q. Just the logistics?
A. More like what is it like answering questions.

MS. MOFFITT: Make sure you let
opposing counsel finish his question before you answer.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Did he tell you what a fierce questioner $I$ am? You don't need to answer.

Any other materials that you looked at besides the checklists and syllabus or -- strike that.

Did you look at the Georgia State copyright policy?
A. In preparation for this, no.
Q. Have you looked at it before?
A. Yes.
Q. Other than counsel and Mr. Riefler, did you speak with anyone else about your deposition today?
A. I mentioned to another colleague who is a former lawyer that $I$ was going to be deposed. I thought he might be interested. But we didn't talk about the substance of the case.
Q. You mentioned with the checklist that $I$ think you said you filled them out several months ago, is that right?
A. Are you referring to the checklist in question?
Q. Yes, for the course from 2009 that we'll be discussing.
A. Is your question when $I$ filled that out?
Q. Yes.
A. I believe $I$ filled that out in August 2009.
Q. Okay. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, I thought you said you filled something out several months ago. Did I misunderstand?
A. No, $I$ sent - I was requested to send a copy of the checklist to the university attorney.
Q. I see. But you had filled it out the prior year?
A. Long before that.
Q. I understand. Okay.

You're aware that the case is scheduled to go to trial in the middle of May?
A. I believe there was a reference to that in a communication $I$ received.

MS. MOFFITT: I caution the witness not to disclose the substance of communications
that you may have received from counsel.
But just a cautionary instruction.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. At this point do you know whether or not you'll be coming to trial as a witness?
A. No.
Q. Will you be present in the Atlanta area
generally between the middle of May and the middle of June?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any trips planned during that time or any plans to be away for research or study or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. I'll give you what's been marked as Duffield 1. Do you recognize what $I$ 've put in front of you and marked as Duffield Exhibit 1?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is it?
A. It is a version of my curriculum vitae.
Q. And when you say a version of it, are there other versions?
A. Well, there have been over the years.
Q. If you can just take a look, is this the most recent version?

MS. MOFFITT: Feel free to take your
time to review it.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And can you tell from looking at it when this was completed?
A. I would estimate that it was completed in the
fall of 2010.
Q. Okay. And are there any significant changes to it that you can tell me about that have happened since the fall of 2010?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question as vague. You can answer it if you can.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. I'll clarify a little for you. I'm not asking you to identify every, you know, new article you've published or something like that or graduate student you've taken on, but if you've changed jobs or taken on any additional roles in the department of anything like that that would be what I'm looking for.
A. Nothing along those lines.
Q. Okay. And is there anything inaccurate here, to your knowledge?

MS. MOFFITT: I'm going to object to the form of the question.

Do you want him to read every line?
MR. LARSON: No, again, the job position, job description, general responsibilities.

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question. Still vague.

Answer it if you understand it.
THE WITNESS: I would say no except for some omissions of any recent publications.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Are any of those recent publications books?
A. No.
Q. Are any of those recent publications published by any of the plaintiffs in this action?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form. I'm
not sure he knows who the plaintiffs are.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. The plaintiffs are Cambridge University Press, Oxford University Press and Sage Publications.
A. No.
Q. If you look at the first page under professional positions it says the University Statute and Senate Bylaws Committee 2008 to present.

Just tell me what that committee is.
A. The role of that committee is to entertain and approve or not, as the case may be, proposals to amend the university statutes and the senate bylaws.
Q. And are the university statutes, are those Georgia state statutes or are those particular just to the university?
A. They are Georgia State University statutes.
Q. And do those statutes govern or spell out the responsibilities of university administrators?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
Question is vague.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. You can answer if you understand.
A. The statutes refer to - establish several administrator positions, president, provost. I can't recall all the details. I think in very general terms describes their responsibilities.
Q. And what's your understanding of the role of the provost?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. You can answer if you know.
A. Well, the provost is the senior academic officer of the university, most universities, with broad responsibilities concerning faculty, academic programs. It's a little bit complicated because there are some other senior positions like vice presidents that report directly to the president, so 1 can't tell you exactly what the scope of the provost's responsibilities are beyond that.
Q. Would the provost have responsibility on
campus for the university's compliance with copyright laws?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question. Foundation. And vague.

You can answer.
THE WITNESS: I don't know.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Have you had any training, any legal training?
A. What do you mean by legal training?
Q. Have you taken any classes at a law school or other classes?
A. No.
Q. Any classes involving law in any way?

MS. MOFFITT: Object as vague. But you can answer it.

THE WITNESS: You know, nationalized has been a topic in some classes I've taken.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Any copyright training?
A. No, not in a formal legal training.
Q. Okay. Is there copyright training in some other setting that you're referring to when you answer that way?
A. Well, what I'm thinking of is the seminar $I$
took at GSU to instruct faculty in compliance, how to comply with the copyright rules.
Q. And when was that seminar?
A. It was -- I don't recall exactly. Several years ago.
Q. Do you recall whether that was after the new copyright policy at GSU was put in place?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you recall if it was prior to 2009?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you recall who led the seminar?
A. Not specifically.
Q. Was it an attorney, to your recollection?
A. I can't recall.
Q. Okay. Do you recall at that seminar being shown any materials?
A. What do you mean by materials?
Q. PowerPoints, handouts, any sort of written materials?
A. Yes, there was a PowerPoint presentation and written materials, handouts.
Q. And what were the handouts?
A. I don't recall the specifics.
Q. And what was the subject of the seminar?
A. Well, $I$ believe it was what to do to be in
compliance with the copyright rules when assigning works.
Q. And do you recall whether the checklists that are now in use at the university were shown to you at this seminar?
A. I don't.
Q. How long was the seminar, do you recall?
A. I can't recall specifically. At least an hour.
Q. Do you recall after that seminar making any changes in your practices based on what you heard at the seminar?

MS. MOFFITT: I object to the form of the question. It's vague.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. By practice, $I$ mean your practices of using materials in your courses with your students.
A. I don't recall specifically when $I$ have made changes in my practices and whether the seminar resulted in any changes.
Q. Have you made changes in your practices with respect to providing reading materials to students in the last two years?

MS. MOFFITT: I object to the form of
the question as vague and ambigious.

THE WITNESS: The question is concerning the -- my assignments or my -- or the aspects of the --

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Not the actual assignments, but the ways you provide materials to students as in, you know, whether they have to purchase books, whether you use ERes, whether you put them on hard copy reserve.
A. During what time period?
Q. During the last two years.
A. During the last two years --

MS. MOFFITT: Just object as vague. But
you can answer.
THE WITNESS: Nothing significant.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Looking back to Duffield 1, it's correct you were at the University of Georgia until 2001, is that right?
A. Until January 2002.
Q. And have been at GSU since that time?
A. Yes.
Q. I see on page 3 appears you're the author of three books, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And each of those --
A. Three published books.
Q. Each of those are published by Stanford University Press?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you sign contracts with Stanford for those books?
A. I believe $I$ signed a contract for each one.
Q. Why don't we talk about the most recent one just to focus the discussion.

That was in 2008, is that right?
A. It was -- it's listed as -- I only hesitate because it actually appeared in late 2007, but according to the publisher convention you use 2008 for books that appear after October 2007.
Q. And do you recall signing a contract with Stanford University Press for the third book "Over A Barrel"?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you own the copyright in the book or did you assign it to the publisher?

MS. MOFFITT: I object to the form of
the question. It's compound and vague and to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I don't know without looking at the contract what my rights are. BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Do you recall in the contract for the third book whether you granted electronic rights to the publisher?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question as vague.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Do you receive royalties for sales of the book?
A. That's a hard one to answer, too, because in theory $I$ can receive royalties. I received an advance payment -- no, I'm sorry. Yeah, for that book, so I don't know if those count as royalties or not.

But I assume -- I believe they would come out of -- were meant to come out of the future royalties.
Q. And has the -- that advance been recouped by the royalties earned?
A. I don't know. I do not know.
Q. Do you know whether you have received any payments for Stanford University Press granting licensing rights to the books or permissions fees of any kind?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: $I$ don't know.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Do you know whether the book has been adopted for use in courses at other universities?
A. I do not know.
Q. Do you know how many copies have been sold? MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.

Question is vague.
THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly. I
believe it's somewhere between 1 and 2,000, at least according to the last statement $I$ received.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And when you got that last statement, was there -- you receive a payment as well?
A. For this book?
Q. Yeah.
A. No.
Q. Do you receive royalty payments for either of the other two books listed on page 3 ?
A. No, $I$ never have.
Q. Okay. Do you know whether the book has been profitable for Stanford University Press?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the
question, its foundation and it's vague.
THE WITNESS: I don't.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Other than the financial aspects of the book and the advance you mentioned, again focusing on the third one, are there other benefits to you career wise from having published this book?

MS. MOFFITT: Question is vague.
You're referring to "Over A Barrel"?
MR. LARSON: Yeah.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Actually let me make the question more general.

Are there benefits to you other than financial benefits from publishing books?
A. I feel like there are.
Q. And what are they?
A. Well, one would be my feelings about myself for having accomplished something like that. Another would be, though it's harder to measure, would be my reputation and standing in my field.

One would be, though I'm beyond the point where there are any obvious further potential promotions, but that publishing books, I've seen publishing books as useful for increasing my chances of
promotion along the way.
Q. Let me ask, $I$ notice on the first page of your CV that you're on the social and behavioral sciences area committee on promotion and tenure, and another committee, the promotion and tenure third year review, contract renewal and lecture review committee?

MS. MOFFITT: I was just going to
object. I'm not sure that this suggests that he's still on that committee, but -BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Let's talk about the -- what's the first one that $I$ mentioned, the social and behavioral sciences area committee on promotion and tenure?
A. What is that committee?
Q. Yeah.
A. That is the - promotion and tenure process works as follows. A candidate for promotion and tenure or promotion is first reviewed by a committee in the candidate's department and the department chair separately. Recommendations from the committee, department committee and the department chair then go to the college level.

At the college level in the college of arts and sciences, there are three or four committees reflecting the different areas of the college. So for
the area of the social and behavioral sciences, there is a separate committee, currently consists of five faculty members, one from each of five departments. They review dossiers of candidates for promotion and tenure and make recommendations to the dean.
Q. I see. And in that process do you consider or -- are the candidates for promotion, are their publications considered?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you say they're important?
A. Yes.

MS. MOFFITT: Objection to form.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And is it generally important that a candidate for a tenured position have published a book?

MS. MOFFITT: Same objection. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: It depends very much on the field.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Could you explain, please?
A. Well, different disciplines have different expectations. Some disciplines, my understanding -In political science there is no single standard. Some people will be recommended for promotion and tenure even without ever having published a book.

In other cases where a candidate has published a book and is regarded as a good, significant, important book, that can carry considerable weight in the process.
Q. And what kind of weight does the, if any, does the actual publisher of the book carry?
A. Consideration is given to the publishers. How much weight $I$ couldn't say. It's part of an overall assessment.

And this will -- I'm assuming you're referring to Georgia State University?
Q. Yeah. Yeah.
A. And specifically to political science, we evaluate candidates in terms of the progress they're making toward obtaining a national reputation as a respected scholar in their field. The publishers of books is a factor that we consider in whether somebody has the potential or is achieving or they have achieved a national reputation of that nature.
Q. And which publishers, if you can name any, would you consider to be in the tier where it's helpful to have published, you know, for the candidate to have published with that publisher?

MS. MOFFITT: Object as to form. It's vague in its context.

THE WITNESS: Well, there are quite a
few. There's no absolute hierarchy.
People have different views and we discuss them.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. In your view would Oxford University Press and Cambridge University Press fall into that category, we'll say respected publishers or publishers with whom it would be good for a candidate to have published with?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form. Vague in its context.

THE WITNESS: I personally generally
have a good impression of those presses, though there are exceptions, and that's why we don't rely just on the press, but on the content of the book in evaluating it.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. When you -- let's look again back at the "Over A Barrel", the 2008 book. What was the actual role of Stanford University Press in publishing that book? In other words, did you work with an editor there? Did they help you develop the book at all?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question. It's vague. And multiple questions.

THE WITNESS: Well, in the process of --

I would not say that the - depends what you mean by developed the book.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. I'll just say a little more and hopefully it will give you the gist of what $I$ 'm trying to understand.

Did you have a finished manuscript that you gave them and they just printed it or did they participate in the substantive development of the manuscript prior to publishing it?

MS. MOFFITT: Question is vague.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. You can answer.
A. I would say $I$ contacted the press before $I$ had a complete manuscript. This gets at the issue of receiving an advance on -- and the press expressed an interest in the project as it was described and offered me a contract on the basis of the book proposal.

And -- but in terms of the substance of the book, the press left those decisions entirely up to me.
Q. Why did you contact Stanford University Press about this particular book?
A. Well, $I$ had already published two books with the press. I had a working relationship with one of the editors there. I thought they might be interested in the project and so it seemed logical to approach the
press about the project.
Q. Did you approach other publishers or just Stanford?
A. I believe I talked with some other editors.
Q. At what other presses, do you recall?
A. I might have talked with Cornell, Cambridge, Princeton. I can't remember any others. I don't know for sure. But $I^{\prime} m$ pretty sure $I$ contacted the editors of those presses.
Q. Do you know who at Cambridge you spoke with?
A. I believe it was Jonathan Haslam. I could be wrong. I would have probably spoken with editors at either the annual meeting of the American Political Science Association or the International Studies Association where they often send -- have a representative and he has been the person that $I$ recall meeting at some of those conferences.

So $I$ couldn't say for sure he was the one $I$ talked about this book, but it's likely.
Q. And how is it that you chose ultimately to publish with Stanford as opposed to these other presses that you just mentioned?
A. Well, Stanford showed an immediate interest in the form of offering me a contract at an early stage.
Q. All right. Including the advance?
A. I believe that was specified in the contract.
Q. And did you request the advance or did they offer that on their volition?

MS. MOFFITT: I object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Could you have completed the book without the advance?
A. Yes.
Q. Was the advance helpful to you in finishing the book?

MS. MOFFITT: Question is vague.
THE WITNESS: I don't think so. It wasn't that much money.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Would you have -- let's see.

Had there been no publisher interest in your idea, would there have been some other way to publish the book other than through a press, through a publisher?
A. I don't know. I never had to figure that out.
Q. Okay. Can you turn to the sixth page of your CV. The third entry on page 6, "International Security Institutions". Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Indicates it's a book published by Oxford University Press.

Did you author a chapter in that book?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's "The Oxford Handbook Of Political Institutions"? Did you deal directly with Oxford on that or with the author?
A. I dealt directly with one of the editors.
Q. An editor at oxford?
A. No, one of the editors of the book. There may have been at one point or another some communications with the press directly, but $I$ don't remember with whom or about what.
Q. I see. Did you have a contract of some kind for that book chapter?
A. I don't recall exactly. Usually my experience is usually there is some kind of contract.
Q. You don't recall specifically for that book?
A. No.
Q. And when you say there usually is a contract, do you know, is it usual that you assign your rights to the publisher when you write a chapter for a book like this?

MS. MOFFITT: I object to the form of the question as vague.

THE WITNESS: I can't really answer that without looking at the wording.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Several lines down there's an entry for
"Alliance Theory and NATO" and a book called "Explaining International Relations Since 1945". Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. That's also Oxford University Press book?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall there whether you dealt with anyone at Oxford on that book?
A. No.
Q. You didn't or you just don't recall?
A. Oh, no, $I$ don't recall.
Q. And do you recall whether you had a contract for the chapter in that book?
A. No.
Q. Let's turn over to page 7. One entry up from the bottom it says you were a contributor to "The Oxford Companion To Military History", Oxford Press book. You see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Same question there, do you recall dealing with anyone at Oxford with respect to your contribution to that book?
A. I don't recall.
Q. If you turn to 14 , please. In the middle of the page there's a section for book manuscript reviewer and Oxford University Press is listed. You see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what that means?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form. What what means?

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. What book manuscript reviewer for oxford University Press means?
A. Well, to me it means that at some point $I$ was asked to review all or part of a book manuscript by an editor at the press.
Q. Do you recall a specific example of that happening with Oxford or are you just surmising that that's what that means?
A. Well, $I$ vaguely recall a book in question. I believe it was a textbook, but beyond that $I$ don't recall the details.
Q. Okay. Do you recall who you dealt with at Oxford?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Do you recall whether you were paid?
A. I don't recall specifically.
Q. When you do book reviewing of that type, is it typical that you're paid for it?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form. It's vague.

THE WITNESS: You are typically offered some compensation either in pecuniary -monetary form or in terms of books published by the press up to a certain value.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Do you recall roughly when it was that you had this experience with Oxford University Press with the textbook you mentioned?
A. I vaguely recall it being in the middle of the last decade, but it could be plus or minus a couple of years.
Q. Thanks.

Give you what has been previously marked as Dixon 2. Do you recognize this document?
A. It looks familiar.
Q. And do you recognize it as the current GSU copyright policy?
A. I don't know just looking at it.
Q. You don't know, you don't recall having seen it before?
A. No, $I$ don't know what the current policy is and whether this corresponds to it or not.
Q. I understand. Just not clear.

Do you recall having seen this document whether or not it's the current policy?
A. Well, $I$ recall seeing this or something very similar to it.
Q. And do you recall when you first saw it?
A. When $I$ first saw it, no.
Q. So sitting here you don't know whether or not this is the current GSU copyright policy?
A. No.
Q. Just direct your attention to page 7 of this. You see at the top it says 1 of 19,2 of 19,3 of $19 ?$ Do you recognize page 7?
A. This looks like the fair use checklist I have filled out when requesting that items be made available on reserves.
Q. And look at page 13 for me, if you would. Is that a page that you recall having seen before?
A. It looks familiar. Whether I've seen it in this particular context or not, $I$ couldn't say. But the content looks familiar.
Q. Could you look at page 15. Is that a page that you recall having seen before?
A. I don't recall clearly having seen this particular page.
Q. You see under the middle under works in print it refers to Copyright Clearance Center. Are you familiar with Copyright Clearance Center?
A. It sounds familiar, but $I$ don't recall having had any contact with it.
Q. Do you recall whether some form of what we're looking at here in Dixon 2 was shown to you at this seminar that you described earlier in the deposition?
A. I don't recall specifically.
Q. Now, you've used the university ERes system before for your classes, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. You've filled out the checklist that we looked at on page 7 in the past, also?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever had occasion to consult with anyone at the library or an administrator about the fair use checklist?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question as vague.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. You don't recall having done so?
A. Right. It doesn't mean $I$ didn't, but $I$ just don't recall having done so.
Q. If you had a question about the checklist, do you know who you would consult or whether there is someone available to consult with?
A. If $I$ had a question $I$ would check the relevant pages of the university web site to try to identify somebody $I$ could consult with.
Q. Okay. Do you use the ULearn system?
A. No.
Q. Do you know the consequences if you were to violate the university copyright policy?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. I'm going to ask it a different way.

If you were contacted by the provost's office and were told that something you had put on the EReserve system was in violation of copyright law, would you take it down?
A. Well, the first thing $I$ would do is seek clarification of what the concern was and try to confirm that the work was indeed in violation.
Q. And if it were found to be, would you then remove it?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you were contacted by the president's office and told that something that you had posted on the EReserve system was in violation of copyright law, would you remove it?
A. Yes.
Q. You can put that one aside. You doing okay break wise?
A. Yes. Thanks for asking.
Q. Are you familiar with the GoSolar system at the university?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that something you have used or looked at before?
A. I use it for various purposes.
Q. Just show you what $I$ 've marked as Duffield 2. And this is a printout that we made from the GoSolar system on the GSU web site indicating that --

I want to ask you to confirm that you taught polysci 8470 in the fall 2009 semester?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And this, if I'm reading it right, says there was a cap of 15 students and 14 actual students in the course?
A. Yes, there was a cap of 15 and 14 who
registered. I don't believe it shows - occasionally a student will drop out of the course during the course of the semester so it may not reflect the actual number at any particular time.
Q. I see. But does 14 sound approximately right for that course?
A. Yes.
Q. I'll give you what's been marked as Duffield 3. Do you recognize Duffield 3 as the syllabus from polysci 8470 in the fall 2009 semester?
A. It looks like it.
Q. I know it's long so if you want to flip through it or do anything to confirm, please do.
A. Well, it bares a strong resemblance to what would have been the syllabus of record.
Q. Do you have any reason to believe this wouldn't be the syllabus?
A. No.
Q. And so the -- strike that.

Just looking under the course objectives, the second paragraph. Is it correct to say that the gist of this course was to introduce students to readings on the topic and to discuss those readings in class?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
Question is vague. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Well, the objective is stated here clearly to introduce graduate students to the literature in political science on violent conflict and security, especially their international dimensions.

The discussion was not an objective, it was a means to the end.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And if you take a look at page 3.

MS. MOFFITT: Are you referring to 65705?

MR. LARSON: I am.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Do you see there's a section there for readings which says all of the readings will take the form of journal articles and book chapters? Just tell me how were the readings provided to the students?
A. Well, as $I$ recall all the readings were available through a variety of electronic sources. The readings that fall under the category of the journal articles were usually - I can't think of any exceptions, but nevertheless I'll say usually available through one electronic database or another that is available to students and faculty of the university.

And then a handful of readings $I$ believe which were not available through one of those databases, $I$ requested that they be made available on the electronic reserve system.
Q. And that was a case where - would that be for book chapters that you use that system for?
A. I would use it for book chapters.
Q. And were there any texts required for purchase in the course?
A. I believe not. As it says all the readings will take the form of journal articles and book chapters.
Q. And why did you choose to use the EReserve system for the book chapters?
A. I have found the EReserve system to be convenient both for me and the students for making available and obtaining access to readings that aren't available on other electronic forms.
Q. Did you consider having the students purchase the books rather than using EReserves?
A. No, I didn't consider having the students -well, when you say the books, which books are you referring to?
Q. Yeah, let me - tell me if this is wrong, but my understanding is that there were some book chapters
that you put on this ERes system for this course, is that right?
A. Right.
Q. And so the question is about those, the books in which those chapters are found. And the question is, did you consider just having the students purchase those books rather than putting them on the EReserve, the chapters on the EReserve system?
A. I did not consider asking the students to purchase those books.
Q. And why not?
A. I believe that in each case $I$ wanted the students to read no more than one chapter from any of those books and it would have seemed too -- I'm mindful of the expense of and the resources of students, and so I try to minimize the cost to them of purchasing materials.

So if I'm assigning all or large parts of the book, $I$ will make that book -- request that they purchase that book. When I am assigning only a chapter or the equivalent, a small part of a book, I will attempt to make it available through EReserves.
Q. Now, just so $I$ understand, there were no required purchases at all in this course $I$ think you said, right?
A. Right.
Q. So the cost for any student in this class was zero, is that right?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. I mean the cost for reading materials.

MS. MOFFITT: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: Well, they weren't required to purchase directly any reading materials.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. For the -- again for the book chapters you placed on EReserves, did you consider rather than using EReserves putting the book on hard copy reserves in the library?
A. I don't recall if $I$ did in this particular case.
Q. Okay. Is that something you've done, you know, in other courses?

MS. MOFFITT: Question is vague.
THE WITNESS: I have put books, hard copies on reserve. That doesn't mean that it has been either/or, though $I$ can't think of -- I mean, $I$ don't recall specifically
what $I$ did in other cases.
I have a concern about relying just on making the hard copy available. One, that means there's only one copy that a large number of students might have to share within a very limited time period.

And two, at Georgia state given the nature of the student body, many students are on campus only very briefly during the week, that it might -- it may be difficult for students to have an opportunity to consult the hard copy in the library.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. You said there's only one copy that a large number of students would have to share with the hard copy reserve. With the EReserves, is that different somehow?
A. My understanding of EReserves is that every student in the class can access the - an electronic version of $a$ document that's put on EReserves.
Q. And is it your understanding that each student can get their own personal copy from that version put on EReserves?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: By getting their own personal copy, what do you mean?

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. I mean, can they -- do you have an understanding as to whether a student who accesses the EReserves copy can save it to their computer or print it or that sort of thing?
A. I think they're able to do that.
Q. And do you know whether they do in fact in your courses print out copies of the EReserves reading materials?
A. I don't know for sure.
Q. And do you know whether they're able to view the copy placed on EReserves simultaneously?

MS. MOFFITT: Question is vague.
THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. I'm just trying -- you said with the hard copy reserve there's one copy they each have to share and I'm trying to understand how EReserves somehow, if it does, avoids that issue.

Is it your understanding that EReserves does avoid that issue?

MS. MOFFITT: Question is vague and it's been asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I don't know how it works for sure.

MR. LARSON: I think our tape is about up so why don't we take a little breather for a couple minutes.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:58.
(Brief recess.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape 2. We're back on the record at 11:08.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Professor Duffield, picking up where we left off, for the chapters that were placed on EReserves in polysci 8470 in the fall 2009 semester, do you know whether there was any payment or permission fee made to the publisher for those chapters?
A. I don't know.
Q. You didn't make one, $I$ take it?
A. I did not.
Q. But you don't know whether or not the university makes any sort of permissions payment?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know what the university does.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. We were talking about the possible use of hard copy reserves for the readings. Have you ever considered using coursepacks for the chapters that you assign?
A. I have used coursepacks in the past.
Q. When was that?
A. Certainly during my first few years at Georgia State I used coursepacks.
Q. Then that would have been early 2000s?
A. Yeah, $I$ arrived January of 2002, so between then and roughly 2005. I can't remember when I last used a coursepack.
Q. And did you use them for book chapters that you assigned your students?
A. I don't recall exactly, but I'm pretty confident there have been book chapters in my coursepacks.
Q. You used coursepacks to provide reading materials for the course to your students, right?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Well, I don't recall whether $I$ used them for this particular course.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Understood.
A. For some courses.
Q. And at some point did you stop using coursepacks?
A. Yes.
Q. And how come?
A. As $I$ recall there were a couple of problems with coursepacks. The students - I'm thinking mainly my undergraduate students - and I don't recall how much I made use of them for graduate courses -- I definitely recall using them for undergraduate courses, that the undergraduate students complained of about the cost of the coursepacks and the inconvenience of lugging around a large coursepack.

And $I$ also got the impression that maybe for those reasons a significant number of students weren't actually buying the coursepack. Now, whether they were obtaining the readings elsewhere or from friends, I don't know. But $I$ was concerned that it contributed to students not doing the readings.
Q. And was it the case that you -- when you stopped using the coursepacks that you, rather than putting the readings in the coursepack, put them on ERes in place of coursepacks?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I can't say for sure in
part because for my --
Now I'm a little confused. There are two forms of sort of electronic reserves. One is -- and I think they're available through the same web portal, but I'd have to look at the library web site just to make sure. But there are --

EReserves consists of -- if there's an EReserve page for a course, part of it will be links to the types of articles that are in the databases that are already available and could be accessed other ways. And others will be links to electronic versions of, say, chapters that are put on reserve.

So can you restate the question so $I$ answer it accurately?

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Focusing on the latter category, were there instances where you provided those sorts of chapters to students in a coursepack and then when you stopped using coursepacks subsequently put them on EReserves instead?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form. The question is vague.

THE WITNESS: And my answer would be I don't know because at this point -- I do
recall using coursepacks for my undergraduate courses.

For my graduate courses $I$ have stopped -- I don't currently use -- put anything on EReserves that requires, you know, scanning a chapter. I rely entirely on other types of readings.

I do -- as exemplified by political science 8400, $I$ will often have chapters put on EReserves, but $I$ can't say for sure that that replaced an old coursepack or not. I just don't recall using coursepacks for the graduate courses in particular. BY MR. LARSON:
Q. I see. So sitting here you can't recall any particular instance where a book chapter was used in a coursepack and then subsequently used -- put on EReserves?
A. No, I cannot.
Q. Can you turn to the fourth page of the syllabus.
A. 06?
Q. Yes.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Looking at week 1 , $I$ see there's a category
for general readings?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Now, can you just tell me, were those assigned readings for the students or what was --
A. No, they were not. There were no readings assigned for week 1 . There were no assigned readings. Yeah, there are no assigned readings.
Q. So these are what then?
A. These are -- much of the syllabus, which is why it's so long, is readings related to the topics that aren't required, but this serves as a resource for students to access to identify readings related to particular topics.

So in this particular case, these are some general readings on the broad subject matter of the course that students might find useful to refer to at a later point.
Q. And this is a graduate course?
A. This is a graduate seminar.
Q. All right. And so these are generally students who are contemplating becoming professors in the topic or --

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Some of them are.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Can you turn to page 5708.
A. Yes.
Q. And you see here there's listings for week 4?
A. Yes.
Q. Just tell me, starting at the first category for further readings, Overviews of the Causes of War. What's that category of readings listed there?
A. So these are general -- these are books that $I$ have found useful in introducing students to a range of explanations of the causes of military conflict.
Q. These aren't required readings?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And I take it not books they're required to purchase then, either?
A. No.
Q. And then looking down under section $B$, there's -- towards the bottom of the page there's a section for readings starting with D. Byman, do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And tell me, are those required readings for that week?
A. Those were the four readings that were the required readings for that week.
Q. And turning over to the next page, what is -the fourth reading in that category at the top of the page there, Holsti "Crisis Decision Making", that was a required reading?
A. Yes, for that week.
Q. And that was placed on the EReserve system?
A. I believe it was, yes.
Q. And that's a book chapter from "Behavior, Society And Nuclear War" volume I?

MS. MOFFITT: I'm going to object to
form.
THE WITNESS: The Holsti "Crisis
Decision Making" is a book chapter from that book.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And am I right that you selected some particular pages from within the chapter?
A. Correct.
Q. 8 to 15 and 19 to 48 ?
A. Yes.
Q. And why did you narrow it to those pages?
A. Because $I$-- for two reasons. One, I don't want -- $I$ didn't feel the material on pages, 1 can't remember where, in the middle of page 15 and the middle of page 19 was absolutely necessary for understanding
what $I$ thought were the important points in the chapter. And two, $I$ wanted to minimize the number of pages that $I$ was requesting to be put on electronic reserves.
Q. So you anticipated my next question. The actual scanned copy that was placed on EReserves included just those particular pages that are identified here?
A. That's what $I$ requested. I don't recall checking to make sure.
Q. And just flipping back to the prior page, the other three readings in this category we're looking at, were those also placed on the EReserve system?
A. Looks like those all should have been available through other electronic databases, because those are all articles from journals.
Q. So there would be a link provided to those within the EReserve system?
A. Usually $I$ think in this case $I$ required the students to get them on their own rather than requesting that they be put on the EReserve. So that is possible.
Q. Just flipping back to 5709 there's a further reading category. Can you just tell me what -- were those required or what is that category?
A. Nothing below the Holsti was required. Under down to, you know, the causes, domestic sources of
conflict.
Q. If you could turn to page 5720. And in the middle of the page about a third of the way down there's a reference to J. Stein, "Deterrence And Reassurance" and Tetlock "Behavioral Society And Nuclear War"? MS. MOFFITT: "Deterrence And Compellence"?

MR. LARSON: "Deterrence And Reassurance".

MS. MOFFITT: Okay. Sorry.
THE WITNESS: I see that, yes.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Now, is that - was that a required reading?
A. No.
Q. Was that placed on EReserves?
A. No.
Q. Was that -- this is the same book that the Holsti chapter came from, is that right?
A. It looks like it might not be because it's -I don't know off the top of my head, but the Holsti piece was from volume $I$ and looks like this is from volume II and $I$ wouldn't consider that the same book.
Q. Understood. So when you identified the pages here, was this entire chapter or the entire book made available to students in the course in any way?
A. No.
Q. Not placed on hard copy reserve?
A. No.
Q. Flipping one page over to 5721, the first entry under arms races. You see G. Downs?
A. Yes.
Q. "Arms Races And War"?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it the same for that work, that it was not placed on reserve in any way?
A. It was not placed on reserve.
Q. Just something students could consult if they wanted to?
A. Exactly.
Q. Let me show you Duffield 4. Duffield 4 I'll represent to you is a photocopy we made of the "Behavior Society And Nuclear War", volume I, and the table of contents.

If you turn over to the second page, you'll see that there's an entry, the first chapter is "Crisis Decision Making" starting on page 8. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that the chapter that you assigned, the Holsti chapter we were discussing before?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the
question to the extent it mischaracterizes what he assigned. And also the photograph -- photocopy doesn't include obviously the text of any of the chapters.

So to the extent you can answer the question based on what's been provided, you can do so.

THE WITNESS: This looks like the table of contents of the book from which the excerpts were taken.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And the Holsti excerpts were taken from chapter one, "Crisis Decision Making"?
A. That would be the chapter.
Q. Have you taught the polysci or did you teach the polysci 8470 prior to the fall of 2009 semester?
A. Yes, $I$ believe $I$ taught it at least once before at Georgia State.
Q. And do you recall whether when you taught it before you used the Holsti excerpts that are on page 5709?
A. I don't recall for sure.
Q. When you taught the course in the fall of 2009, why did you choose to include the Holsti excerpts?
A. Well, $I$ chose to include it because $I$ felt
that it offered a valuable perspective related to the general topic of the role of human nature and human decision -- decision making as a possible cause of war and conflict.
Q. Were there other works that you could have used in place of that chapter that you're aware of?
A. Well, there are probably dozens of works one could assign. I don't know of anything in particular that discuss the material covered in that chapter as well as -- as concisely as this chapter does.

And the reason, as you can see, there are typically lots of potentially related articles, and the ones $I$ assign are in my estimation the most useful to provide a representative set of perspectives and approaches within that topic for the week.
Q. With the Holsti reading, how did it work procedurally to get the excerpt on to the EReserve system? Did you do it, did you ask the library to do it, how did it work?
A. Well, I'm pretty sure what I did, which is the current practice, which is there's a web page one can go to to request that a chapter, say, be put on reserve. And we are required to fill out certain identifying information.

I can't recall, you may be asked to confirm
that it is something that can be put on reserve, and then the library does the rest.
Q. So the library makes a copy of the article or scan to put on the EReserve system?
A. I assume it's the library that does that.
Q. It's not you?
A. No, no.
Q. Is this a book, "Behavioral Society And Nuclear War" volume $I$, a book that you own?
A. No.
Q. Is it a book that's in the GSU library?
A. I'm pretty sure it is.
Q. Do you know for sure or not?
A. Well, $I$ don't know for sure. I infer that it is because $I$ requested that they make the chapter available and $I$ usually check the holdings to make sure it's there.
Q. Do you know whether students in the course get access to the reading on EReserves, the Holsti reading?
A. I don't know for sure.
Q. Is it generally the case that in a graduate course the students do the reading that's required?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Well, in your experience, $I$ mean, do students typically do the reading?

MS. MOFFITT: Same objection. Question
is vague.
THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure.
I'm sure some do, and whether all do I can't say.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Let's mark this as Duffield 5.
A. Thank you.
Q. Do you recognize this as the checklist, fair use checklist that you filled out for the Holsti chapter that we've been discussing?
A. It looks like it.
Q. And you completed this on August 23rd of 2009, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it your practice to complete these checklists for any work that you post to the EReserve system?
A. Yes, we're required.
Q. And when did you start, first start filling out these checklists for readings on the EReserve system?
A. I don't recall exactly.
Q. Do you recall why you started doing them, the checklists?
A. I recall that the university requested or required that $I$ do so.
Q. And do you recall being provided any training or -- well, any training in how to go about filling out the fair use checklist?
A. I don't recall specifically. It might be associated with the training session that $I$ attended that $I$ referred to earlier, but $I$ just don't remember specifically covering it there.
Q. Let's look at your checks that you placed on this checklist.

Looking at factor one in the weighs in favor of fair use column, just tell me -- you checked the nonprofit educational. Can you tell me why you checked that?
A. Let me just say in general 1 can't recall what I was thinking at the time when $I$ checked any of these.
Q. Understood. So recognizing sitting here now, what is your understanding of nonprofit educational and why that would be checked?
A. My understanding is that the teaching I'm engaged in is nonprofit, educational activity.
Q. And the next box is teaching. So is it the
same reason that that one would be checked?
A. I can't think of any difference.
Q. And looking down at the last line in that category there, you see use is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose?
A. Yes.
Q. That's one that you checked. Tell me your understanding of that factor?
A. My understanding is if it's a document that is particularly useful for teaching my students something that I'd like them to understand, $I$ would check that.
Q. Would that be the case for any required reading that you assigned your students and put on the EReserve system?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I guess $I$ would consider any required reading as satisfying that criteria.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Do you know -- strike that.

For all the fair use checklists you've filled out since you started doing it, have you checked nonprofit educational, teaching and use is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose on all of them?

MS. MOFFITT: I'm going to object to the form of the question as vague.

THE WITNESS: I don't -- I just don't recall.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Can you envision any scenario where with the required reading on the EReserve system that you've given your students that you wouldn't check those three boxes?
A. No.
Q. You didn't check transformative. Can you tell me your understanding of transformative?
A. My understanding of it is based on the parenthetical information and the issue of changing the work. So $I$ guess $I$ couldn't anticipate any way in which the work itself would be changed.
Q. You see on the other side --
A. Sorry. And that may be a misunderstanding or misreading, but that's my reading of it.
Q. You'll see in the weighs against fair use column there's an entry for nontransformative. Given what you said a moment ago, why did you not check nontransformative?
A. Well, again, $I$ don't know why this particular case.
Q. What's your understanding, though, for a reading you select for -- strike that.

For a reading that you choose to put on EReserve like this book chapter, like this Holsti chapter, is it your understanding sitting here that that would be -- that use would be nontransformative?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Well, sitting here as I think about it and $I$ now see that it might be intended to mean the opposite of transformative, $I$ might see a reason to check that box if $I$ did not check transformative.

I don't know if $I$ have had that understanding of the two being paired in the past, though.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Do you recall ever checking on any of your fair use checklists the nontransformative box?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall under factor one in the weighs against fair use column, do you recall in any of your fair use checklists that you filled out ever checking any of the boxes?
A. I'm sorry. Under factor one?
Q. Yeah, factor one, weighs against fair use.
A. Weighs against fair use.
Q. Yeah.
A. I don't recall doing so.
Q. Let's look at factor 2. You've checked all three boxes there.

Just tell me your understanding of important to educational objectives. That's the third entry in factor 2.
A. Well, my understanding of that is probably similar to the box use is necessary to achieve my intended educational purpose. That work, again, is -use is particularly useful for introducing students to a valuable perspective.
Q. Let me ask this. Would you ever assign a reading to your students and put it on EReserves that wasn't important to educational objectives?
A. I can't think of a reason for doing so.
Q. Look down in factor 3 for me, the third entry there. Amount taken is narrowly tailored to educational purpose such as criticism, comment, research or subject being taught.

What's your understanding of that factor or that entry?
A. Well, at least one part of my understanding is
that $I$ would only use that part of a work that $I$ see as necessary or especially useful for exposing my students to a perspective.
Q. So am $I$ understanding you to say that if you choose a reading that you want -- that's required for your students, that it would satisfy that entry, that you would check that box?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
Question is vague.
THE WITNESS: $I$ can't imagine a
situation where $I$ wouldn't check that box.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Understood.

Explain to me, you checked, looking under factor 3, small portion of work used. Tell me why you checked small portion of work used?
A. Uh-huh.

MS. MOFFITT: Question is vague. Are
you talking about in this case or generally?

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Talking about in this case first.
A. Well, again, $I$ can't recall specifically what I was thinking in this case.
Q. And how do you understand then, if you can't
recall particularly, what's your understanding of when or why you would check small portion of work used?
A. Well, my understanding is that, and it has been for a while, that a small portion would be an amount that didn't exceed either one chapter of a book or 10 percent of the book.
Q. So just so I'm clear. If it was one chapter but that chapter was 20 percent of the book, would that be okay?
A. No.
Q. So it's whatever is less of those two criteria is what governs?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: You know, not necessarily.
I guess $I$ can imagine a situation where there were two chapters that together added up to less than 10 percent, so maybe it's probably the 10 percent rule that governs. BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And what's the source of that understanding, the 10 percent?
A. I don't recall specifically where $I$ got it.
Q. And is that something you've always followed in placing materials on EReserves?
A. For some time. I can't remember when I
started doing it.
Q. And just so I'm clear, is that 10 percent rule just what you used to determine small versus large on the checklist or is that sort of something that you rely on no matter what?

In other words, if the check -- even if the checklist suggested it was a fair use, if it was 20 percent, would you not use it? You see what I'm saying? MS. MOFFITT: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I guess that $I$ have
equated 10 percent with fair use for some time.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Just so - I guess let me try again. Does the 10 percent criteria that we're discussing, does that sort of trump the checklist for you?
A. I would say that whenever I became familiar with this checklist $I$-- and again, $I$ guess $I$ have to speculate here, $I$ was either shown or just came to associate the two, the 10 percent was associated with small portion of work used. But $I$ can't remember exactly where $I$ began that association.
Q. And forgive me if I've asked this question, but $I$ want to make sure $I$ have a full understanding. If your checklist after you have filled it all out
suggested that the use was a fair use but the excerpt was 25 percent of the book, would you choose not to use it?
A. Oh, can $I$ - in other words, if $I$ couldn't check small portion but all the other factors weighed in favor of fair use?
Q. Right. Right.
A. I don't know. I've never encountered that situation.
Q. Looking in the right hand side of factor 2, 1 see that there are no boxes checked.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you recall in any of your experience filling out the checklists ever checking any of the boxes in the weighs against fair use column under factor 2?
A. Under factor $2, I$ don't recall ever doing so.
Q. And same question for factor 3.
A. No, I don't recall ever doing so.
Q. In factor 3 for the Holsti excerpt, you did not check portion used is not central or significant to entire work as a whole. Tell me why not?
A. Well, again, $I$ don't recall what $I$ thought at the time.
Q. How about sitting here today?
A. And again, $I$ guess without taking a look at the work $I$ might need -- necessarily what $I$ say is somewhat speculative. But my thinking would be that it is significant to the entire work insofar as - well, $I$ wouldn't regard it as central to the work because the book itself contains a handful of different perspectives.

I guess $I$ see it analogous to one of my required readings for a week, so potentially they could have had somebody else write a chapter on a related subject and it would have been just as good of a book. But $I$ do see it as significant because it is an important contribution to the book.
Q. So if you were to do this chart today, would you check that box then because it's significant?
A. Well, $I$ would not check the box on the left side because $I$ would not be able to say it is not significant.
Q. I see.
A. But $I$ wouldn't check one thing on the right side because it doesn't say -- ask whether it is significant to the work.
Q. I see. So if on the right hand side it said portion used is significant to the work, then you would check it, but not central?
A. I mean, I'd have to --

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I'd have to think about it. But based on what $I$ just said, yes.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Let's look at factor 4 on the left hand side.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You checked no significant effect on market or potential market for copyrighted work.

What's your understanding of that factor or entry?
A. My general understanding?
Q. Uh-huh.
A. I guess it would be that by making this work available to my graduate students it's not going to reduce their likelihood of purchasing the book or perhaps even purchasing a copy of the chapter, however they might do that.
Q. So by market, you understand the market to be the market for sales of the book or sales of the particular chapter?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. Okay. And am $I$ understanding you right that giving them, giving your students the chapter on the

EReserve system in your view wouldn't dissuade them from possibly purchasing that chapter?
A. No.
Q. No I said it wrong or no it doesn't dissuade them?
A. No, it wouldn't dissuade them because they would not have the intention to do so.
Q. All right. So let me try again just so I'm clear.

Is what you're saying that even if you give them the chapter on EReserves for this course they might still go out and purchase that same chapter?
A. No, I'm saying that if $I$ don't give it to them on EReserves it won't affect their -- now I'm getting confused.

Where $I$ see it says weighs in favor of fair use, I'm assuming -- yeah, yeah, if I make it available to them it won't alter the likelihood of them purchasing the book or the chapter.
Q. And so $I$ guess why would a student purchase the chapter if you've given it to them?
A. They wouldn't, but if $I$ didn't give it to them they wouldn't purchase the chapter because $I$ wouldn't assign it and they wouldn't see it as - maybe a very small chance they would, but given that it wasn't
required and given that it might be available through the library anyway and they could go access it, then they wouldn't have any -- there wouldn't be a strong incentive to purchase it. That's my thinking.
Q. On the right hand side you checked licensing or permission reasonably available.

Tell me what your understanding of that entry is.
A. My understanding now or in general is that if somebody sought to obtain a license or permission for this work they would be able to do so.
Q. And do you know how they would do that?
A. Not in any detail.
Q. Did you --
A. Based on assumptions.
Q. So you didn't - I take it when you were filling out the checklist, you didn't investigate whether you could get a license or permission for the particular chapter that you used, is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. You checked numerous copies made or distributed, and then $I$ see a little handwritten annotation 10 next to it. Tell me what you meant by that?
A. By the 10?
Q. Yeah.
A. I think $I$ meant at the time $I$ was assuming there would be about 10 students in the class.
Q. And tell me why you checked the box?
A. Well, again, $I$ don't know why $I$ did then.
Q. But sitting here now, what's your understanding of that factor?
A. That - that by making it available on EReserves numerous copies might be made.
Q. Okay. Might be made or are made?
A. I don't know.
Q. But if each student goes and accesses the work, then numerous copies would be made?
A. If each student printed out a copy or downloaded a copy on their own computer, then $I$ would regard that as numerous or - on the order of 10 copies would be made in this case.
Q. What would be the cutoff for you in terms of what's numerous?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to form.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. If it were five students in the class, would you consider that numerous?
A. In general $I$ think my approach here is to put down as many - to err on the conservative side and to
check as many items under weighs against fair use as I could possibly imagine $I$ might want to check rather than making assumptions that, you know, three is not numerous or five is not numerous.
Q. And why is that?
A. Because $I$ take the concern about fair use seriously and want to make sure that what I'm doing fairly, clearly can be justified in terms of the factor, various factors.
Q. And with that approach it still came out under factor 4 that there were four checks on the weighs in favor of fair use side and only three on the weighs against fair use side, is that right?
A. That looks like what happened here.
Q. And overall for the chart, am $I$ right that you've got 12 in the weighs in favor of fair use and only three in weighs against fair use?
A. Oh, I counted twice here. Three, four, five -- yeah, looks like 12 to 3.
Q. Have you ever filled out a fair use checklist and had it come out such that the chart weighed against fair use? You had more checks on the right hand side than the left hand side?
A. I don't recall that ever happening.
Q. Do you recall ever filling out a checklist and
having any more checked than the three that are checked here under factor 4 in weighs against fair use?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Have you ever had an EReserves reading flagged by the library for any reason, you know, too large or some other concern about it?
A. I don't think so. Certainly since $I$ started using the checklist.
Q. If it were the case that you were required to pay a permissions fee for using the Holsti chapter, what would you do?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: If I were as the professor of record?

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Well, let's start with that.
A. Were required to --
Q. If you were required to pay a permissions fee to use it in your course.

MS. MOFFITT: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: I can only speculate. And
for this work in particular?
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Uh-huh.
A. You'd have to tell me what the fee is or was.
Q. As an approximation let's say it's 10 cents per page, so $\$ 3.80$ per --
A. Per page.
Q. $\quad \$ 3.80$ per student.
A. Per student.

MS. MOFFITT: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: I can't tell you without having more time to think about it, to
think about what the alternatives would be.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. What if it were -- if that charge were charged to the students, you know, through their student ID card or school account or something?

MS. MOFFITT: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: I'd have to think about it, and probably something I'd want to talk over with the students to get their sense of what would be --

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. To the extent you can answer, sitting here today would you view it as unreasonable to require students to pay, you know, $\$ 4$ for a reading of this nature?

MS. MOFFITT: $I$ want to object to the form of the question. The question is
vague.
THE WITNESS: I wouldn't object in principle to charging students a certain amount, you know. I couldn't tell you what amount would be appropriate and under what circumstances.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Have there ever been any readings that you have chosen not to use because they weren't -- that they weren't fair use on the -- or you didn't consider them to be a fair use?
A. Yes.
Q. And tell me what -- when that happened or what happened?
A. I recall some other readings -- I just know that there have been times, you know, 1 can't give you the specifics, you know, because $I$ sort of moved on to other readings.
Q. And was that a situation where you went through the checklists for those readings or was it a situation where they were above your 10 percent figure that you told me about before?
A. I think usually stopped there in that $I$ didn't bother going through the checklist trying to make a case for assigning it anyway.
Q. And in those situations, did you scrap it all together or did you put the work on hard copy reserve or provide it to students in some other fashion?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall for sure.
I know that $I$ didn't make it a required reading.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And $I$ take it you didn't consider in those situations then licensing the work or paying a permissions fee to use it and decided to use the one you wanted?

MS. MOFFITT: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall for sure, but $I$ probably didn't.

MR. LARSON: Why don't we pause a couple minutes and let me flip through my notes and make sure $I$ 've covered everything and I think we'll be done.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 12:00.
(Brief recess.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 12:05.

MR. LARSON: I have no further
questions.
MS. MOFFITT: I have a few questions,
Professor Duffield.
EXAMINATION
BY MS. MOFFITT:
Q. If you could put in front of you Duffield 5, the checklist that you completed for the PLS 8407 course.

I believe Mr. Larson had asked you some questions about factor 2 on Georgia State 65189 regarding whether you had ever for any of the works you filled out a checklist for checked any of the boxes on the right under weighs against fair use.

Do you recall that question?
A. His question?
Q. His question.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Have you ever filled out a checklist for a work that was an unpublished work?
A. I don't recall doing so.
Q. Do you recall ever having filled out a checklist for a work that was a highly creative work such as art, music, novels, films, plays, poetry or fiction?
A. No, $I$ don't recall doing so.
Q. Do you recall ever having filled out a checklist for a work that was a consumable work, like a workbook or a text?
A. No, $I$ don't recall doing so.
Q. Turning to factor 3 , do you recall ever having filled out a checklist for a work where you were using a large portion of the work or the entire work?
A. No, $I$ don't recall doing that.
Q. Do you recall ever having filled out a checklist for a work where the portion you were using was the heart of the work?

MR. LARSON: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No, $I$ don't recall doing
that.
BY MS. MOFFITT:
Q. Or let me ask the question another way. Do you ever recall filling out a checklist for a work where the portion used was central to the work or the heart of the work?

MR. LARSON: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall doing so.

BY MS. MOFFITT:
Q. Do you recall ever filling out a checklist for a work where the amount was taken was more than
necessary for criticism, comment, research or the subject being taught in your class?

MR. LARSON: Object to the form. I take your question to be whether he considered it to be more than necessary, not sort of an objectively whether it was more than necessary?

MS. MOFFITT: You have an objection to the question?

MR. LARSON: Yeah, I object to the form.

MS. MOFFITT: Can you repeat that question?
(The record was read by
the court reporter.)
THE WITNESS: Shall I answer?
No, $I$ don't recall doing so.
BY MS. MOFFITT:
Q. I'll ask it another way. Have you ever filled out this checklist for a work where you considered it to have been taking more than necessary for criticism, comment, research or the subject being taught in your class?
A. Could you restate it again, because there's a little difference?
Q. Yep. Let me try to ask it more artfully. Have you ever filled out a checklist for a work where you considered that the amount you were taking from the work was more than necessary for either criticism, comment, research, or the subject that you were teaching in that course?
A. No, $I$ don't recall doing so.

MS. MOFFITT: Okay. I don't have any further questions.

MR. LARSON: Nothing further.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That concludes the deposition. We're off the record at 12:08.

MS. MOFFITT: And we are going to read and sign.
(Deposition concluded at 12:08 p.m.)
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