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KENNITH DRAKE, VIDEOGRAPHER

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This will be the videotaped deposition of Jennifer McCoy taken by the plaintiffs in the matter of Cambridge University Press et al. versus Mark P. Becker et al.

The date is February 3rd, 2011. We're on the record at 12:08.

JENNIFER MCCOY,
having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Good afternoon, Professor McCoy. If you would just spell your full name and provide your address for the record.
A. My home address?
Q. Yes.
A. Jennifer McCoy, J-e-n-n-i-f-e-r, M-c-c-o-y.

248 Connecticut Avenue, Atlanta, Georgia, 30307.
Q. Thank you. We met off the record. I'm Todd Larson here representing the plaintiffs in this action. Have you been deposed before?
A. No.
Q. I take it your counsel provided you with some idea of what is going to happen here today?
A. Yes.
Q. Let me give you a few additional sort of ground rules, if $I$ could.

I'll ask you a series of questions, and I would ask just that you let me finish your question before you answer and I'll try to do the same for you, mainly so we have a nice clear transcript.

Please answer verbally as opposed to with nods when $I$ ask questions. Ask for clarification at any time if $I$ ask a question you don't understand. Happy to restate it for you. If you need a break, let me know, happy to take a break.

Just so you know, your testimony that you provide here today could be used at trial if this matter goes to trial. Your counsel may object at various points during the deposition. Generally that happens in these. You can go ahead and answer unless he instructs you not to. And that's basically it.

That sound clear?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What did you do for prepare for today's deposition?
A. I reviewed the checklist and syllabus that $I$ used.
Q. Did you review the Georgia State copyright
policy?
A. Yes, $I$ reviewed the copyright policy, too.
Q. And did you look at any legal filings from the case, briefs?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Any prior deposition transcripts?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you speak with any of the other professors who have been deposed in the matter?
A. I spoke with some of my colleagues, and I think one of them was deposed.
Q. And who was that?
A. Jason Reifler.
Q. And what did you and Mr. Reifler discuss?
A. He told me he wasn't supposed to discuss it.
Q. Okay. So he didn't provide any information about what happened in his deposition?
A. He did not.
Q. Anything else you reviewed or looked at other than what you've told me?
A. I reviewed the books that $I$ had on EReserve, the materials that $I$ had on EReserve --
Q. The actual --
A. -- to refresh my memory of what $I$ put on.
Q. I see. Did you meet with counsel?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that?
A. Two days ago.
Q. What is your understanding of what this case is about?
A. My understanding is that there's a question about the adequacy of GSU's policy on using EReserve.
Q. And you understand that certain practices that you have engaged in have been cited by plaintiffs as potentially violating copyright law?
A. I'm not really sure what my case involves.
Q. I'll provide you with what I've marked as McCoy Exhibit 1.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. This is just a copy of a page from the GSU web site. And a copy of your CV, which is linked to from the web site. If you can just take a look and confirm that that in fact is what that is.
A. Yes.
Q. And is the - your CV accurate to the best of your knowledge?
A. Yes. Up until January 2010.
Q. Have there been any significant changes since January of 2010?
A. I have a couple other publications and
speeches and that kind of thing, yes, activities during 2010. But no change of job or that kind of thing.
Q. Your book, CV and bio indicate that you have a book forthcoming "International Mediation in Venezuela", is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. That's not out yet, $I$ take it?
A. No.
Q. What's the Carter Center?
A. The Carter Center is a nonprofit center set up by former President Jimmy Carter and his wife based here in Atlanta.
Q. And you're the director of that?
A. I'm a director of one of the programs.
Q. And is that something you do -- well, let me ask it this way.

Are you a full time faculty member or do you split time between the two or do both or what?
A. I actually have $a--$ the Carter Center has a service agreement with Georgia State so that they buy half of my time to do that position at the Carter Center, but $I$ also teach here.
Q. And you're in the political science department?
A. Correct.
Q. And when did you start at GSU?
A. 1984 .
Q. And are you tenured?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you just give me a sense of the reporting structure within the political science department?
A. The reporting --
Q. Is there a chair or --
A. Yes, we have a chair and above that we have an associate dean and then a dean.
Q. And do those deans then report to the provost?
A. Yes.
Q. And the provost reports then to the president?
A. To the president, yes.
Q. And the deans you mentioned, what are they deans of what particular --
A. My dean is arts and science.
Q. I see. Just tell me, if you would, the book that you have coming out, "International Mediation In Venezuela", what - describe that briefly for me, what that book involves or what it's about?
A. That book is actually a book analyzing a particular experience that $I$ was involved in with the Carter Center mediating the political conflict in Venezuela.
Q. So it's a nonfiction book, is that right?
A. Yes, uh-huh.
Q. Just describing a particular event that happened?
A. Yes. And analyzing that event and the role of the international community in that.
Q. So it contains some analysis by you other than --
A. Yes.
Q. Would you describe that -

MR. ASKEW: Let him finish his question.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Would you describe your contributions to that work as being creative?
A. As being creative. Well, it's not creating art, but it's creative in the sense of analysis, my analysis and that of my co-author. I have a co-author in that book.

It's creative in the sense of, yes, using our analytical capacities and applying it to the event.
Q. So the analysis that you make in the book you would consider to be creative?
A. I think so. I guess $I$ think of creative as artists, so that's why I'm hesitating a bit.
Q. I understand.
A. I think so.
Q. And it's written in your original expression, right? You're not just sort of stringing together facts, but it's your own expression, is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you consider that expression to be creative?
A. Yes.
Q. Let me show you what's been marked previously as Dixon 2. Do you recognize this document?
A. Uh-huh. Yes.
Q. And can you identify it, please?
A. It's the policy on the use of copyrighted works in education and research on the university system of Georgia web site, it looks like this one came from.
Q. Do you understand this to be the operative copyright policy for the university?
A. If it's -- one I've seen most recently was updated in October of 2009. So if this is the same one, then $I$ would say yes. Oh, it says 2009 right there. So I believe -- yes.
Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
A. Yes.
Q. You've read this policy before?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you first become aware of this policy?
A. I don't know exactly the date.
Q. Is it your understanding that at a certain point that this policy was created and implemented relatively recently within the last couple years?
A. I understand this policy was updated, yes. Well, whatever that was, 2009, a little less than two years ago.
Q. And do you recall any sort of communications from your department or from the university describing or announcing this policy?
A. Yes. We receive e-mails regularly whenever they do new policies.
Q. And so do you recall specifically getting some sort of communication about this copyright policy?
A. I believe so. I don't recall specifically when.
Q. Let me ask you to turn to what's identified as page 7 out of 19 .
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You recognize this as the fair use checklist that's part of the policy?
A. Yes.
Q. And you've filled these out in the past for your courses?
A. Yes.
Q. You see under instructions the third paragraph, says complete and retain a copy of this checklist for each fair use of a copyrighted work, and it continues on.

Has it been your practice to complete a checklist like this for any works that you wanted to provide in your course through the ERes system?
A. Yes.
Q. And you've retained all those?
A. I can't say that $I$ 've retained all of them because $I$ did recently clean out my files.
Q. And do you do --
A. Trying to clean my office there.
Q. Understood. Have you completed them in hard copy or in an electronic version?
A. Electronic.
Q. And so when you did this clean out, that included cleaning out electronic files as well?
A. Uh-huh. Yes. I changed computers and --
Q. So it's possible that some of your older ones at this point have been discarded?
A. It is possible.
Q. Do you have an understanding of why this policy was revised?
A. Not really.
Q. If you look at page 13 of 19 , looking at the header up on top, it's a page entitled permissions. Is that a page that you've reviewed before and read?
A. I don't recall this particular page.
Q. Maybe we -- let's just - so we're clear let's go back to the beginning. Maybe we can just flip and you can tell me which pages you recall having reviewed them fast.

Do you recall the first page?
A. Yes.
Q. And the second page?
A. Yes.
Q. The second and third actually. How about the pages 4 and 5 , which are the fair use exception?
A. Yes.
Q. And page 6 which is introduction to the fair use checklist?
A. Yes.
Q. And how about page 9 which is additional guidelines for electronic reserves?
A. Yes.
Q. And page 10, additional resources?
A. I remember seeing the link to that one, yes.
Q. A link to this page from another page?
A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you recall ever looking at this page itself?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Looking at page 11 and 12 , which is a page entitled other exceptions, is that the page you recall reviewing and reading before?
A. I'm not sure about that one.
Q. And $I$ think you said the same for permissions, you don't recall?
A. That's correct.
Q. What about identifying the copyright owner, page 14?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall looking at page 15, which is collective licensing agencies?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You see there's a reference under works in print on that page, the first bullet, to the Copyright Clearance Center?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Have you ever heard of the Copyright Clearance Center?
A. Yes.
Q. And what's your experience with the Copyright Clearance Center?
A. I don't - I don't think $I$ have experience with it.
Q. How is it that you know about them, do you recall?
A. I saw a reference to it.
Q. Do you know what they are?
A. I understood it was to be of help if we needed help with getting copyrights.
Q. And by that do you mean --
A. Clearances, yeah, permission.
Q. But that's not something you've ever contacted them about?
A. No, I don't think so.
Q. I guess the last page is 18 and 19, Orphan Works. Is that a page you recall reading?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you use the ULearn system?
A. Yes.
Q. How do you use that? Let me ask a more specific question.

Do you use that to provide students with
course readings?
A. No.
Q. Do you use EReserves for that function?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have understanding as to whether this policy would apply to the use of course readings on ULearn?
A. I presume it would.
Q. And what's that presumption based on?
A. Well, $I$ mean, the policy on copyright would be the same. I mean, it's the same principles.
Q. Did you attend a training session related to the new or -- to this copyright policy?
A. I did not.
Q. Do you recall ever being informed of such sessions taking place?
A. I believe I do. But $I$ was on leave, like professional leave in the year leading up to this, this semester that $I$ used it. And $I$ believe that trainings occurred during that time period when $I$ was on leave, so I wasn't on campus.
Q. When were you on leave, during what period?
A. I was on leave -- let's see. August of 2008 to summer of 2009. I believe that's correct.
Q. And at no point since you've been back in summer of 2009 have you attended a training session
related to the copyright policy?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Do you know whether they've been offered during that time period?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether there is a person or office available to consult with if you have questions about whether a particular use you're making of a reading excerpt is or is not fair use?
A. Yes.
Q. And who is that?
A. Well, I think within -- I think I could ask someone in the library or within the legal office of the university.
Q. And do you know that or are you speculating, or what's the basis of that statement?
A. On the library page where we go to do this it says if you have questions contact so and so. I don't recall the name of the person.
Q. Have you ever contacted people with questions?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever contacted anyone in the legal affairs office with questions?
A. No.
Q. Is that because you haven't had questions or
that you've had questions but just decided not to --
A. I haven't had questions.
Q. And is that because when you filled out the checklist it seemed pretty clearly to indicate that the use you wanted to make was a fair use?
A. That's correct.
Q. If you found that it was a more borderline situation, would you consult with the library or legal counsel's office?
A. I believe I would.
Q. And why so?
A. To be clear, to make sure $I$ was following the right policy.
Q. Your understanding is that the people in those departments have more copyright expertise than your sort of lay understanding, is that right?
A. Yes, I would think they would. If they are implementing it, yes. They would have a broader perspective, you know, more experience and broader perspective.
Q. Would you agree that a person in the legal office would be better positioned to make the tough call for a particular work that was a borderline fair use?
A. Perhaps if it were borderline. But $I$ think that it's -- most of the uses that we use are pretty
clear.
Q. Who enforces or how is the copyright policy enforced, to your knowledge?
A. I don't know.
Q. If your department chair were to come to you and indicate that a particular work you put on EReserves was infringing copyright and you needed to take it down, would you do so?
A. Yes.
Q. And if the provost's office were to contact you with the same information, you know, the work you were using was violating copyright, would you take it down?
A. Yes.
Q. Same question with the president's office, would you take it down if informed that that work was infringing?
A. Yes.
Q. And what if the communication came from someone in the Board of Regents level, would you take it down?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with the GoSolar functionality on the Georgia State web site?
A. Yes.
Q. Let me show you what $I$ 've marked as McCoy 2. There's probably more pages here than we need, but we'll find the one that's appropriate.

These are just printouts that we made from the GoSolar system on the GSU web site. If you turn to the second to the last page, there's a printout for the fall semester 2009.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You see that?
A. Yep.
Q. And this indicates that you taught polysci 8250 in the fall semester, is that accurate?
A. That's correct.
Q. And this indicates that there were 12 students. Does that square with your recollection?
A. Yes. That looks right, uh-huh.
Q. Let me give you what's been marked as McCoy Exhibit 3.

Do you recognize this as your syllabus for polysci 8550 from the fall 2009 semester?
A. Yes.
Q. And $I$ direct your attention to the reading assignments paragraph on the first page.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Which says in the middle sentence, in addition
we will have required and recommended articles located on EReserve or otherwise noted with a web link?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And that reflects the fact that you use the university ERes system to provide certain readings to the students?
A. Correct.
Q. Turning to page 2, under the 15 percent paragraph.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Couple sentences down it says contributions should be based on your interpretation of the readings and other evidence, not merely espousal of opinions.
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your experience when you assign readings for the course that the students do the reading?
A. Is it my experience that they do it?
Q. Yeah.
A. Not always. But -- but $I$ do try to encourage it with things like this, yes.
Q. It's your expectation if you assign a reading students will do the reading and come prepared to discuss it in class?
A. Yes, that's the expectation.
Q. If you could turn to the next page. This is a
graduate class or an undergrad?
A. Graduate.
Q. If you look at the August 31st entry.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. There's an entry there for what looks to be an article or, $I$ 'm sorry, some chapters from a book edited by Geraldo Munck.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Does this reflect the fact that you put on EReserves an excerpt of the book comprising the intro and first two chapters?
A. Yes.
Q. Giving you what I've marked as McCoy Exhibit 5.
A. Uh-huh.

MR. ASKEW: What's the exhibit number?
MR. LARSON: 5.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. If you turn - this is a photocopy of the book "Regimes And Democracy In Latin America, Theories And Methods" along with the table of contents. Turn to the second page.

Just to confirm, is it the case that what you assigned is what we see here on the second page of the table -- of Exhibit 5 as the introduction, chapter 1
called "The Study Of Politics And Democracy", and chapter 2 called "Reconceptualizing Democratization"?
A. Yes.
Q. So it's essentially pages 1 to 50 it looks like?
A. Yes.
Q. Go back to the syllabus.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. If you could turn over to the entry for September 21st?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. There's a reading by Schedler, Andreas Schedler editor, chapters 1 and 2.
A. Yes.
Q. From "Electoral Authoritarianism"?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. That was provided to students on EReserves?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Chapters 1 and 2 from that book?
A. Yes.
Q. If you turn over to the October 12th entry, please. And there's a reading listed there from Scott Mainwaring from the book "Democratic Accountability In Latin America" pages 33 to 33?
A. Yes.
Q. Give you McCoy Exhibit 4, which is the cover page and table of contents from that book. And just turning to the table of contents, can you just confirm for me that the assignment that we see on the syllabus is this introduction here "Democratic Accountability In Latin America" by Scott Mainwaring?
A. Yes, chapter 1.
Q. And that was a required reading?
A. Yes, $I$ believe. Wait, let me check. Yes.
Q. And the two chapters, the introduction from the Geraldo Munck book that we looked at before from August 31st --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- those were -- that was required reading as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Just tell me, why did you choose the intro, chapter 1 and chapter 2 from the Munck book for reading for the class?
A. Let's see. Yes, well, because they help to explicate the concepts that $I$ wanted them to study for that week, the study of democracy in Latin America.
Q. And were there other readings that you considered using as opposed to the Munck?
A. Well, I did use additional readings.
Q. But others other than those listed here?
A. I don't recall exactly what all I looked at to choose to decide for those readings. But $I$ thought those were good readings.
Q. And good why, specifically with regards to this?
A. Because the purpose of that week was to look at the evolution of the literature on the study of democracy in Latin America and they fulfilled that objective.
Q. Are there other works that you're aware of that you could have used rather than these Munck chapters?
A. There probably are, but $I$ thought these were particularly good.
Q. And explain to me your reasoning for using the introduction?
A. Because particularly in that introduction he would -- he did go over the history of the development of the field.
Q. Let's turn over to October 12th again. And look at the Mainwaring --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- book or excerpt that you provided. Tell me why you chose that one?
A. Oh, that one because he - that was a chapter outlining different concepts of accountability, which is one of the main objectives for that week.
Q. And again, were there other -- are there other chapters or articles that you could have used instead of the Mainwaring?
A. Probably. There are - of course I did use additional ones in there. But there are various people who have written on the topic, but this one $I$ wanted to get his, you know, particular conceptualization.
Q. And why, is there something particularly unique about his conceptualization or valuable about it in your mind?
A. Well, he was reviewing some -- he was reviewing a number of authors' uses, and so it was, you know, a succinct presentation.
Q. Is this a course you've taught in the past?
A. Yes.
Q. And in the past instances of the course, did you use the Mainwaring readings, do you recall?
A. I update my syllabus every time $I$ use it, and so $I$ may have used it recently, but that would have been, let's see, 2003. It's possible I used it between 2003 and 2009. I don't - I have to look back. I don't recall.
Q. You don't recall on that one. And the two Munck chapters and the introduction from August 31st, do you recall if those are excerpts you've used in prior instances of the class?
A. I doubt it because that was just published 2007 and $I$ was on leave the year before. So I think this was the first time.
Q. The maiden voyage for that one.

In your experience in using the EReserve system, do your students print these out, excerpts like these and bring them to class?
A. I'm not sure what they all do. But I've seen them sometimes with their computers. I think they, you know, they just read them on the computer. And sometimes they're reading them taking notes and they bring their notes. Sometimes they print them out. I'm not sure because they usually --

I don't know what the normal practice is. I think they use a variety of practices.
Q. So you have experience of some of them printing them out and bringing them to class?
A. I think so.
Q. And some bring their laptops in and look at them on the laptop within class?
A. Well, they're not supposed to be looking at
them in class. But $I$ think that they have read them, you know, electronic as opposed to printing them out is my impression. But my impression with the students is that they're liking to do that.
Q. When did you start using EReserves?
A. I don't recall exactly when the university started that practice because I've been here a while and they've used a number of different practices. So I don't remember the first year that it came online.
Q. Did you start using it as soon as it was available?
A. I think so.
Q. And why did you decide to use it?
A. Well, it's convenient for me and for the students and it provides a nice system for the students to have access to the materials. But it's just -- you know, they get the password and they get to use it just for that course. So it's also protected in that sense.
Q. And tell me about the convenience, what do you see as the convenience of the ERes system?
A. Because prior to that they would have to go into the library and sit there and read a copy of whatever was on reserve and not able to take it out. And that's difficult for our students, particularly the working students that don't necessarily live on campus
or spend all day here.
Q. So is it your view that putting a copy on the shelf in the library would be more burdensome to students than providing them copies through the ERes system?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. Have you had experience in the past using the hard copy reserve?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And just when you did that, that would involve putting basically one copy of the work or two copies --
A. Uh-huh.

MR. ASKEW: Again, let him finish his question.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And was it your experience that students tended to actually go in and read them in the library or did the burden prevent some of them from doing that?
A. I don't believe they all were able to do it.
Q. And do you have a sense when you use the hard copy reserves of whether students would go in and, you know, sort of sit in the reading room and read the excerpts or would they go in and throw it on the photocopy machine and make themselves a copy, or do you
know how that worked?
A. I don't know. I used the system where they had to stay in the library, where they couldn't take it out, so $I$ don't know what they did once they went into the library.
Q. So in your view is providing the materials through the ERes system different than putting the copy on hard copy reserve?
A. I think it's more accessible for the students, yes.
Q. And is it your understanding with ERes that when the students access the work that they're able to save it to their hard drive or make themselves a copy if they want to?
A. You know, $I$ haven't actually tried it. I haven't actually tried to know whether you can save it or not. I don't actually know.
Q. Have you ever used coursepacks?
A. I don't know exactly if there's a specific
legal term for coursepack, but $I$ have used a system when I first came to the university a long time ago of making copies and taking them to a copy center like a shop.
Q. That's what I'm talking about.
A. Okay.
Q. So go ahead. You did use those?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you do?
A. Made copies of the articles or the chapters that $I$ wanted them to read and took it to the copy shop and then they would compile it and students would go there and purchase the package.
Q. And when was it chronologically that you used coursepacks?
A. About 20 years ago.
Q. And did you stop at a certain point?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was your reason for stopping?
A. Because the library made available systems here.
Q. The ERes system?
A. I believe we had something prior to that, another kind of -- I think before it was ERes it was something else. I think there was some other prior system.
Q. But the intermediate system was the same general idea as the ERes system?
A. Yes, to make the materials available to the students.
Q. In an electronic form through the web site?
A. I can't remember if it was -- I don't remember
if they could access it like from home or if they had to go into the library to get it. There was some intermediate system where $I$ would provide the photocopies and $I$ think they scanned them in in some way. But I'm not actually remembering how the students accessed it.
Q. So was it the system -- did you take materials that you had provided in a coursepack and then rather than providing them through the coursepack put them on this new system that the library made available?
A. I would -- you know, what I've tended to do over time is use fewer materials like that. And now I use, as much as possible $I$ use books, whether they're compilations of readings, edited volumes or single author books and then $I$ use additional things like a chapter from a book or -- now it's much easier to also use journal articles that $I$ can just put, you know, the link on here for -- to access the university copy of the journal article.

So no, $I$ wouldn't say $I$ just - $I$ didn't just take the same materials from the coursepack and put them on EReserve, it's much, much more succinct. It's fewer -- I use fewer materials on EReserve.
Q. Were there instances, though, where there were materials that you previously provided in a coursepack
that you later provided through the ERes system or whatever this predecessor system was to the ERes system at the library?

MR. ASKEW: I want to object to the question as vague. You talking about individual works, Todd, or are you talking about a collection of works?

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. The question was as to individual works.
A. As to individual works, it's possible. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you recall any in particular?
A. No, because I've -- you know, I've changed the courses $I$ teach and $I$ update each syllabus every time and it changes every time, so $I$ don't recall.
Q. So I'm clear, it wasn't the case that you took an entire coursepack that you've been providing in a coursepack and then offered the exact same set of readings through this library system, but there may have been some individual articles that had been in coursepacks before that you've then provided through the system, is that right?
A. It's correct $I$ did not take an entire coursepack and put it on the EReserve. It's possible there may have been some individual articles.
Q. I see. And when you use the coursepacks, that was through the university bookstore?
A. No. We had -- there was a copy center near here named Alpha Graphics that $I$ recall. Again, this was like 20, 25 years ago, so --
Q. Not a memory test. Well, maybe a little.

Do you recall whether when you used coursepacks that either you or that copy center secured permissions from the publishers or authors for the articles that were in the coursepack?
A. I recall, again my memory is a little fuzzy on the timing how this all evolved, but $I$ can recall writing letters to get copyright permission to use.
Q. And you did that yourself?
A. Yes. Yes, yes, exactly.
Q. And in the end -- and in cases where -- well, were there instances where permission was granted and there was a certain licensing fee that had to be paid?
A. I don't recall paying any fees.
Q. So it was either -- the request was can $I$ use it without charge basically?
A. Well, for educational purposes for the class.
Q. The letters you recall, just so I'm understanding, were letters asking the publisher if you could use a particular article or chapter in your
coursepack, is that right?
MR. ASKEW: Objection, asked and answered. But you can answer again.

I think he's already asked you that question, but you can answer it again.

THE WITNESS: The letters as I recall were to ask for permission to use for that particular class, for just for the students in the class.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. In a coursepack?
A. I don't recall using those terms.
Q. And when you shifted to putting works on the library system, electronic system, and then the ERes system, have you sent similar requests for permission to publishers?
A. No. No, I've used the checklist system and --
Q. But the checklist system has, if I -- well, I'll represent that the checklist has been in place since the spring of 2009.

I take it there was some period of time prior to the spring of 2009 when you were using the library systems and providing works through those, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And during that period of time, did you ever send letters to publishers asking for permission to use the works on those systems?
A. I may have. This is what $I$ can't recall, the exact progression of the systems that we've used and exactly what time period $I$ was writing those letters. I can't recall under which system exactly.
Q. So the letters you were telling me about before, you can't recall whether those letters related to putting works in coursepacks, hard copy coursepacks, or whether they involved putting works on the library electronic system?
A. That's correct. I don't recall if they were for both or just for one.
Q. Do you recall instances where you put works on the ERes system or the predecessor at the library prior to the spring of 2009 where you did not send such a letter to a publisher requesting permission?
A. Yes.
Q. And in those instances, what was your reason for not seeking permission?
A. Because a lot of times $I$ would just put the library copy of the book on reserve for students, or a film that the library owned, put those on reserve so they could go in and see it.
Q. Let me - my question may not have been clear. I'm talking about there were instances where you put individual articles or excerpts from books provided those to students in electronic format through the library ERes system or its predecessor, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And in those instances, I'm not talking about -- not hard copy on the shelf or films, but those instances, are there times you can recall when you made those available in that fashion without seeking permission from the publisher to do so?
A. Yes.
Q. And why was it in those instances that you determined not to seek permission to provide the excerpts in that fashion?
A. Because it was my understanding that for educational purposes it was allowable to use for your class materials that were, you know, a small portion of a publication if it pertained directly to your class purposes.
Q. So your understanding was that in those situations you didn't need to seek permission?
A. Correct.
Q. And did you have a similar understanding with respect to putting the work in hard copy coursepacks?
A. You know, for -- 1 can't recall. I'm not really sure. If something changed or -- I don't really recall.
Q. All right. In the instances where you did seek permission from the publisher, why did you do it in those instances? What caused you to seek permission in some instances and not to seek permission in others?
A. Because there were some guidelines and instructions $I$ think from the university that we needed to do it under certain circumstances. So $I$ must have been following those guidelines.
Q. Do you recall any particular -- was it because the excerpts were too big, for example, in your view or for some other reason?
A. I don't recall specifically.
Q. You indicated a minute ago that it was your understanding that providing the copies through the library system, the electronic excerpts, was acceptable if it was for educational purposes and if the portions were small I think you said?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And it pertained to the class purposes?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Right? Tell me what your understanding of small was in that situation.
A. In which time period are you talking about?
Q. This is prior to the spring of 2009 policy.
A. Generally like, you know, one or two chapters from a book.
Q. And you also said that it needed to pertain to class purposes. What did you mean by that?
A. Well, you know, it had to have an educational use, it had to be related to the concepts $I$ was trying to get across.
Q. Would you ever use a work in class that didn't meet that criteria?
A. I don't think so.
Q. And is it your understanding the policy that's now been put out and is in place is somehow different or changes the criteria that are necessary to determine whether a work can be used in class?
A. I think that this policy kind of expands my understanding of it so it's not so focused on just the size. I think before $I$ was focused primarily on the size of the excerpt, keeping it, whatever, below 25 or 20 percent, something like that. And that this one seems to have, you know, additional factors.
Q. Did the university encourage you in any way to use these electronic systems at the library when they were introduced?
A. Well, I'm not sure about encouraged. Well, they certainly let us know about them.
Q. But other than that you don't recall any particular encouragement to adopt them or use them?
A. Not particularly.
Q. Since the new policy has been in place since early 2009, have you ever sought permission from a publisher to use a work on the EReserve system?
A. No.
Q. And why not?
A. I didn't think it was necessary.
Q. And that's because you determined from the checklists that the use was a fair use?
A. Correct.
Q. Have there been instances where you went through the checklists and determined that a work you wanted to use was not a fair use?
A. Well, I have used -- I have decided to use books, to assign books for them to purchase when $I$ haven't -- even when I'm not assigning all of the chapters in the book, because $I$ felt like it was, you know, a predominate use of the book and wouldn't meet the checklist criteria. And so in that case then $I$ have put it on the assigned texts for them to read, to purchase rather than putting it on -- yes, and that I've
not put it on reserve.
Q. And in those instances, did you actually run through the checklists for that work or did you just look at it and say, look, $I$ want to use -- this is a big chunk, $I$ should probably have them buy the book?
A. Well, $I$ knew what was in the checklist and $I$ knew, it was in my mind, $I$ didn't actually have to fill it out, so yes.
Q. Are there particular examples on the syllabus from the fall 2009 that fall into that category?
A. I'd have to look. I don't recall if I assigned every chapter in each one of these books. I can recall one from some other classes. And it's very possible on this one that $I$ didn't assign every single chapter.
Q. And where -- what was sort of the cut off point for you as to when you decided $I$ should have the students purchase the book as opposed to using the ERes system?
A. Well, 1 can recall, for example, this book starting with Millett here on this book, I wanted my undergraduates to read some of those and so in a different class $I$ was looking at, but I didn't think they needed to read all of it. But $I$ realized $I$ wanted them to read more than about half.

I'd have to look. I don't recall the exact number, but -- anyway, it was probably about half, 50, 60 percent of the articles, perhaps. So $I$ thought, well, they better buy that book. That was one particular instance.
Q. And was that a situation where prior to that determination you've been making those, whatever the 50 percent, the number of chapters available on ERes and you changed and decided now $I$ need to make them buy it or was this a new --
A. No, no, $I$ don't think $I$ 've ever put that much on any kind of reserve system.
Q. Have there been instances where you actually went through the checklist for a given use and concluded that the use wasn't a fair use?
A. Well, $I$ think $I$ just explained, I went through it in my head --
Q. Okay.
A. -- and decided that $I$ needed to have them buy the book.
Q. But you haven't filled out -- actually filled out a checklist in those situations, correct?
A. I don't believe $I$ did for that one.
Q. And so my question is, have you ever -- has there ever been a situation where you've literally
filled out a checklist and gotten to the bottom and said this is not a fair use?
A. I don't think so.
Q. When you used the ERes system, does the library make the copies or do you make them and provide them to the library?
A. I can't remember how $I$ did that. I really don't remember. $I$ think -- no, $I$ tell them -- I think $I$ tell them the books, $I$ think they make the copies, yeah.
Q. You provide the book and the page range?
A. Or the book is in the library, I think. I don't remember how $I$ did this.
Q. I'll give you what's been marked as McCoy 6 and McCoy Exhibit 7.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And McCoy 6 ends in Bates number or begins in Bates number 65272.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. McCoy 7 begins with Bates number 65274.

Do you recognize these as the checklists that you filled out for the fall 2009 semester for the Mainwaring and Munck excerpts that we were discussing earlier in the deposition?
A. Yes, uh-huh.
Q. And you filled these out it looks like August

15th of 2009?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. That was before the semester started, is that right?
A. Yes. I think so. I don't remember when the semester started. I can probably tell -- yeah.
Q. But it's your recollection of it you do this at the beginning of the semester?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's look at the first factor, if you would. MR. ASKEW: Which exhibit?

MR. LARSON: McCoy 6.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And this is the checklist for the Mainwaring and Welms excerpt pages 1 to 33.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. I see under weighs in favor of fair use you've checked it looks to be one box on that side. Is that right?
A. Uh-huh. Correct.
Q. And that's teaching?
A. Yes.
Q. Nonprofit educational is the first box. You did not check it. Can you tell me why you passed on that particular box?
A. I think on that one $I$ was thinking we were supposed to choose which of the -- which of the uses that we were doing, and $I$ thought teaching was the most relevant one.
Q. It was your understanding of the five or six boxes here under weighs in favor of fair use that you were to pick the best one of those?
A. I think that must be what $I$ was thinking. Or I was thinking nonprofit educational, I might have been thinking that that was some other form of education as opposed to teaching in the classroom. Like a training seminar kind of thing.
Q. So do you view what you do at Georgia State as being nonprofit educational?
A. Yes, $I$ guess it is. But $I$ probably was thinking, it was a while ago so I'm not sure, but I may have been thinking that that was, you know, other forms of education, like $I$ said, training kinds of things, something like that.

But no, $I$ guess it would apply. Yes, I think we're -- well, we're obviously a nonprofit institution. So yes. Yeah. Probably I should have checked that one, too.
Q. And teaching you checked because you were using it --
A. Yeah, that was the primary reason, yeah.
Q. Looking down to the last box, use is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose. Tell me what you understand that factor to mean?
A. Well, looks like $I$ should have checked that one. Obviously it was necessary for my educational purpose or $I$ wouldn't have assigned it.
Q. You wouldn't assign something that wasn't necessary?
A. Right. Yeah.
Q. So if you were to do this again you would check --
A. I probably would.
Q. Let's look over on the weighs against fair use side.
A. Uh-huh. Oh, oh, sorry. Uh-huh.
Q. Yeah, right hand column.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You see there's a choice for nontransformative?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And then actually on the other side to weighs in favor of fair use there's a box for transformative?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Tell your understanding of what the means for
a use to be transformative.
A. Somehow, yeah, somehow changing the work, $I$ guess. I think I'm not really sure. I'm not really sure how that applies to written products, you know, written materials.
Q. Do you have an understanding of how it works with respect to other types of copyrighted material?
A. Well, $I$ think like an art or something you can use it in a different way or use it as a-- you know, I don't know. Paint over it or, you know, change it in some way.

And I'm not sure how that could apply -- you couldn't do that to a photocopy of a book.
Q. Right. And so is there a reason then that you didn't check nontransformative, if that's your understanding?
A. Well, could have been an oversight or $I$ just may not have clearly understood what that meant.
Q. All right. And so did you come to some conclusion looking at factor 1 as to --

So you didn't check either box at the bottom for either weighs in favor or weighs against fair use. Let me ask --
A. Well, $I$ just thought it was obvious because it was for teaching purposes. Yeah, I guess I just
neglected to fill in the bottom categories, but it seemed -- my conclusion was it weighed in favor of fair use.
Q. And that's because you had one check on the left side and no checks on the right side?
A. Correct.
Q. And what if you had checked nontransformative and it had been one to one, what's your understanding of what you would do with this chart in that situation?
A. Well, $I$ think $I$ would have evaluated it under that particular circumstance.

MR. LARSON: I see that our tape is about to end so why don't we take a break here and we'll keep going.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at
1:09.
(Brief recess.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape 2.
We're back on the record at 1:16.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Could you turn to the second page of McCoy 6.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And let's look at factor number 2 here, nature of the copyrighted work. You checked there important to educational objective. Do you see that?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Tell me why you checked that.
A. Because it was important to the objectives of my class, how to use that.
Q. And just looking back to factor 1, there's a factor at the bottom we were talking about before called use is necessary, or which says use is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is there -- do you see a distinction between that and important to educational objectives under factor 2?
A. I think they're pretty similar, although another interpretation of necessary means, yeah, that you wouldn't be able to achieve it without it or there wouldn't be an alternative.

But important would mean important but possibly there could be an alternative use maybe. I guess that's the only difference $I$ would see.
Q. I think before you indicated on reflection that you would have checked the use is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose under factor 1 , is that right?
A. I think so. I think $I$ would looking at it again probably.
Q. And can you imagine a situation where you picked out an article or a chapter to use in your class where you wouldn't check both of these boxes we're looking at, where you would check one and not the other?
A. No, I think they would both probably come into play in most cases.
Q. In other words, if the use is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose, then it would also be important to your educational objectives?
A. Well, if it's necessary it is obviously important. But the question is could it be important and not necessary.
Q. And am I understanding from what you said before is that you wouldn't pick a work if it wasn't necessary, is that right?
A. Well, let's -- $I$ think -- you know, in logic there is a specific distinction for necessary, and that it's -- it can't happen without it. So $I$ suppose there's a possibility that $I$ could view something as important and not absolutely necessary. I suppose that's possible.
Q. Possible.

Putting aside sort of logical theorems, is it a more practical matter in filling out this checklist, if you select a work for use in your class, would you
agree it's generally the case that you would view that work both as being important and necessary?
A. I think so. Yes.
Q. And looking down under factor 3, the last box there weighs in favor of fair use, amount taken is narrowly tailored to educational purpose.
A. Well, $I$ think that one applies as well. That looks like a mistake in not checking that.
Q. You would also generally if you selected an article check that box as well?
A. Yes.
Q. You checked small portion of work is used under factor 3.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Just tell me how you made that determination.
A. Well, just looking at -- you know, in two of the cases $I$ used it it was one chapter out of whatever, 10 or 11 in the book. And in the other case I had three out of -- I can't remember how many there were, nine or 10 or 11. However many chapters. So you know, I think something like 25 percent, 20 percent or something like that would be small.
Q. 20 or 25 percent would be the line you generally draw as to small versus large?
A. In my mind. I mean, it's not defined exactly
right here, but in my mind, yeah.
Q. It looks to me looking at the Munck book, I'm doing a dangerous thing which is doing math on the fly, but it looks like you used --
A. I've lost it. Oh, here it is, uh-huh.
Q. -- about 50 pages out of what looks to be about a 250 page book?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Which is about 20 percent?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that right?
A. Yeah, so that's -- yeah. That's my general calculous.
Q. So that's -- what I'm hearing you say is that this particular use is up against the line of what you would consider to be large, is that fair?
A. Well, large $I$ would say would be probably over 50 percent. I mean, there's no category of middle, medium.
Q. I see. So it's possible for -- these aren't either/or in your mind on the checklist, the small and large?
A. I'm sorry, I didn't understand.
Q. The small and large choices here are not either/or to you? In other words, it could be -- you
might not check small because it's, say, 30 percent but you wouldn't then necessarily check large?
A. Well, I'm not sure what $I$ would do if I didn't consider it small.

No, $I$ think -- yeah, $I$ think large would be, yeah, probably 40,50 percent or more.
Q. So there is some middle ground where you might not check either box, is that right?
A. There may be. But -- yeah, there may be. But I think if it were bigger than --

Well, you know, my upbringing was always that this was focusing on the size on this thing until $I$ was focusing on the rest of it, so $I$ think if it were larger than small then $I$ probably would not use it because I think it needs to be small to be able to use it on the reserve list.
Q. So in your mind if you don't check small portion under factor 3, that's determinative of use of the work overall?
A. I think it's important. I think it's pretty important. I don't think it's completely determinative, but $I$ think it's pretty important. And probably I would.

I don't know. I haven't had an instance like you're saying of where it was larger which one 1 would
check, because $I$ haven't really had another instance to use these things.
Q. So you're not quite sure what you'd do until faced with that particular situation?
A. Yes.
Q. You did not check here portion used is not central or significant to the entire work as a whole. Just tell me -- you'll see that over to the right there's a choice for portion used is central to work or heart of the work.

Tell me about your decision not to check either of those.
A. Oh, I think it's because $I$ thought significant I think, $I$ think every -- I think probably $I$ was focusing on the word significant in there, because I think every -- you know, everything in the book is significant to a book or every chapter is going to be significant, but it's not necessarily the central chapter. So that's why $I$ didn't check it on the left hand side because $I$ wouldn't say it wasn't significant. But didn't want to --

I look at the right hand side and I see central to the work or heart of the work. That makes it sound like it's the key piece of the work and I don't think that that applies, either. So I actually didn't
think that either one of those applied.
Q. So looking at the right hand one, weighs against fair use. If it said portion used is central or significant to work, would you have checked it?
A. I might have.
Q. I think what $I$ just heard you say is that you view each of the chapters in the book as being significant --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- which is why you didn't check the left side?
A. Yeah, $I$ think -- I mean, I don't think a publisher would include something if it weren't significant. That doesn't mean --

It wouldn't necessarily be significant to my class, but significant to the work is the way I read that. So $I$ presume that they're not publishing things that aren't significant to the work.
Q. And then $I$ think what you said was looking at the right hand column is that that inquiry is limited -you didn't check it because that inquiry on that side is limited to central to the work as opposed to --
A. Correct.
Q. -- central or significant?
A. Right. I'm thinking of significant as
important.
Q. And so if -- just so the record is clear, if on the right hand side the choice was portion used is central or significant to work, then you would have considered checking that box?

MR. ASKEW: I'm going to object to the question as vague and indefinite.

Are you asking her is it central or it significant? You're using those alternatively and $I$ think that question is vague and indefinite.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Do you understand the question?
A. Well, $I$ think you're sort of asking me a hypothetical, if it were worded differently what would I do.
Q. That's exactly what $I$ 'm doing.
A. Okay. Can $I$ answer a hypothetical like that?

MR. ASKEW: If you understand it.
THE WITNESS: Well, $I$ think that the confusing thing is what you're asking is something that $I$ would interpret as, like I said, significant - it wouldn't make sense to me to see both of those because it's going --

Like $I$ said, everything is significant to the book, but that would combine more than one criteria because heart of the work would be, you know, saying that there's some crucial section of the book as opposed to all the chapters are important to the book. So $I$ think it would be very hard to interpret a criteria with all of those together.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Let me ask it this way. Maybe this will simplify it a little.

If there was a choice on the right hand side that said portion used is significant to work for this chapter we're talking about here, would you check that?
A. Probably, yes, uh-huh. If it just said that.
Q. Let me just ask you, you didn't identify that the piece that you took -- forgive me -- the piece that you provided to your students as being the heart of the work, central to the work or the heart of the work?
A. Uh-huh. Correct.
Q. I take it you don't believe that this particular excerpt is the heart of the work?
A. Correct.
Q. And why not?
A. I think it's difficult in an edited volume to have a heart of the work. It usually is not a heart of the work.
Q. What about the introduction to an edited volume?
A. Well, the introduction in these cases was -they were each like another chapter that they introduced their own subject matter.
Q. When you say these cases, do you mean the two checklists that we're looking at here?
A. No, the two books we're looking at here.
Q. So looking at McCoy 7, this is the Munck chapters, just tell me what you mean. The introduction there was introducing a particular chapter, is that what --
A. The Munck -- let me remember that one. That is the one -- I downloaded that one. I can't remember which is which. The Munck is -- that was the one with the past and the current research in the field.
Q. What is it you're looking at there?
A. Yeah. Just some notes that $I$ made on the list of my books that I'm using.
Q. Could $I$ see what you're looking at?
A. Can $I$ show him this?

MR. ASKEW: Yeah.

MR. LARSON: Why don't we mark this as an exhibit for the deposition. Let's mark this as McCoy 8.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. And could you just tell me what your notes are there?
A. Uh-huh. I just wanted to remember what was in these things.
Q. Sure.
A. Let's see. The first one is "Democratic Accountability". That's the Mainwaring.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. I wrote down it's Mainwaring's only chapter in the book. It's a literature review and his arguments. It includes review of the authors in the book and other authors.

And the second one is --
Q. Let's pause there if we could.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And just so -- so in this situation, the introduction actually is itself the chapter, it's not a separation introduction to the book?
A. Correct.
Q. I see. And then for the second, go ahead.
A. And the second one is "Regimes In Democracy",
and $I$ wrote down - this is the reason why $I$ wanted to use the book, that's why $I$ was writing in each case. Past and current research on Latin America, development of the field.
Q. And in this situation, looking back at McCoy Exhibit 5, the introduction that you used or that you provided to the students appears at least from the table of contents to be an introduction to the entire book, is that right?
A. That's the way it appears here. But he actually put in there a lot of additional material that I wanted them to get that was specifically looking -- as I just said, describing the evolution of the field of study of Latin America politics.
Q. And in your view would that introduction comprise the heart of the work for this volume?
A. No, huh-uh.
Q. And in an edited volume like this, generally it's your view that there wouldn't be -- you couldn't call any particular piece the heart, is that right?
A. That's correct. I don't think so.
Q. Let's look at factor 4, returning back to McCoy 6, the checklist.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. I see the first box you did not check, no
significant effect on market or potential market for copyrighted work. Just tell me there why you did not check that one?
A. Because $I$ checked the second one, which is stimulates the market, which means it had some effect.
Q. Okay. So there was an effect, but in your mind the effect was positive?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about choosing use stimulates market for original work, why did you check that one?
A. Because I've seen students buy the books after they've read one or two chapters that I've assigned, then they want to buy it. Particularly when they're studying for their comprehensive exams and they want to get additional preparation or additional literature or if they learn it's appropriate for their research topic, their theses or their dissertation, then they will often buy it after afterwards. And I've seen that happen so that's why I checked that.
Q. And when is that happening? Do you have specific instances of that that you can recall?
A. I've had students that I've advised on their dissertation or that have come in to see me on their comprehensive readings, and I've seen them sometimes actually carrying the books or referring to the books.
Q. And these are books - this has occurred after --
A. After taking my course.
Q. And after taking your course and having had a particular chapter in that book assigned to the class?
A. Correct.
Q. And have they told you that they bought the book because you assigned the chapter in class?
A. Yes.
Q. And how many times has that happened?
A. How many times. I don't know if I could say how many times.
Q. You know, four or five, 15 or 20?
A. You know, over 25 years more than that. You know --
Q. How many in the last -- how many times in the last two years say?
A. Maybe 10 to 20.
Q. Okay. And just to be clear, those are instances specifically where you've used a chapter and then the student has bought the book and told you that they bought the book because you used that chapter?
A. I don't know that they always say that specifically to me. But they would, you know, come in, talk about their project or their exam and say, well, we
used that in -- you know, remember that book, whatever, the chapter we used in the course, you know, reading the book or something.
Q. I'm sorry, they would say --
A. That $I^{\prime} m$ reading the whole book. I'm using the whole book to prepare.
Q. So they would say they bought the whole book because you used it in the class?
A. I don't know if they would use those exact words, but they would often refer -- that they were introduced to the book in the class.
Q. And that was my question. Is it in these situations you're recounting, do you know that the reason they bought the book or found out about the book was specifically because you used it or is it possible that they knew about the book for some other reason?
A. I know in some instances because they learned about it in my class. I know in other instances they have -- afterwards they made the decision, so 1 can't necessarily attribute causality.
Q. In the former instances, how do you know that it was particularly because you introduced them to it?
A. Because, like I said, they would come in and they would refer to it.
Q. Refer to what?
A. To the class and the book that they, you know, read a couple chapters and thought they were good so they wanted to use the whole book in their preparation or that this book was particularly relevant to their research.
Q. And how many in that category we're just talking about, how many instances of that have occurred in the last two years?
A. It's hard to give -- I don't know. Five to 15.
Q. Okay. And in those instances, is it your sense that they purchased the whole book because it had some relevance to their dissertation topic or other research they're doing, is that the reason they buy it?
A. Usually it's for one of two purposes. Either relevant to their research project or preparation for comprehensive exams.
Q. And just explain that part to me, how a particular book would play into preparing for comprehensive exams?
A. Because we expect them to know the literature and to know even beyond what they've read in the class, so they know the expectation is larger than that.
Q. So in these instances that we're talking about here, these are generally in graduate courses that
you've had this happen?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you teach undergraduate courses?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you had a similar, any similar situations you can recall in undergraduate courses where an undergrad went and bought the book after learning about it in your class?
A. Undergraduates $I$ tend to just assign the books. I don't tend to use the EReserves. And also I don't have as much contact afterwards with them, so $I$ don't have specific examples.
Q. And when this -- the checklist uses the term the market or potential market for the copyrighted work. You see that?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. What's your understanding of the market that's being referred to there for the work?
A. Well, anyone who would buy the book. In these cases it's generally students and other scholars for the most part, libraries.
Q. So the market as you understand it here is the market for purchases of the book?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you consider the market for permissions,
licensing permissions for the excerpt when you were filling out the checklist?
A. I'm not sure $I$ understand that.
Q. Did you consider when you were filling this out the impact that your use might have on the market for permissions of the work as opposed to purchases of the work?
A. No, I don't think so, because I didn't think permissions were required.
Q. Well, you're filling this form out in order to determine whether a permission is required, isn't that right?
A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.

MR. ASKEW: What was your answer to
that? You just nodded your head.
THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. Wait a minute, now, $I^{\prime \prime m}$ sorry, I'm a little confused on what the question is now.

MR. ASKEW: Just try to verbalize your answer.

THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah, okay. All right.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. I believe you just said that you didn't consider the permissions as being part of the market
because you didn't understand a permission to be required, is that correct?
A. I guess my answer to your question is no, I didn't consider permissions as part of the market.
Q. Over on the weighs against fair use side, the second box --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- licensing of permission reasonably available, you didn't check that. Just tell me why not?
A. Maybe I didn't know. I'm really not sure why I didn't check it.
Q. Do you know sitting here whether licensing or permission is available for the Mainwaring, for the work at issue here in this checklist?
A. Well, $I$ think $I$ know it's possible to apply for or request it. What $I$ don't know is if it's easy to get for it, you know, in a case where you would need it, I don't know if it's easy or not.
Q. That's not something you've investigated?
A. Well, like $I$ said before, a long time ago we used to write the permission letters, but now I've only -- no, $I$ haven't recently tried to get permission other than the only time I've tried to get permissions are not for EReserves but for having one of my own publications -- let me think. Published in a journal to
then be reprinted in an edited volume. And then we've had to get permissions for that.

So that's really my experience, because otherwise $I$ don't - I mean, $I$ haven't put things on the EReserve that $I$ thought required permission, so $I$ have not tried to get them.
Q. Again, though, in the process of filling out the checklist when you're considering this factor, how would you know whether or not you had to get permission if you haven't finished the checklist?
A. Well, $I$ wouldn't know. But the question there that you asked me is it reasonably available, I may not have checked it because $I$ didn't know if it was reasonably available. I didn't know.
Q. Just didn't know one way or the other?
A. I didn't know how the publisher would ask or answer it if $I$ had to make a request.
Q. And you didn't view this checklist as requiring you to go find out in order to decide whether or not to check this box?
A. You mean to actually go ahead and write the letter and ask for it?
Q. Well, $I$ don't want to say necessarily write the letter.
A. That's how $I$ would find out $I$ guess is to
actually try it.
Q. And your understanding to actually do so you'd have to write a letter to the publisher?
A. I think to know whether they would answer it is or not, yes, probably.
Q. All right. So are you aware -- I take it you're not aware then by that answer that you are able to find out that information on the CCC web site?
A. No, I guess not.
Q. The next box is numerous copies made or distributed which you did not check. Just tell me about your decision not to check that one.
A. Because $I$ was not making numerous copies.
Q. And what for you would be numerous?
A. Well, $I$ was just providing it -- I mean, one copy for the -- to put on the library. I wasn't handing it out in the class or to other outside people.
Q. And so your understanding is that when you provided this to the or when you made it available on the EReserve system that was a single copy?
A. Yeah, that's what $I$ was providing. I'm not sure -- and it was available only to the students in my class, which were 12. Didn't seem very numerous.
Q. Let me ask again. I'm not sure that $I$ got an answer.

What number of copies would you consider to be numerous?
A. I don't know that $I$ have a specific number.
Q. If you had a class of 100, would you consider that to be numerous copies?
A. Probably.
Q. How about a class of 50?
A. Maybe.
Q. The next box is repeated or long term use that demonstrably affects the market for the work. You did not check that. Tell me why not?
A. Because $I$ was using it just in this one course and then it goes away.
Q. Now, if you were to use it -- some works you use over the course of -- some works you use semester after semester, is that right?
A. Well, the way $I$ teach, I alternate courses and I only teach one course a semester so $I$ don't teach semester after semester the same course.
Q. There are courses that you repeat, though, is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And when you repeat the course, is it the case that you sometimes use the same -- put the same readings on ERes as you did in the prior semester?
A. Sometimes. But as $I$ said $I$ usually update, so it's never exactly the same.
Q. Understood. But for those readings that are the same the next time you teach the class, would you view that as being repeated use of that particular work?
A. Well, $I$ suppose that could be. But in this case when $I$ was filling this out it was the first time $I$ used it so it wasn't repeated.
Q. I see. If it had been the second or the third time, would you have checked this box?
A. I might have.
Q. And required classroom reading you checked I assume because it was required reading?
A. It was required, yeah.
Q. So just to correct me if I'm wrong, I think any --
A. Oh, I'm sorry, if $I$ go back to that one.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. Repeated -- the demonstrably affects the market for the work, $I$ would -- I may not have checked it because of the entire phrase, either.
Q. And that's having to do with the reason we discussed about the effect on the market before?
A. Correct. Uh-huh.

MR. ASKEW: Was that a yes?

THE WITNESS: Yes. You know, presuming that that means, you know, negatively affects the market.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. So just looking over the chart as a whole, it appears to me that you have just one check in the weighs against fair use column, is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And that's the same on McCoy 7?
A. Yes.
Q. And am I right that the two checklists are identical in terms of which boxes are checked?
A. Yes.
Q. How -- when you fill this out, if you recall, how long do you spend on each checklist roughly?
A. Probably 10 to 15 minutes.
Q. You described before that $I$ think there's been a situation where you wanted to use enough chapters of a particular book, $I$ don't remember if you gave number, but a significant number of chapters where you decided just to have the students purchase the book, am I remembering that right?
A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.

MR. ASKEW: Is that again --
THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm sorry, yes.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q. Thank you.

Is it your understanding that those several chapters that wanted to use would have been allowed under the old GSU policy?
A. Which policy? We've had --
Q. Well, prior to the current regem where there's the checklist, you know, let's say in 2007, if you had wanted to put those five chapters or whatever number of chapters it was on the library system, would you have been able to do so?
A. No. My understanding would be -- was in the past that it should be a small amount.
Q. So put another way, your decision to make them buy the book in this particular situation we're talking about is not necessarily a result of the change in policy in 2009, is that right?
A. That -- well, $I$ understand that it's always been that it should be a small amount so, correct, not a change in that policy of small or large.
Q. In the situation where if you determine that a work was not a fair use after doing the checklist, and maybe not a situation where it was so many chapters that they had to buy the book but was in your view too much, would you ever consider putting the work on EReserve but
seeking permission from the publisher to do so?
A. Yes, that could be another option.
Q. Is that something that you've -- well, strike that.

I think you've answered this before. I think you said that's not something you've actually looked into, though, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And is it your understanding that if you were to seek a permission from a publisher that you'd have to pay for that permission or that the students would somehow pay or that the school would, do you have a view on that?
A. I'm not sure. I don't think $I$ would have to pay. But I'm not actually sure how the payments work.
Q. Okay. So you don't know whether the students would or the school would?
A. I don't know.
Q. And is it your view that if it were the case that the permission fee -- permission fee could be charged to each student, is that something that you would consider?
A. I think it really depends on how much it is and how important it is to the course that they read it.
Q. Okay.
A. But $I$ don't know how much it would be.
Q. That's just not something you've ever investigated?
A. Right.

MR. LARSON: Give me just one moment.
I think we may be about ready to wrap up.
That's all the questions $I$ have for you.

MR. ASKEW: That will conclude the deposition.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 1:52.
(Deposition concluded at 1:52 p.m.)
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