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KENNITH DRAKE, VIDEOGRAPHER

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This will be the videotaped deposition of Margaret Moloney taken by the plaintiff in the matter of Cambridge University Press, Oxford University Press Inc., and Sage Publications Inc. versus Mark P. Becker, in his official capacity as Georgia State University president, et. al.

The date is April 15th, 2011. We're the record at 1:55.

MR. KRUGMAN: If you'll swear the witness.

MARGARET F. MOLONEY,
having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. KRUGMAN:
Q. Good afternoon. If you could first please state your full name and where you reside?
A. Margaret Florence Moloney. And I reside in Atlanta, Georgia.
Q. And what is your residence address?
A. 2351 Doreen Court northeast, Atlanta, 30345.
Q. And I'm Edward Krugman and we met for the first time just a few moments ago, and I'm representing

Cambridge University Press, Oxford University Press and Sage Publications in the matter that brings you here today.

Have you ever been deposed before?
A. No.
Q. Let me tell you just a few of the ground rules for the deposition. I'll be asking you questions throughout the day. If at any time you do not understand the question that $I$ 've asked, please tell me and $I$ will do my best to rephrase it to make it clearer.

It is important that you respond audibly to questions. Even though we have a videographer, the official transcript is taken down by the court reporter and she cannot record nods. So if it's a yes, verbalize it and the same with the no or $I$ don't know.

If at any time you need a break during the deposition, let me know and we will take a break to accommodate you. It's not an endurance test or the Bataan Death March.

Your counsel during the course of the deposition may state objections to questions. If it's a matter of privilege, then that's not something you would respond to. If it's simply an objection to form, $I$ may ask you to go ahead and respond to the question as best you can.

First of all, when did you first learn that your deposition was being taken in this matter?
A. I don't remember. I mean, I think it was maybe a month ago.
Q. Fairly recently, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you do to prepare for the deposition?
A. Well, $I$ met with my lawyer. That's all I've done.
Q. Ms. Quicker, you met with her?
A. Yes.
Q. And when was that?
A. A couple days ago, Tuesday $I$ guess. Well, I guess it was Wednesday.
Q. Was that the first time that you had met with anyone in connection with the preparation for your deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. And approximately how long did you and Ms. Quicker meet?
A. About two hours.
Q. And did you review any documents?
A. You mean during the meeting with her?
Q. Well, first of all during the meeting in
preparation for your deposition.
A. We looked at the fair use documents that $I$ had filled out.
Q. The checklist documents?
A. The checklist.
Q. Any other documents that you reviewed that you can recall?
A. Not that $I$ recall.
Q. Were there any documents you reviewed other than when you met with Ms. Quicker to prepare for your deposition?
A. No.
Q. Did you review the Georgia State University policy on copyright?
A. Just -- you mean since $I$ found out about this?
Q. Yes, ma'am.
A. No.
Q. Did you review any transcripts of depositions taken of other individuals in this case?
A. No.
Q. Have you reviewed any briefs in the case?
A. No.
Q. Have you seen the complaint or amended complaint or any pleadings in this case?
A. No.
Q. Other than your counsel, did you speak with anyone about your deposition today?
A. No.
Q. Have you spoken with any other Georgia State professors about depositions they have given in this case?
A. No.
Q. At some time were you asked to preserve documents in connection with this litigation?
A. Yes.
Q. And first of all, who made that request?
A. Legal affairs.
Q. The office of legal affairs for the university?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. You recall the individual who made the request?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember when that request was made?
A. No.
Q. Was it - -
A. It was quite a while ago.
Q. Was it -- during 2009 or 2010 if you recall?
A. No, I think it was in 2010, but I'm not sure.
Q. What documents were you requested to preserve?
A. I was requested to send in my fair use, copies that $I$ had used for a course in the fall of 2009. Send them to legal affairs.
Q. And I take it you did that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you understand that the checklist - I take it you filled out checklists in connection with requesting the library to post some works on the GSU EReserve system, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you have at the time the request was made copies of all of the checklists that you had completed in connection with requesting works be posted on the EReserve system for the fall of 2009?
A. I did.
Q. Were you asked to provide any documents other than the checklist?
A. I'm not absolutely sure, but $I$ believe I might have sent the syllabus for that course.
Q. Okay. When you say the syllabus for that course, which course were you referring to?
A. 8035. Or is it 45. I can't remember.
Q. But it was a course you taught in the school of nursing at Georgia State?
A. Yes.
Q. And the checklist concerned works that were posted on the EReserve system for that course that you taught in the fall of 2009?
A. Yes.
Q. Let me first hand you what's been marked as Moloney Exhibit Number 1 and can you identify this document, Professor Moloney?
A. Yes.
Q. What is it?
A. I believe this is the information that was taken off the web, the school of nursing web site about me.
Q. Is this information that you provided to the web site?
A. Yes.
Q. Essentially your CV?
A. Yes, part of it.
Q. Or resume, a portion of your resume?
A. Yes.
Q. And what exactly is your position at the university?
A. I'm associate professor.
Q. And do you have any particular areas of expertise that you concentrate in?
A. You mean as far as what $I$ teach?
Q. Yes, ma'am.
A. I teach in the doctoral program.
Q. And what is the doctoral program?
A. The Ph.D. in nursing program.
Q. And you've been on the doctoral program faculty since -- since 2004, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Under education, Exhibit 1, Moloney Exhibit 1 indicates that you were -- says coordinator family nurse practitioner program 2004 to 2006. And what is that?
A. That's the family nurse practitioner program, and I coordinated that.
Q. At the university?
A. Uh-huh. It's a nursing -- a master's program in nursing.
Q. Okay. You received is that a bachelor of nursing in 1972 from Illinois Wesleyan?
A. Yes.
Q. And then a master's in nursing from st. Louis University in 1977?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you obtained your Ph.D. from Georgia State University in 1993?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's a Ph.D. in nursing?
A. Nursing.
Q. Are you a tenured professor at Georgia State?
A. Yes.
Q. And how long have you been tenured?
A. Two weeks.
Q. Congratulations.
A. Thanks.
Q. I take it you are excited about that?
A. I'm relieved.
Q. Are you employed or do you work other than in connection with your work at the university currently?
A. No.
Q. Have you since 2004 when you joined the faculty?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you do?
A. I did nurse practitioner work for Planned Parenthood about one day a week while $I$ was here. In the capacity of part of my teaching responsibilities I worked there as a nurse practitioner.
Q. Excellent. And when did you quit doing that?
A. 2007 .
Q. And what did you do prior to -- prior to joining the faculty at the university?
A. I taught at Emory for about eight years in the
nursing school there.
Q. Did you work other than in connection with your teaching at Emory?
A. Yes. I worked at Planned Parenthood as a nurse practitioner and $I$ worked in several internal medicine practices during my practice year over time.
Q. And prior to teaching nursing at Emory --
A. I worked as a nurse practitioner.
Q. In Atlanta?
A. In Atlanta. And in St. Louis before that.
Q. Who do you report to within the university?
A. Dr. Barbara Woodring.
Q. You can spell that for the court reporter.
A. W-o-o-d-r-i-n-g.
Q. And what is Dr. Woodring's position?
A. She's the director of the school of nursing.
Q. Do you know who Dr. Woodring's report is?
A. Dr. Susan Kelley, K-e-l-l-e-y. She's the dean of the college of health and human sciences.
Q. And do you know who Susan Kelley reports to?
A. I'm not absolutely certain.
Q. Do you know who the provost is of the university?
A. Yes.
Q. And who is that?
A. Risa Palm, R-i-s-a.
Q. And what do you understand the role of the university provost to be, if you have an understanding?
A. I am quite sure $I$ don't have a complete understanding. But $I$ believe that part of her role at least involves being in charge of academic affairs. In other words, she probably takes a good deal of the responsibility for faculty affairs. And beyond that -and besides general governance, $I$ 'm not sure what her other responsibilities are.
Q. And who is the president of the university?
A. Mark Becker.
Q. And what is your understanding of Mark Becker's responsibilities just in broad terms as university president?
A. Well, $I$ guess to summarize it $I$ would say that he is the chief administrator and that overall everyone in one way or another directly or indirectly reports to him. That would be my general understanding.
Q. And that would include you as a member of the faculty?
A. Yes.
Q. And I take it that would include the head librarian of the university as well?
A. I would assume so, but $I$ don't know for sure.
Q. Have you published any books?
A. No, $I$ have a section of a book that was published, but I've not published any books myself.
Q. What was the section of the book?
A. It was a section on "Menopause And Migraines" and it was published in a clinical handbook on menopause by the North American Menopause Society.
Q. If you take a look at the Exhibit 1, and can you identify --
A. Yes, it's in various editions. It's the second listing on my list of publications.
Q. Okay. So it's where it says Moloney M. F. Headache --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- In Menopause Practice: A Clinician's Guide --
A. Yes.
Q. -- second edition, Cleveland, the North American Menopause Society. And that's actually in press?
A. Well, it was then. I mean, this is old, so --
Q. When you say this is old, you mean Exhibit --
A. The publication, that publication has been updated since then.
Q. Okay. It's been updated since the second
edition, is that --
A. Yes, that was the second edition, yeah.
Q. Okay. And do you have a section of this book since it's been updated?
A. Yes.
Q. So simply a subsequent edition of the same book?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the subject of the book?
A. Menopause.
Q. And $I$ take it from the title the portion - was the portion you wrote, did that deal with headaches --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in menopause, so women and men going through menopause and headaches they experience?
A. Women, women going through menopause, yes.
Q. What portion of that book did you contribute to?
A. You mean percentage wise?
Q. Yeah. First of all, number of pages, if you know?
A. Probably about two pages.
Q. So your portion of the book was a total of two pages?
A. I think so, yeah.
Q. I take it both your portion of the book and the entire book is a work of nonfiction rather than fiction, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the portion of the book that you contributed to contain your original analysis on the subject?
A. Yes.
Q. And the portion that you contributed to was not simply a recitation of facts, but contained expression and analysis by you, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you consider the portion you contributed to to be a creative product?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you sign a contract for the book with the publisher?
A. By contract you mean was $I$ going to get money for it?
Q. Well, first of all, was there any papers that you signed in connection with the contribution?
A. I am not certain that there was, but $I$ believe I just -- I signed a statement that said $I$ would do the work.
Q. Was it your expectation that you would be paid anything for the contribution?
A. No.
Q. And have you received any payments whatsoever for the contribution that you made to the book we're speaking about?
A. No. They gave me a copy of the book.
Q. So obviously your contribution to the book was not financially motivated in terms of direct payments for the work that you did, is that correct?
A. Right.
Q. But there's certainly benefits to being published apart from the income that one might earn from the publication, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Career enhancement?
A. Yes.
Q. Reputation?
A. Yes.
Q. And a contribution hopefully toward becoming a tenured professor?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the role of the publisher of this book, if any, in connection with the portion that you contributed?
A. What - I'm not sure what you mean.
Q. I assume you, first of all, you prepared a draft of a manuscript?
A. Yes.
Q. And then to whom did you submit that manuscript?
A. An editor, but $I$ 'm not sure who it was. It may have gone to the publisher, but the publisher was the president of the organization.
Q. And that organization was the North American Menopause Society?
A. Yes.
Q. Other than the contribution that you made to "Menopause Practice" that we've been speaking of, have you contributed to any other books?
A. Not directly. I've had two chapters that were published as papers that $I$ had accidentally discovered appeared in other books later on because of the publisher's copyright.

So $I$ had two papers that were published in journals and then $I$ accidentally found out about each one of them that they had later been published as a chapter in a book, each of them. So they were a chapter in each of two books.
Q. Okay. First of all, what were the two papers,
first of all?
A. One was -- let's see, I'm trying to remember. One of them is not on here. I didn't put it on this list. It was an article entitled Women -- Older Women And Inner Strength: A Hermeneutical Heideggerian Study Of Inner Strengths Of Older Women. That appeared in a geriatric anthology.

The other one -
Q. It appeared in the geriatric anthology --
A. In a book that was of geriatric articles. I don't even know what the other ones were.
Q. What were the -- but that was originally an article?
A. That $I$ had published.
Q. Published for a journal. What journal was that, if you remember?
A. Journal of Nursing Scholarship. The other one -- I don't remember which one the other one was.
Q. You said you accidentally discovered --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that each of these two articles had been published as chapters in a book?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And how did you make that discovery?
A. I don't remember how $I$ discovered the first
one. But the second one a friend of mine came to me with a textbook she had gotten and said $I$ was so amazed to see that someone else had written an article in the subject that you did your dissertation on. And I looked at it and $I$ thought, oh, that's Peggy.

So she brought me the book and said, this is your article, right. And congratulations having it in this book. And I said, $I$ really had no idea but $I$ think I'll write to them and ask them for a copy of the book. So I did.
Q. And did you get a copy?
A. I did.
Q. What was the subject matter of that - of your dissertation?
A. I was interested in older women and their stories of surviving difficult events. And I interviewed 12 older women, women over the age of 65, and asked them to tell me stories about their lives. Transcribed those and analyzed those.
Q. I may object to the describing someone over the age of 65 as being old.
A. It seemed old to me then. It doesn't seem so old now. I used the term older.
Q. I think you said earlier that it may have occurred because the publisher of the journals owned the
copyright to the articles?
A. That was my assumption at the time. I don't know how actual -- actually how it happened.
Q. It was not something you investigated?
A. No, so long as $I$ had a copy of the book so that $I$ could show it off, you know.
Q. Again, to hopefully enhance your reputation?
A. Yes.
Q. And the other recent publications that are listed on your CV, are all of those -- those articles that you submitted?
A. Yes, they all are.
Q. And this reveals the various publishers for those, or does it, the articles appeared in?
A. No, no, it does not, it just has the title of the journal and title of the article.
Q. So the journal -- like one journal would be Advances In Nursing Science, just as an example?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And $I$ take it you believe those journals to -those journals provide a benefit to academia in the area in which you teach?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever received any royalties or fees of any sort for anything that you have published?
A. Yes.
Q. If you would, please, tell me about that.
A. Actually it was this year $I$ had written an article for a clinical nursing journal and when it was completed and in, $I$ received an honorarium to split with my co-author of $\$ 150$.
Q. Was the 150 your portion or the total?
A. It was the total.
Q. Other than that, have you received any payments for --
A. Not I recall.
Q. -- anything that you've written?
A. I don't think so.
Q. You've been teaching at Georgia State since I think 2004?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you typically -- how many courses do you typically teach in the year?
A. I teach -- this year $I$ taught one course in the fall, $I$ 'm teaching two courses this spring, and I also coordinate the doctoral program.
Q. And is that a fairly standard case load?
A. For tenured or tenured track faculty, it is.
Q. And what about -- and how long has that essentially been your class load?
A. Since I've been here. And $I$ also do research, so it's not been my full load.
Q. You teach and also research?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that research that leads to the publications that are listed?
A. Primarily, although they're also clinically relevant.
Q. And when you say clinically relevant, what do you mean?
A. Useful for nurse practitioners in practice or nurses in practice.
Q. Throughout your -- you're familiar with the EReserve system at Georgia State?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you used that system throughout your tenure at the university?
A. I don't remember how many times I've used it or not. A couple of years. I'm not sure exactly how long.
Q. You certainly used it in the fall of $2009 ?$
A. Right.
Q. Have you used it subsequent to the course that you taught in the fall of 2009?
A. I believe not, although I'm not absolutely
certain.
Q. Did you use it prior to the course that you taught in the fall of 2009 for which you collected the checklist and syllabus?
A. I don't remember. I may have, but $I$ don't remember.
Q. That's fine.

So sitting here today, you can only recall having used it for one course?
A. Seems like $I$ may have used it for another one, but $I$ can't remember when that was or what the circumstances were.
Q. Are you also familiar with what's called the ULearn system at Georgia State?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that, what's your understanding of ULearn?
A. ULearn is a web based system for either teaching or enhancing teaching so that you can have -you can have classes on it as discussion board classes and you can organize things like grades and assignments, and also you can communicate with students using it.
Q. Have you used ULearn -- have you used the ULearn system in connection with your teaching at Georgia State?
A. I believe I did.
Q. And have you - going back to the EReserve system, you used that at least in the fall of 2009 -
A. At least.
Q. -- to have certain works posted on that system that students could then access, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you used the ULearn system in a similar fashion, to post works in electronic format that students could then access?
A. I may have used it earlier before -- before 2009. I believe I did. But when electronic reserves came out, $I$ believe that that's when $I$ switched over.
Q. And what do you understand electronic reserves, the EReserve system to be?
A. I understand it to be the electronic analog to having books and articles on reserve, on real paper, real time reserve.
Q. So instead of having a hard copy of a book or article on reserve at the library that a student could go in and check out for two hours, this is simply the same information provided electronically, as you understand it?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. In 2009 you recall that Georgia State adopted
a new copyright policy?
A. I don't remember when that happened or if there was. Yeah, $I$ guess there must have been because they stopped using ULearn, yeah.
Q. When you say there must have been, they stopped using ULearn --
A. No, I'm sorry, the copyright statement has nothing to do with that. So no, $I$ don't recall when and if the copyright policy was changed.
Q. Okay. You do recall at some point being advised of the checklist that you would need --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to complete in order to have works posted on the EReserve?
A. I do.
Q. And you recall that was a new development that had -- one that had not previously existed at the university?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your understanding that this -- we'll get to it, that the new policy and the checklist do not apply to the ULearn system at Georgia State?
A. I'm sorry, I don't -- could you -- I'm not sure what you mean.
Q. And I'm just following up on what you had said
when you said they stopped using ULearn and you said, no, that the statement has nothing to do with that?
A. Well, $I$ guess copyright doesn't have -- I'm not sure what copyright applies to in terms of talking about ULearn and the electronic reserves exactly, the distinctions between those.
Q. Let me go ahead and hand you, this is what has been previously marked as Dixon Exhibit Number 2. And we'll take a quick look at this and perhaps you'll be able to better understand my questions, or perhaps not.

Can you -- first of all, can you identify
what's been marked as Exhibit 2 as the University System of Georgia copyright policy?
A. Well, that's what it says it is, so --
Q. Prior to today have you seen the policy?
A. If $I$ have seen this whole policy at some time, I don't recall that.
Q. Do you recall at some point learning that a new policy on copyright had been adopted?
A. I don't recall that, although $I$ do recall learning about that there was something called fair use that $I$ needed to know about.
Q. And when was that?
A. It was I guess before 2009 or maybe 2009. I'm not sure. But $I$ mean, $I$ don't remember if it was
earlier than that, $I$ don't know.
Q. Okay. When you were -- you recall learning that there was something called fair use that you needed to know about?
A. Yeah, and $I$ do read about copyright stuff. I mean, $I$ try to stay as up on the general principles as $I$ can.
Q. How did you learn, what were the circumstances under which you learned that there was something called fair use that you needed to know about?
A. As I recall we received some -- we received a communication that we were to -- to upload, if we wanted to have things on reserve for students that we do it via electronic reserves and that - and that this was a piece of that, that the fair use checklist was a piece of that.
Q. Okay. So you learned from someone that if you wanted to make materials available electronically for students that you were to use the --
A. Yes.
Q. -- electronic reserve, EReserve system for that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you recall who you learned that from?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you recall how you learned it?
A. Probably e-mail. I mean, that's how most things come. But $I$ don't really recall.
Q. Do you recall whether you received a copy of what's been marked as Dixon Exhibit 2 in --
A. This whole thing?
Q. First of all, let's start with the whole thing.
A. If I received a copy of this, $I$ don't remember that.
Q. Do you recall being directed to a link on the university web site that would take you to a copy of the policy?
A. No, but $I$ can't remember things. You know, I'm -- I may very well, because I've read up several times on things about copyright and plagiarism, particularly with regard to being concerned about how we train our students. So it's been very important to me to be doing that in a way that works, that is appropriate so that they learn how to do it.

I don't remember this specific handout. I can
say that we did -- we've had in-services from legal affairs regarding copyright and the fair use policy.
Q. Okay, I missed. You said you've had --
A. In-services in the school from legal affairs regarding fair use and copyright.
Q. And when you say in-services, what do you mean?
A. Well, this was -- in 2009 I had questions, real questions about how fair use worked and how that was going to happen and $I$ was really concerned about it because $I$ wanted to do it right and $I$ felt that some of it $I$ wasn't - I wasn't sure if $I$ really understood it. So $I$ talked with Gwen in legal affairs. And then - and I talked with her several times in the spring about it when $I$ was still concerned about some of the questions, just general questions $I$ had about fair use.

And so she did, and came $I$ believe it was this past fall, came and did an in-service for the nursing faculty, PowerPoint presentation and gave us handouts about -- the fair use handout and talked about that. But it may have been last spring. I don't remember exactly when. But it was since the fall of 2009, she came and did a whole in-service for us.
Q. So that was after -- after you had taught the course in the fall of 2009?
A. Well, she had answered a lot of my questions then, and then $I$ said -- I said to her --

MS. QUICKER: Let me just caution you
not to reveal attorney client privilege. Gwen is an attorney and if you -THE WITNESS: Oh, oh, okay, I'm sorry. BY MR. KRUGMAN:
Q. I'm not asking anything -- and I don't want you to reveal anything that may be protected by the attorney client privilege.
A. Oh, no.
Q. Although I'm not certain that these were --
A. Basically all $I$ was going to say is $I$ thought it would be helpful for everybody to hear more about it.
Q. What were the concerns that you had about fair use?
A. I don't recall specifically. I don't recall.
Q. I think you mentioned at least these would be the students that you -- that you had been interested or read about copyright as it related to plagiarism?
A. Yeah.
Q. And what was your concern there?
A. Just to say that it's the general area. I mean, $I$ see copyright issues and plagiarism, even though they're not the same, but $I$ see them as a general ethical area. And $I$ wanted to understand how this worked because $I$ wanted to be able to - I wanted to make sure $I$ was setting - I was doing it exactly right,
even though of course, you know, $I$ read it and $I$ understood what the fair use policy was saying, but I just wanted to know a little more about it in order to demonstrate proper usage to the students.
Q. Now, you don't teach the students copyright law?
A. No, but these are doctoral students, they write papers, they write dissertations, they write articles for publication, they write books, and so part of it is modeling for them.
Q. And your concerns relative to the students were that in connection with their dissertations and papers and articles that they would not somehow be violating someone else's rights?
A. Well, yes. But my major concern was $I$ was doing it right so they would see good modeling on how it should be done.
Q. But you were doing it right in connection - in conjunction with articles that you were writing and publishing, is that what you mean?
A. That and also the way that they were getting articles and their readings in class.
Q. So your concern was in conjunction with making articles and other readings available to them, that you wanted to make sure that you were not somehow running
afoul of federal copyright law?
A. Yes, yeah, I think so.
Q. Have you had any legal training?
A. No.
Q. Taken any legal courses?
A. No.
Q. Taken any courses on copyright?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall in conjunction with the new copyright policy reading anything other than the fair use checklist which is on pages 7 and 8 of Dixon Exhibit Number 2?
A. Well, you mean, have $I$ read any of the rest of this handout?
Q. Yeah, that you recall.
A. I may very well have, but $I$ don't recall.
Q. You just don't remember?
A. Yeah.
Q. Sitting here today, can you remember -- well, you do remember reading the fair use checklist?
A. Oh, yes, yeah. I read it several times.
Q. Which is on pages 7 and 8. Do you recall reading page 6 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- which is the introduction to the fair use
checklist?
A. Yes.
Q. Other than those three pages, and you can take a moment to look through this document, can you tell me whether you recall having read any other portions of this exhibit?
A. Well, $I$ can say that $I$ may very well have because $I$ was reading everything $I$ could find for a while. I don't remember what $I$ read where. And this is not of course original content, all of these ideas are in other places too. But $I$ don't specifically remember reading these pages. It doesn't mean $I$ didn't.
Q. I understand. Did you have any understanding as to why the university had adopted a new policy on copyright?
A. I don't recall that $I$ ever heard what the rationale was. I mean, $I$ had assumptions about it, but I don't recall ever hearing what it was.
Q. What was the assumption? What were your assumptions?
A. My assumption was that in line with everything else going online and electronic, this was the reasonable step toward making work available in a way that was consistent with the way the rest of society is moving with regard to education.
Q. Were you aware at the time the new policy was adopted of any litigation?
A. No.
Q. When was the first time you heard of a lawsuit brought by academic publishers against --
A. I don't recall exactly. I think it was sometime last year.
Q. Was it at or about the time you were asked to provide documents?
A. No, it was before that.
Q. Before that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you recall how you -- you know, how you learned of the lawsuit?
A. No.
Q. Was it the lawsuit that prompted you to try to learn more about fair use?
A. I had already read up on fair use before $I$ did that.
Q. What do you recall having read up on fair use?
A. I read the introduction and the sheets and every time -- as $I$ used it $I$ read up on it.
Q. And the introduction which is on page 6, those three pages?
A. Yes.
Q. Other than the introduction and the checklist, do you recall having read anything else about fair use?
A. No.
Q. And just so $I$ understand, other than the fall of 2009, you have no specific recollection of having used electronic reserve system for posting works?
A. I don't recall. I may have used it one other time before that, but $I$ don't recall the specific date. I have not used it since then.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because I didn't need to.
Q. And if you could explain when you said you didn't need to, what was the reason you didn't need to?
A. I had read fair use and so $I$ felt like $I$ had a pretty good understanding of it. And so the articles and chapters that $I$ needed I PDF'd and mailed to my students.
Q. Okay. So rather than providing the materials in electronic form on the university's electronic reserve system, you instead made copies, electronic copies yourself?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And then e-mailed those to students?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's been your practice since the fall
of 2009?
A. Yes.
Q. And had that been your practice before?
A. No.
Q. And what was the reason for utilizing that practice rather than utilizing the university's electronic reserve system?
A. It was primarily because it took forever to fill out the fair use forms. You had to do one for each article, you had to do them -- it -- you couldn't save them, you had --

Only reason $I$ had those is because I printed them off because $I$ was afraid if they lost it $I$ would have to do the whole thing again from scratch. So when I -- when $I$ filled out forms for fair use for that course, it took me all day. Then $I$ had to cart -- get all the books together, cart everything over to the library, wait for somebody to take the time to do it. And it took sometimes a couple days to get it all done.

The first time $I$ did it, $I$ didn't realize it didn't save and $I$ lost it. I lost the whole chunk of work $I$ had worked on. So it was very cumbersome. And I just decided it was fair use and $I$ wasn't going to do it.
Q. When you say you decided it was fair use and
you weren't going to do it --
A. Because $I$ was using the same articles and chapters $I$ had before, so they were being used fairly for education and it made sense and it was a waste of my time.
Q. So rather than having to go through the process of filling out the checklist, you simply made the copies yourself --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and then distributed those --
A. I did, yeah.
Q. -- to students.

For the works that -- in the fall of 2009 that were posted on the university's EReserve system, you were required to provide all of the books to the university?
A. Yes.
Q. You had to physically take them all over there?
A. Yes.
Q. So the library did not use a copy of the book that it may have had?
A. They had some of the copies of the books I wanted, but there was at least one that $I$ had a copy of that the library didn't, and $I$ think maybe more than
one. There may have been two or three books that $I$ had or newer editions that $I$ had that the library didn't have.
Q. Okay. So the library did have some of the books?
A. Yeah.
Q. But you had one or two or three that you had to take over, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it took you hours to complete the checklist?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall how many checklists you completed for the fall of 2009?
A. No, I - the number of articles -- well, there were at least 10 to 15 I would say checklists $I$ had to complete for that course.
Q. And that took you hours?
A. Getting it all together, yeah, getting the books, taking them over there, yeah. Especially after I lost the first batch and had to retype them all.
Q. When you say you lost the first batch, how did you lose them?
A. It didn't save on my computer.
Q. Okay. Initially you did it electronically?
A. Each -- yes, well, that's -- yes, I did it all electronically. So as each article entered if you didn't print it and you went to the next article then you lost the first one. So you typed in one and completed it and then you printed it and then you went to the next one, typed it all in, completed it and then you went to the next one. So $I$ had gone through and typed them before $I$ realized they were all disappearing.
Q. You understood you were supposed to retain a copy of the checklist, is that correct?
A. I don't recall. I guess I did, yeah, but I kept it -- yeah, $I$ kept it so that $I$ would have a copy.
Q. If you look on page 7 of Dixon Exhibit Number 2 you'll see right above factor 1 , it says complete and retain a copy of this checklist for each fair use of a copyrighted work?
A. Yeah.
Q. And just so $I$ understand, when you did it initially you completed the checklist, you typed in the information on the screen and then you went on and it didn't save the first one?
A. Right. Yeah.
Q. When you did it the second time, did you type in the information but then print it?
A. Yes.
Q. So it was not handwritten, it was typed?
A. Yes, that's my recollection of what happened. That's been a while, of course.
Q. And I take it with respect to the materials that you -- that subsequent to the fall of 2009 that you've provided directly to the students and through PDFs, you certainly are not completing a fair use checklist for those works?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Have there been any works that you have wanted to provide to students electronically but decided, well, you really can't do that consistent with federal copyright law?
A. I can't think of anything.
Q. Do you recall whether you completed any fair use checklist for the course that you offered or the course that you taught in the fall of 2009 where after completing the checklist you concluded that you could not satisfy the fair use requirement?
A. Well, $I$ used the same readings that $I$ did in 2009 when $I$ taught in 2010, so there was one reading that was in a journal that $I$ couldn't get copies of and I didn't make a copy of that. I required the students to find that themselves.
Q. That was one you simply couldn't find the
journal?
A. Right. And we didn't own it and I didn't get it and so -- and so $I$ asked them to find it on their own.
Q. And when you say we didn't own it, meaning --
A. The university.
Q. -- the university didn't have a copy and you were not able to locate it and so you asked the students to locate a copy on their own?
A. Right. Yeah.
Q. But with the exception of that, was there - do you recall whether there was any instance where you completed a fair use checklist where the factors weighed against fair use rather than in favor of fair use?
A. No, because $I$ wouldn't have used it if it had been.
Q. I understand you wouldn't have used it. But I'm asking you if there's any instance where you completed -- there was a work you wanted to use and you completed the fair use checklist and the factors weighed against fair use and you therefore decided that you were not able to use it?
A. No. I take that back, there was one. There was a short book that $I$ was going to use, but in order to use it for what $I$ needed $I$ would have had to use
quite a lot of it, so $I$ decided not to use that book and I got an article instead.
Q. Did you complete a checklist for that?
A. I knew from having completed the list what it was. I mean, $I$ had just done a number of those.
Q. You knew from having --
A. From having completed -- I didn't fill out a list, but $I$ knew the elements of what was needed from having done that.
Q. But you didn't complete the list because you concluded that you were going to be using too much. Do you recall how much of the book you wanted to use?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Was it more than half?
A. Oh, no, huh-uh.
Q. More than --
A. It would have been more in the range of maybe 20 percent.
Q. And because of that you simply didn't bother to complete the checklist and instead decided to --
A. Right .
Q. -- find a replacement for the article?
A. Right.

MR. KRUGMAN: We're almost out of tape
so why don't we take about a five minute
break.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 2:51.
(Brief recess.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape 2.
We're back on the record at 2:58.
BY MR. KRUGMAN:
Q. Now, Professor Moloney, so $I$ understand, it's your best -- do you recall having had any training whatsoever in connection with the fair use checklist prior to the fall of 2009 when you taught the one course that we're going to be talking about?
A. I don't recall. We may have. I just don't recall.
Q. You just don't recall?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you have an understanding as to who is responsible for enforcing the copyright policy at the university?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any understanding as to what the consequences would be for you personally in the event of a violation?
A. I assume it's not good, but $I$ don't know what they would be.
Q. If in the fall of 2009 the university provost had contacted you and advised you that --

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:00.
(Brief recess.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 3:00.

BY MR. KRUGMAN:
Q. Professor, if in the fall of 2009 the university provost had contacted you and advised you that one or more of the works in the provost's opinion violated the copyright policy and needed to be removed, would you have followed or complied with that direction?
A. Well, yes. But $I$ would have wanted to know why, because $I$ think $I$ have had a good understanding as probably most people.
Q. But if directed to do so you would have removed --
A. Yeah, I probably would.
Q. And certainly if the president of the university --
A. I probably would have, yeah.
Q. What about if you had received a communication from the board of regents of the University System of Georgia, would you have followed the board's direction?
A. Yes.
Q. If you could, please, just describe briefly the process by which you as a professor were able to have particular works posted to the electronic reserve system at Georgia State. What did you do, what was the process by which you accomplished that?
A. Okay. I decided what $I$ needed to use, I looked to see what was available that the students might already have access to. For example, if there were some articles that might be available as full text online that $I$ would make note of that so that they knew they could find them online. And then $I$ would look to see which of the journal articles and chapters of books I needed were in the university, which ones $I$ had first and then which ones were in the university library. And then $I$ would go to the library, try to find those readings, find them --

And what $I$ had to do was find those pages, take all my stack with everything $I$ had marked with the pages where they were with the fair use checklist, and then $I$ would take my whole list of everything, look up where everything was in the library, go to the library, find those books and journal articles. Once I had ascertained they were there, if $I$ could find them, and then $I$ would take the entire stack of everything down to
the reserves desk and give it over to the person who was there. I also had to make a reservation for when I took it to them.
Q. So you physically took all of the books whether they were your own copies or copies that were on deposit --
A. I think so.
Q. -- at the library?
A. Yeah. Yeah. I may have -- the stuff that they have, I may have given them a list to find. But I do recall that there were one or two things that $I$ had trouble finding and $I$ wasn't sure if they had in them what I needed, and so $I$ went looking. So there was at least one $I$ had to go see if the book that $I$ thought had it had it.

So they may have found some of that for me, but $I$ spent time looking for at least part of it, $I$ think, as $I$ recall.
Q. I thought you -- did you actually take the fair use checklist with you to the library?
A. I think so.
Q. I'm just asking what your recollection is.
A. I think $I$ took it. Either that or $I$ sent it electronically. But I'm pretty sure $I$ took it.
Q. And did you provide the library with a copy of
the checklist?
A. I must have. I don't recall for sure, but I must have if $I$ kept a copy and made another copy to give to them.
Q. So it's your recollection you physically left a copy?
A. I believe so. I got them a copy somehow, yeah. I think. I just don't remember how it worked.
Q. Who physically -- who physically copied or scanned the works on to the --
A. The library did.
Q. That's not something you did?
A. No, I don't think so.
Q. And it was the library, someone at the library who was responsible for actually posting the materials on to the electronic reserve system?
A. Yes. Yeah.
Q. What is the GoSolar system at Georgia State?
A. GoSolar is the mechanism for -- the online mechanism for communicating courses, for students to register. It also has information about students -students' transcripts so that you can look up your advisees and see what they've taken and what they haven't, for example.

Let's see. I don't remember. I'm sure
there's more. And there's other things that get communicated, like evaluations and things that like that happen on GoSolar, also.
Q. One of the things it provides is a class schedule listing, correct?
A. Oh, right. Yes, exactly.
Q. And I've handed you what we've marked as Moloney Exhibit 3.
A. Okay, yeah.
Q. And can you identify this as a printout from Georgia State's GoSolar system for the fall semester 2009 for a course that you taught that semester?
A. Yes, $I$ think it is.
Q. And this is nursing -- subject nursing course, the course is 8035, do you see that?
A. Yeah.
Q. And the title of the course was, and it's abbreviated, theoretical and philosophical foundations for nursing?
A. Right.
Q. And it was at least this indicates on Fridays 9 a.m. to 3 p.m.?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that consistent with your recollection?
A. Yes.
Q. And under capacity it has 12 students?
A. Yes.
Q. And it shows the actual number was 14 , so --
A. Yes.
Q. So you actually had two more students than you had hoped to have?
A. Yes.
Q. Then under comments it says web facilitated course, online classes held at the same day and time as on-site classes. What does that mean?
A. In the doctoral program we have students that are from all over the southeast, and so we have developed a web enhanced program. So that all of our required courses in the doctoral program meet usually about once a month on campus for class and the other three weeks are held online on asynchronous -asynchronous Internet based using software headphones and students participate in a synchronous class that's very much like being in class except you can't see the other people.
Q. Are you able to speak?
A. Yes. And we have PowerPoint presentations that we have up, people can put their little hands up and make them make smiley faces and people can talk. And it's just like being in class except that you can't
look at people's faces and tell what they're thinking. Q. It says -- and that was utilized for this course?
A. Yes.
Q. And so the students would meet, physically meet once a month?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And then the other three weeks they would attend remotely?
A. Yeah.
Q. And it shows that you were the instructor for this, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And this exhibit shows the dates of it was looks like August 17 th through December 11th?
A. That sounds right.
Q. And that corresponds to the fall semester for 2009, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to hand you what we've marked as Moloney Exhibit Number 4. And can you identify this as the syllabus for nursing, the nursing 8035 course that's referenced on Exhibit 3?
A. Yes. It says - okay. All right.
Q. Nursing 8035, it's the same course?
A. Yes.
Q. And this was the syllabus that you prepared in connection with this course that would then be provided to the students?
A. Yes.
Q. And $I$ see where it says hours and location on campus room 317. Once in August, September, October, December and then all the others were remote, were done remotely, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Thanks, $I$ was trying to understand that earlier. Now I do.

And this was a graduate level, doctoral level course, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And under the description you said this course is described to serve as a basis for doctoral studies -for doctoral study in nursing, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. If you turn to the next page, and there's -talks about methods of evaluation, written assignments, and then below that there's -- at the bottom of the second page of this exhibit there are written assignments, short papers, 20 percent total, each student will write two short essays at assigned times.

And then under number 2, theory analysis paper 30 percent. Review literature related to specific theory assigned by the professor. And then use Chinn's six criteria to describe the theory.

I take it readings were an important part of this course?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if you can turn to page 4 of the syllabus under critical thinking. We expect critical thinking behavior of all students. The school of nursing defines critical thinking as reflective and informed thought focused on what to do or what to believe. Student learning is enhanced when readings, class discussion and experience-based applications are conducted with this definition in mind.

Once again, the readings were really, as you understood it, a critical part of the student's education in this course, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, there's course schedule, and why don't we -- why don't we start with just week 1 , which was Friday, August 21 st on campus. And then there was a topic reading assignment, the topic being introduction to the course, historical perspectives on nursing scholarship and historical perspectives on the
philosophy of science and nursing.
Was that the topic for the first class of the course?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then underneath there there are a series of readings. And were these readings that you assigned to the students to read prior to that -- prior to each class of the course?
A. Yes.
Q. So for example, under readings Godfrey 2003 chapter 1, that was an assigned reading --
A. Yes.
Q. -- required reading for the course, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And as you go through each of these for each of the weeks, the readings were works that you expected the students to read in advance of the class for that week, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, on page 7 you had like there were required textbooks, and those were textbooks that the students were --

Were they required to purchase those textbooks for the course?
A. Yes.
Q. And then below that there's recommended textbooks that -- something that would provide them a background reading and the philosophy of science, but not required reading?
A. Yes.
Q. But then when you move down lower to the page for the readings, these readings correspond to -- you can tie these readings to specific assigned readings for each of the weeks of the class, is that correct?
A. Pretty much.
Q. And just by way of example, the first one is Austin J. L. 1970, and if you look at week 6 on page 5 under readings, there's a reference to Austin, and that would be that assigned reading for the class for that week, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And at the bottom of page 7 there's reference to Chinn P. L. and Kramer M. K.
A. Yes.
Q. And that's the same Chinn that was referenced on page 2 of the syllabus for the theory analysis paper where the students were to use Chinn 6 criteria?
A. Yes.
Q. And where it says chapter 8 handout, was that something that you physically copied and then provided
to the students?
A. I don't recall. I think that because we were using electronic reserves the word handout was left.

I mean, $I$ use the same syllabus from year to year and $I$ am almost certain that $I$ had that put on electronic reserves and sometimes $I$ don't edit the syllabus as well as I should. So I'm not sure. But I'm almost certain that that was on electronic reserves.
Q. You had been -- do you recall how many years you had been teaching this course prior to 2009?
A. Yes. I taught it since I arrived here in 2007 once a year. And $I$ taught it for about four years that I was at Emory before that.
Q. Okay. Did you use, if not the identical, a comparable syllabus?
A. Comparable. Not the same, but comparable.
Q. From year to year would the readings generally carry over for each of the classes?
A. Not all of them, but a lot of them do.
Q. Prior to using electronic reserves, had you provided an actual handout of the chapter to the students?
A. I know it says that, but $I$ think that - I can't remember. I mean, $I$ think maybe if we were using ULearn then $I$ may have uploaded a PDF of some of those
things to ULearn.
Q. And after the fall of 2009, is this chapter 8 something that you would provide to the students directly via e-mail?
A. Well, actually $I$ teach this once a year, so the only time $I^{\prime} v e$ taught it since then is the past fall in 2010. So I decided there was more in that book I wanted to use, so that's now a required book.
Q. Did you use the Austin readings this past fall?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. And how was that provided to the students?
A. I PDF'd - I made a copy of it and sent it to them. All three of my students.
Q. Would it be correct that to the extent that in the fall of 2009 these readings were made available to the students on electronic reserve, that the page references or the chapters would correspond to what was actually provided to them electronically?
A. It should. It should. There may be a mistake, but generally it should correspond.
Q. Okay. The Austin work, which is referenced on page 7 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- why did you use that for this course, that
particular work?
A. In that particular part of the course we're talking about concepts and how concepts are identified and how we think about them and how we decide what belongs with what, like how do we know what makes a bird a bird. And that's -- and it's a big -- it's a part of philosophy.

And the reason $I$ like that Austin reading is because it's very funny and it's interesting and they get it when they read that. So that's why $I$ use it. It's a good example of what I'm talking about.
Q. And this Austin reading, is that part -- the chapter 8, is chapter 8, that's what Austin contributed to the work, or do you recall?
A. I don't recall. When $I$ - that was a book I had to go and find at the library. I wasn't sure it was going to have what $I$ wanted in it. And as I recall, there were a lot of other readings and I don't know what they were.

The only thing $I$ was interested in was this. So $I$ really don't know what else was in the book.
Q. But you considered at least that portion that you used to be important for the course you were teaching?
A. Yes. I mean, I liked it. I could have found
something else to use instead, but like them reading about the philosophers, $I$ think it encourages them to read more about philosophers, more real philosophers, instead of just reading about what philosophers say.
Q. If you can turn over to page 9, and there's reference to K. Popper. You see that, "Objective Knowledge: An Evolutionary Approach", chapter one, Oxford, Clarendon Press pages 1 to 31?

Do you recall whether that was a work that was provided to the students on EReserve?
A. Yes, I'm sure it was.
Q. And is that a work that you had used previously before the fall of 2009?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that a work you used this past semester?
A. Yes.
Q. In the fall?
A. Yes.
Q. And why have you used that work?
A. Because --
Q. First of all, the work and why that particular chapter.
A. Okay. Because Popper had some things to say that are important when you think about philosophy of
science and how we got to where we are with how we think about how science is, and that's important for research. And that chapter, again, illustrates that in a way that is not real difficult to read, but also makes the point that $I$ wanted to make.
Q. And what was the point?
A. The point was that there's more than one way to think about how we decide what's true and what's not. That's general. I mean, that's generally what that's about.
Q. Okay.
A. It's to raise questions.
Q. And if you look at page 5 of this exhibit you'll see that the Popper work is referenced under the readings for the week 6 , September $25 t h$, and where the subject of that or the topic of that class was structure and confirmation of theory, theory practice and research relationships, is that correct?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. And it was chapter 8 or, excuse me, chapter 1 of that book that was utilized in the class?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall whether chapter 1 of that book provided an overview of the entire book?
A. No, I haven't read the entire book, so I don't
know.
Q. You just don't know one way or another?
A. (Shakes head negatively.)
Q. Do you own the book?
A. No.
Q. How was it that you came to read a portion but not all of the book by K. Popper?
A. When $I$ first taught this course at Emory I taught it with a philosopher, and these were the readings that he used. And everything -- I mean, they worked fine, they do what $I$ want them to do so $I$ still use them.
Q. If you turn to page 10 of the syllabus, and there's a reference to S. Twinn.
A. Yes.
Q. "Status Of Mixed Methods Research And Nursing", Tashakkori A. and Teddlie C., "Handbook Of Mixed Methods And Social Behavioral Research", Thousand Oaks, California, Sage Publications.

And that's a work that students were required to read for your course, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you $I$ think turn to page 6 of the syllabus you'll see reference to that in week 14, "Mixed Methods, Approaches To Theory And Research".
A. Yes.
Q. And why were the students - or first of all, why did you select that work, number one? And then -Well, first of all, why did you select that work?
A. I owned that book because I do mixed methods research and $I$ like the book a lot. And this article was a good overview of mixed method research. So that it worked for what $I$ was doing.

There was another reason, too, and that is that this is a topic that students don't get a lot of exposure to and $I$ think it's very important. I think it's very important that they see that there are references that they can use.

And so some of the things that $I$ like to use are so they can see what there is out there because they're going to be looking for their own books and reference, too. So that's one of the reasons I like this is because this book has a lot of other things in it that don't have anything to do with that, but it's a good reference to have, and $I$ like them to see what kind of references are available.
Q. When you physically -- when you had the physical classes, in-person classes, do you recall whether students brought the works that were to be
discussed or considered in connection with that class physically with them?
A. Yes, I believe they do.
Q. So if the materials had been provided or posted on the university's electronic reserve system, those materials students would print out and then bring with them to the class?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the university encourage use of the electronic reserve system for providing works to students?
A. I'm not sure what you mean by encourage.
Q. Well, $I$ think earlier $I$ think you had understood that -- ULearn may have been used before and then --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the direction, the -- someone, at least you understood the university's preference was to use --
A. Yes, definitely. I mean, we were -- I knew that we weren't using ULearn for that, and electronic reserves was the way that we were going to be getting materials to students instead of -- instead of using a real time book reserve in the library. Which was important for us, because our students can't get in here and copy stuff, you know, so it was -- it actually
worked out well because we were -- because of doing these web enhanced classes.
Q. So it would have been inconvenient if not extremely difficult for students to come in and go to the library and copy the materials if they were on reserve at the library?
A. Especially for a large class. Because when our students come in for class once a month, they're taking classes back to back the whole time they're there. And if $I$ had 30 students in class and $I$ had a book on reserve and there was one book in the library, which is the case with some of the stuff $I$ use, it would be -- that would be all they'd be doing. And there's really only -- hardly any time between classes because we're packing it all in while they're here. So it made it much more feasible to us teaching that way.
Q. Are you familiar with coursepacks?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And what are -- what do you understand coursepacks to be?
A. Coursepacks, my understanding of coursepacks is that they are compilations of articles or chapters from different places that the faculty person puts together and the students buy them. That's my understanding of coursepacks.
Q. Is there any reason you could not have used that approach for the classes, the class nursing 8035 that you taught in the fall of 2009, assembled the materials --
A. Well --
Q. -- printed them and then had the students purchase them?
A. Well, $I$ don't know. $I$ mean, $I$ never -- it didn't come up as an issue.
Q. Are you aware of any payments that the students had to make other than what may have been encompassed within their tuition for accessing the works that were posted on the electronic reserve system at Georgia State?
A. I don't know. I would assume that that - if there is a charge that it is encompassed in their student activity fees, but $I$ don't know.
Q. Are you aware of any payments that were made to the publishers or others who own the copyrights to the various works --
A. I don't know.
Q. -- that were posted?
A. I'm not aware. I don't know.
Q. Other than the fact one can be accessed electronically and the other in paper format, do you see
any -- is there any difference in your mind between providing readings using the electronic reserve system and providing readings through a coursepack?
A. Well, $I$ think that -- that electronic readings are -- because of the way it's set up as a reference then that you get it through the reference desk from the library, it's much more like having reserves -- the system of having reserves at the library with real time paper and books. I mean, $I$ see it as fitting that model a little better.
Q. Yeah, but functionally do you see any difference between the students purchasing the coursepack that has each of the -- that has a printout of each of the materials that you required the students to read and posted electronically versus a coursepack where the materials were printed out and then the students purchased them?
A. By functionally, you mean is there any difference in how -- I'm not sure what you mean.
Q. In how students would use them.
A. Well, if you're saying do they still get the articles and the chapters, yes. They still get the articles and the chapters either way, right.
Q. And other than the fact that one may be free and the other one may not be, is there any -- do you see
any difference?
A. I wouldn't say it's free. I mean, because the librarians do the work, they are paid for that.

My time, if it takes me a day or two to do electronic reserves, how much money that. And if every professor across the university is doing that, how much is that. And that winds up costing the students because they're paying for our time with that.

I mean, there's overhead, there's all the things that go with that. And so $I$ don't see that as being free.

Plus which we own -- we own the work that we're using. It isn't like -- I mean, I last year subscribed to a nursing research journal just because then $I$ would have it so that $I$ could share it with the students. That's not free. That was not a cheap subscription for me.
Q. So you -- you bought, you subscribed to a nursing research journal --
A. That $I$ would not have subscribed to otherwise because $I$ thought there might be articles in that that $I$ could use.
Q. That you could copy and provide to the students?
A. Yes, under fair use.
Q. Let's talk a bit -- we'll make reference to your syllabus still, but you testified earlier that you in fact filled out checklists for courses during 2009?
A. Yes.
Q. And were they done -- did you fill out the checklist prior to the beginning of the semester?
A. Yes.
Q. And the reason is because those materials were to be available, needed to be available to the students?
A. Yeah, there was a deadline for getting stuff in.
Q. And I believe you said earlier that you initially completed them but then lost them because you failed to print them out?
A. Yes. Possibly $I$ need to read instructions more carefully sometimes.
Q. How soon after you completed them initially did you discover that you had lost the work that you had done?
A. It was the next day or that day when $I$ tried to save everything and realized that nothing was saving. Yeah, it was the same day.
Q. So you went back either that day or the next day --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and completed the checklist as you had just done it?
A. Yes.
Q. When you, I don't want to say recreated, but when you completed the process of completing the checklist, what was the process, did you -- you completed it and then printed it out?
A. And then checked things off, yeah.
Q. Okay. So you physically checked it off rather than -- you didn't type in the information?
A. Right.
Q. The first time you did it, had you typed the information in?
A. You know, $I$ don't remember. I think you couldn't. I think you had to do it as a paper check, but $I$ don't remember.
Q. And I'm asking only because earlier you had indicated that --
A. I'm thinking that and $I$ thought -- well, no, I don't remember. It seems like -- it was the top part that was time consuming because it had the name and all this other information and et cetera, et cetera.
Q. It was your recollection you had typed the top portion in the first time?
A. I think so, yeah, yeah, at least that time.
Q. Did you the second time?
A. I assume so, but $I$ don't remember.
Q. Professor Moloney, I'm handing you what has been marked as Moloney Exhibit Number 5. And this is a printout of electronic communication from library reserves to you. You see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And dated September 9th, 2009 at 2:27 p.m.
A. Okay.
Q. Have you seen this before today?
A. Well, since it was sent to me $I$ must have. But I don't recall.
Q. Okay. Do you recall receiving some communication via e-mail from the library relating to the materials that you requested be posted electronically for the fall semester 2009?
A. Well, looking at this makes me think I must have, but again, $I$ don't recall it. Although $I$ would have checked to make sure they had them, so -- and apparently $I$ had an error in there, so I probably would have.
Q. Okay. Because the e-mail says it's from the ERes staff, is that correct?
A. That's -- yes.
Q. And do you know who was on the ERes staff --
A. No.
Q. -- in 2009?
A. No.
Q. Says hi, we have added all the files below except one. Is it possible that the chapter by Twinn is chapter 20 instead of chapter 2.

If you'll look at the last page of this exhibit, that's where there's a reference to Twinn. You see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And the chapter that you had requested was 2, is that correct?
A. It looks like it.
Q. But the library was indicating that perhaps it was chapter 20.
A. That sounds like a mistake $I$ might have made.
Q. They said please check your page and see if the correct article is posted, otherwise we will have to wait until the book, "Handbook Of Mixed Methods And Social And Behavioral Research", is returned. It is checked out, but has been recalled. And then it says, please stop by and pick up your two books.

Do you see that?
A. Oh, so they must have had a copy. I thought I had used my own copy but $I$ guess $I$ didn't.
Q. As you move down there's personal information, that's for you --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- and the department of the school of nursing, and then the course information is for the nursing 8035 that we've been discussing. And this is the request that you made for the fall of 2009?
A. Yes.
Q. On the first page, the first two entries it says material not owned by the library. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then there are references to author Jacqueline Fawcett and then Chinn and Kramer.

Is it your understanding that those were two books that the library did not own?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it also your understanding that although the library didn't own those books you were still able to electronically or have those materials electronically posted on the university's electronic reserve system?
A. I own both those books, so yes, that was my understanding.
Q. So even though the university didn't own those books, you were allowed to post the materials on the
electronic reserve system?
A. Yes.
Q. And then on the next two pages you have a series of materials that says material owned by the library.
A. Yes.
Q. And is it your best recollection today that those materials, that the library obtained those materials from the copies that the library owned?
A. Yes.
Q. And on that page 2 there's reference to, kind of in the middle there's an author, Urmson and Warnock and place of publication, Oxford, publisher Clarendon Press and then pages 175 to 204 of that with the author Austin.
A. Yes.
Q. And that's the same Austin that we looked at earlier --
A. Yes.
Q. -- on the syllabus, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then at the bottom of this page there's author called Popper, again, Oxford Clarendon Press chapter one. And this is the same Popper book that we had looked at, talked about previously, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then on the last page there is -- the last entry is for the author Twinn chapter 2 title "Handbook Of Mixed Methods And Social Behavioral Research" and publisher was Sage. And that's the Twinn that we talked about earlier as well, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I counted $I$ think in terms of what was submitted by you to the library for posting on the university ERes system for this fall 2009 course, I think there were 12 different -- I think there are 12 different or maybe 11 different materials. Actually on this there are $I$ think nine?
A. Actually I didn't know at the time, however the first one on the second page, the Rudner article, was available full text online because it was so old. So $I$ had it included in electronic reserves for the students, but $I$ didn't need to have done that because I discovered later that it was online full text.
Q. But Exhibit 9 at least -- excuse me. Exhibit 5 references nine different works?
A. Okay.
Q. If you look back at your -- at the syllabus for this course, Moloney Exhibit Number 4, and there are under the readings the textbooks the students were
required to purchase, and then you had the various readings. The Austin work was provided on EReserve as was $I$ believe the Chinn and Kramer work at the bottom of that, of page 7, is that correct?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. How were the other works, there's Beck and Brilowski and Campbell and Carper and Carter, how were students to gain access to those works if they were not provided on the electronic reserve system at the university?
A. Well, at least two of those, the Campbell and Bunting and the Reed were chapters in their text, they're required texts. And several of the others were available as full text online via university online subscriptions.
Q. So you understood the university had a license to provide --
A. Yes.
Q. -- copies of those works electronically?
A. Or $I$ had discovered that some of them may be available because of their age through publishers' web sites.
Q. If you turn to -- going to page 8 of the syllabus, and the Jacqueline, J. Fawcett material for 1999, "The Relationship Of Theory And Research", that
was a material that you had posted to the EReserve system, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And how were the materials above that provided to the students?
A. Well, the Cowling paper and the other Fawcett papers were in the book that they had, the book of readings. The Descartes never did have copyright and it's available online. And the other articles are available on the Internet.
Q. What about the two Fawcett, the '78 Fawcett and the '80 Fawcett and the Finnstrom and the Fox-Keller works?
A. Okay. The Fawcett readings were from the texts that they had. The Finnstrom reading was available online. And the Fox-Keller was in one of their books, also.
Q. Okay. The C. Geertz, that was provided on EReserves, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's referenced on the last page of Moloney 5?
A. Yes.
Q. And what about the Godfrey-Smith work, 2003,
"Theory And Reality: An Introduction To The Philosophy

Of Science", that was provided on EReserve, correct? A. Yes.
Q. What about the remaining works on this page?
A. Gibson was available online. Ginzberg was in
their book. Giorgi was available online. So was Good.
And Harding was in their textbook.
Q. Was that true for all of the other --
A. Yes.
Q. The same?
A. All along the same avenues.
Q. On page 9 of the syllabus, was the Liehr and Smith work "Middle Range Theory: Spinning Research", was that on EReserve?
A. No, that was in their book.
Q. That was in one of the textbooks?
A. Yes. The Reed textbook.
Q. And that was in the Reed textbook. Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. I got it.

And then at the bottom of page 9, the $K$.
Popper and Putnam were on EReserve, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And were the others either in textbooks or available online or available through a license that the university had?
A. Yes.
Q. On page 10, the Rudner, Twinn and Wittgenstein works were provided on electronic reserves, is that correct?
A. Well, I'm not sure about the Rudner. I think I found the Rudner -- the Rudner is available online, too, because of its age, $I$ think. I can't remember for sure.

The other two, the Twinn and what was the other one, the Wittgenstein?
Q. Yes, Wittgenstein.
A. Yes, those were all -- those two were on electronic reserves.
Q. I'm handing you what's been marked as Moloney Exhibit 6. And I'll represent to you this is a report that was generated from Georgia State's electronic reserve system for the fall of 2009.
A. Okay.
Q. And if you can turn to the - and what I understand this is -- it's nursing 8035. You see that's the same course that we've been talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. And it identifies the document, the page, the date range for the course and then there's a hit count, which we understand is the number of times the work was
accessed.
A. Interesting.
Q. And you'll see on the second page there's reference to Richard Rudner, "The Scientist Qua Scientist Makes Value Judgments", which seems to correspond to the same Rudner work that is referenced on page 10 of the syllabus, and it shows 19 hits.

Does that -- and if you look on page 2 of Exhibit Number 5 you'll also see reference to the same Rudner.
A. Exhibit 5. Oh, this is Exhibit -- what's Exhibit 5?
Q. It's this one. Right on top, I think.
A. Page 2. Okay. Rudner. Okay.
Q. Does that help refresh your recollection that that was in fact provided to students?
A. Yes, it was. It was just later I found out I didn't need to have done it that way, $I$ think.
Q. Okay. That's fine.

And I think we talked a little bit about the process. You completed a checklist for each work that was posted, you initially completed it and then lost it and then did it over again and you printed it out, you printed out a copy each time that you prepared a checklist?
A. I think so, yeah.
Q. At any time did you print out a checklist without the information filled in in terms of the --
A. I may have. I just don't remember.
Q. Did you have occasion to print out a copy of the checklist, check the boxes and then photocopy that list and then fill in the information at the top of the checklist? Did you ever do that?
A. I'm not sure $I$ understand what you're saying.
Q. Why don't you take a look at page 7 of Exhibit 2, which is the policy.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you recall whether there was any instance at any time in connection with the preparation of checklists for the works that were submitted for the fall of 2009 where you printed out a copy of the checklist, filled in without filling in the top information, checked the boxes and then made a photocopy of that document and then used the same document for the other works that were being submitted for that course?
A. No.
Q. That's not something you did?
A. I would never do that.

MR. KRUGMAN: Why don't we take a break and then I'll go through the checklists and
then we'll be done.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:56.
(Brief recess.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape 3.
We're back on the record at 4:02.
BY MR. KRUGMAN :
Q. Okay. Professor Moloney, I've handed you what's been marked as Moloney Exhibit Number 7. And can you identify this as the fair use checklist that you completed for the work by Twinn that we were talking to -- talking about earlier, "Handbook Of Mixed Methods In Social And Behavioral Research" fall 2009 in nursing 8035?
A. Yes.
Q. And is Moloney 8, can you identify this as a copy of the cover and table of contents for the work that the Twinn piece came from?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's on page the Status of Mixed Methods Research In Nursing by Sheila Twinn on page 541?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You checked on -- let's start on page 1 of Exhibit 7. You first of all checked nonprofit educational.

And why did you check that box under factor 1, purpose and character of the use?
A. Because Georgia State University is a state institution that my understanding is that it's nonprofit and it's for education.
Q. And you also checked teaching (including multiple copies for classroom use). And why did you check that box?
A. Because that's what $I$ was using it for.
Q. At Georgia State can you think of any instance where you would not check both boxes for a work that you were seeking to have posted on electronic reserve for a class that you teach at the university?
A. No.
Q. You also checked research or scholarship?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you check that box?
A. Because one of the purposes of this course are to develop students' understanding of research methods. They develop scholarship by writing papers and the papers that they read provide background for that.
Q. Are there any courses that you teach at Georgia State where that would not be true?
A. Well, $I$ can't think of anything. I mean, it all relates to that.
Q. So if you were to complete a fair use checklist for, you know, for materials that you use in classes, it would be certainly one of -- the research or scholarship would apply in all instances?
A. Yeah, $I$ think so.
Q. And you also checked use is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose. And why did you check that box?
A. Because $I$ had specific reasons why $I$ wanted to use articles that made the points that the authors made in order to enhance the students' learning.
Q. Is that - has that been always the case for the works that you use?
A. It is. But let me back up a little bit to research and scholarship.

One of the courses that $I$ 've taught in the university is an assessment course for nurse practitioner students in which they learn how to do physical exams. And $I$ suppose that you could say in the long run that there -- maybe perhaps because they become more intelligent people and they develop their -- not scholarship but their education they might be better at doing research and scholarship. But $I$ don't know that these particular kinds of articles would address research and scholarship, so that might not apply to a
course like that.
You know, I'd have to really think about. But
I think there may be courses that it doesn't.
Q. But for most of the courses that you teach?
A. For most courses that $I$ teach, $I$ would say yes.
Q. And for most courses you teach, you would say yes for all four of those factors?
A. For most doctoral courses, for sure.
Q. I see that you did not check transformative, either transformative or nontransformative. And what do you understand those terms to mean?
A. Well, when $I$ read the definition, use changes work for new utility or purpose, there are courses that I teach in which that kind of definition would definitely be appropriate. But in this particular course my major -- my major goal is to get them to understand what we're reading. And it's not -- we do some critique, so $I$ could have checked that. But I wouldn't say that it changes the work. Although I think for some -- for some articles it would.
Q. But at least for this chapter of this book it did not?
A. Right. I just want them to understand something that they haven't seen before.
Q. Is there any reason that you did not check the box for nontransformative?
A. No.
Q. Certainly --
A. Except $I$ can say that $I$ didn't check - $I$ looked -- as I recall, as I've been doing these, I check what weighs on that side in the column for fair use. I look at the others, if it looks like it's not going to outweigh it, $I$ haven't checked them.
Q. So you don't even bother if you have so many --
A. I do look at them. But $I$ look down the list, that doesn't fit, that doesn't fit. So I don't bother to check them because $I$ know $I$ have a preponderance on this side that overwhelms the other side.
Q. Okay. So on factor one you had four boxes checked or $X^{\prime} d$ and so even though you looked at the factors on the right, there was no need to check the box --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- because there was no way you were going to exceed even or even meet the four on the left?
A. Well, because $I$ looked at those and they clearly -- I looked down the list, profiting from use or entertainment, for publication. I mean, you just have
to look at them so, you know, yeah, I looked at the list and so $I$ didn't bother to check them. They weren't relevant, because $I$ looked at them and they didn't -they weren't relevant.
Q. Yeah, but certainly the single chapter that you used from "The Handbook Of Mixed Methods In Social Behavioral Research", having a copy of that chapter posted was certainly nontransformative of the work, correct?
A. I probably should have checked it. But it certainly would not outweigh the others in my mind.
Q. And that's based on your use of this checklist that the university provided you, correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. Factor 2 which is -- involves the nature of copyrighted work, you checked all three boxes under for weighs in favor of fair use?
A. Yes.
Q. And first one was published work I think. You checked that because the work had been published, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then factual or nonfiction work?
A. Yes.
Q. You checked that. And then you also checked
important to educational objectives. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Can you think of an instance where you would check the box or cross the box important to educational objectives and not check the boxes that are under factor 1 for nonprofit educational, the teaching and then use is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose?
A. Wait, say that again?
Q. Can you think of an instance where you would check -- maybe we'll say where you would check use is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose but you would not check the box important to educational objectives?
A. No.
Q. You agree that -- well, let me ask --
A. No, no, let me go back. Because this says important to educational, this one says necessary. But there may be other articles $I$ could have used instead. So that it says it's necessary, but that doesn't mean that $I$ have to have it. I could have used something else.
Q. Yeah, I think when $I$ asked you the second time a little different.

If you were check use is necessary to achieve
your intended educational purpose, if you concluded that it was necessary to achieve your educational purpose, can you think of an instance where you would not also conclude that it was important to educational objectives?
A. No.
Q. Do you consider the chapter by Twinn to be creative?
A. I haven't read that actually in quite a while, all the way through. I would say that any written work is creative. So yes, it's creative. I don't know that I would have called it highly creative.
Q. You just don't remember since it's been a while?
A. No, $I$ would not call that kind of a chapter highly creative. I would call it creative.
Q. And what's the distinction?
A. A creative work, $I$ think anything that people write and put together that has any of their own ideas in it is creative. But you can compile lots of things of other people's work and put that together and draw some basic conclusions and it's creative because you've pulled some things together.

But when this gives examples like art, music, film, plays, poetry, fiction, that is more like work
that comes out of -- totally out of your mind and your imagination, not that you're organizing and pulling things together.

And so $I$ think a lot of -- not all, but a lot of written works that we use in education are compilations of things that are more like craftsmanship and not so much like what $I$ consider to be creativity.

And that would be how $I$ would define that based on how they have it here.
Q. Would you describe any of the works that, under that definition, would you describe any of the works that you used in teaching the nursing 8035 course in the fall of 2009 as highly creative?
A. Well, some of the philosophy might be. Some of the philosophy might be, yeah.
Q. Any of the -- would you consider any of the works that you requested to be posted to the electronic reserve system as being highly creative?
A. Yes, $I$ think, for example, that the Austin work is highly creative.
Q. For that work do you recall whether you checked the box --
A. I believe $I$ didn't because $I$ believe that to be out balanced by the checks $I$ had on the other side.
Q. So for the reasons that you described
before --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that you -- there were so many on the left that there was no reason to check on the right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Under amount and substantiality of portion used, which is factor 3, and you checked small portion of work used?
A. Yes.
Q. And why did you check that?
A. Because it was just one chapter in a book that contained lots of other chapters and was a really large book with lots of work and lots of other kinds of ideas.
Q. Would there be some number of chapters over which it would -- that you would have to conclude that it would not be a small portion of the work used?
A. I guess overall $I$ would say that if $I$ were looking at using two or three chunks, two or three chapters, for example, of something then I'd have to be starting to think about whether that was too much. But I guess -- I think it would depend on the work.
Q. Has that issue come up for you while you've been teaching at Georgia State?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you consulted anyone about whether it
would be appropriate under those circumstances to use the number of chapters or the chunks that you would like to use for a class?
A. I considered using -- there was several chapters that $I$ knew $I$ wanted to use in another textbook, and I decided myself that, first of all, that it was too much to use and $I$ would either have to assign the book or $I$ would have to not use but a small portion of it.

And $I$ also thought if it had been mine $I$
wouldn't have been happy about using that much. So that it was either cut way back, and that was what $I$ did, I used one chapter. And $I$ also tried to keep -- you know, I know that - -

Well, $I$ try to keep it under about 10 percent, if $I$ can. So $I$ wouldn't use more than that or $I$ wouldn't use more than a couple chapters depending on partly using those guidelines and partly on how $I$ felt about it.
Q. So your kind of personal threshold would be 10 percent?
A. It would. But again, it would also -- it would sort of depend. If it were mine would $I$ be okay with somebody using this much. So that enters into it, too. But it would be around 10 percent would be -- I
wouldn't want to use more than that in most cases.
Q. Are you aware of anything in the policy that establishes a 10 percent threshold?
A. No, but $I$ just recall that from other things I've read before. And for me that sort of makes sense. So it may be in there, but $I$ just don't remember.
Q. Going back to my earlier question, when this issue arose for you where you wanted to use two or three chunks of a book, did you consult anyone about it?
A. No.
Q. You just on your own decided not to do it?
A. That it was too much.
Q. Under factor 3 you did not check the box portion used not central or significant to entire work as a whole, nor did you check the corresponding kind of the opposite box on the right that the work used is central.

Is there any reason you did not check either
of those two boxes?
A. Well, $I$ assumed that not checking the not central -- same reasons. I read down there, don't need to check that, don't need to check that, so $I$ don't.

But the reason that $I$ marked that it was not
central or significant to the work as a whole is because it's a whole book of a lot of different kinds of
chapters. It's in the same big area, but this is just one and there's a lot of other kinds of things in this book.

So $I$ would definitely -- this is one chapter that makes up a whole, but $I$ would not say at all that it was the heart of the book by any stretch.
Q. So just so $I$ understand. Are you saying you could have checked that box on the left but simply didn't?
A. Yeah. Yeah, I could have. I'm not sure why I didn't.
Q. Then you did check the box amount taken is narrowly tailored to educational purpose such as criticism, comment, research or subject being taught. Why did you check that?
A. I don't remember. It's about -- it's narrowly tailored $I$ guess because it's about nursing. And it's about -- it's a discussion of --

Mixed method research has been a very controversial topic in nursing, as it has with some other disciplines, and so this is a recent discussion of that discussion. So it's a discussion of critique and it's a discussion of an argument that's been going on for a while, but it's also about nursing. And so that's why $I$ would say it's fairly narrow to that particular
area.
There are other disciplines who might read this book who wouldn't be interested in that chapter at all, $I$ guess is what $I ' m$ saying.
Q. The fourth factor, effect on market for original, do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you understand the market for original to be?
A. I understand that to mean that whether or not your use of this in class effects, significantly effects people buying the original copy instead of getting a copy of it.
Q. Okay. And you checked no significant effect on market or potential market for copyrighted book, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And why did you check that box?
A. Well, $I$ have 14 students in the class. It's not a cheap book, but it's not that expensive. That's one.

But I would say actually -- let's see. So I would say that having -- in fact $I$ guess my other -this goes back to what $I$ was saying before. I would not expect at all this would have a negative impact on
buying this book. If anything $I$ would say that it might stimulate some of these students to buy this book later, which would be one of the reasons $I$ was assigning that article.
Q. Do you have any knowledge -- do you have knowledge of any students having purchased that book?
A. No, because $I$ had them in the first year and I don't see them again after that unless 1 'm doing their dissertations.

And I have one - actually, yes, I do have one student who told me she bought it when she was in dissertation.
Q. Who was that?
A. I believe it was Kate Fuqua. If not it was Wimson Stevenson. One of those students told me that. I don't recall for sure.
Q. In connection with the market you talked about the impact -- or the negative impact that it might have on buying of the book. Did you -- that was the market that you considered.

Did you consider the impact that your use of the book in connection with this course would have on fees that the publisher or other copyright owner might receive if your use of the book had been licensed with fees being paid for the license?
A. So paying fees by whom?
Q. Well, students.
A. Students.
Q. Did you take that into account, that if permission had been obtained from the publisher to use the portion of the book, did you consider the impact your use of the book had on the market for permissions?
A. Well, that didn't come up as an issue because if there had been a factor like that $I$ would have found an article somewhere else that was available online to use instead of that chapter.
Q. So that's not something you considered?
A. No, because I wouldn't -- no, I can say it was never an issue because $I$ wouldn't have done it that way.
Q. You wouldn't have --
A. I wouldn't have used it at all.
Q. You wouldn't have used it if either you or a student had been required to pay anything --
A. Right.
Q. -- for the use?
A. Exactly.
Q. No amount of payment?
A. Exactly.
Q. Even if it required a payment of 25 cents you wouldn't have used it?
A. That adds up and our students don't have extra money, so $I$ wouldn't do it that way.
Q. You checked use stimulates market for original work. Do you see that?
A. Yes. And that's what $I$ meant, that $I$ thought that perhaps my students would be interested in using that book later, as $I$ did when $I$ was a student, read articles and then went out and bought the books later when $I$ was doing my work.
Q. You checked the box no similar product marketed by the copyright holder. And why did you check that?

First of all, how did you make that determination?
A. I had not seen anything in Sage's work - I own a lot of Sage books, $I$ have in the past bought a lot of Sage books and I've never seen any articles in any chapters for any articles in their books that were about the mixed methods. I have not seen -- at that point $I$ had not seen any other books about mixed methods that Sage was selling.
Q. Prior to completing this checklist, did you investigate beyond what your own knowledge was at the time to determine whether Sage marketed a similar product?
A. Well, every year when I'm looking at books, I go on -- Sage is one of the places I look for books like this, and $I$ go on there to see what they got. I get their catalogues. I look to see what they have that's relevant to what $I$ 'm doing. If I can I get desk copies, which they don't usually do. Like I had to buy -- ones I have I've bought.

But I always go online to see what they've got when I'm considering new books.
Q. But $I$ guess my question is a little bit different.

Before completing this fair use checklist, did you conduct --
A. Right before that?
Q. Yeah.
A. No.
Q. You conducted no investigation to determine that?
A. No. I assumed that.
Q. You checked user owns lawfully acquired or purchased copy of original book?
A. Right.
Q. And who in this instance, who did you understand the user --
A. Me.
Q. -- to be?
A. And the library $I$ found out after that, but me and the library.
Q. Okay. And then you checked restricted access to students or other appropriate group. Why do you check that?
A. Because it was going to be on electronic reserves and therefore it would only be accessible to my students.
Q. You did not -- on the right there's licensing or permission reasonably available. Do you see that, under the weighs against fair use?
A. Yes, $I$ see that.
Q. Did you conduct any investigation to determine whether licensing or permission for the work was reasonably available?
A. No, $I$ assumed it would be difficult to get.
Q. Why did you make that assumption?
A. Because $I$ always had difficulty getting licensing or copyright approval from publishers without paying tons of money. Or even difficult. You go through weeks and weeks of letters back and forth. So that was my assumption based on my own experience.
Q. Okay. Where -- tell me about the difficulty,
instances where you've had difficulty getting licenses from publishers.
A. Not licenses, but $I$ guess copyright permission is what $I$ would say. Licensing is not something I've tried to get.
Q. What about permissions, when --
A. When I've been writing articles and I wanted to reproduce tables. I had an instance where $I$ had three tables $I$ wanted to use from different -- different publishers and $I$ wrote requesting information as to what it would cost if $I$ did do it. Two of the publishers sent me back fees that $I$ thought were exorbitant for what $I$ wanted to use. And the third one sent me an invoice and when $I$ didn't respond or $I$ finally called sent it to collections agency.
Q. Even though you didn't use --
A. Right.
Q. -- the work?
A. Right. I had to call the collections agency and stop that.
Q. Have you ever sought permission from a publisher for use of a work in the teaching of a class?
A. I don't think $I$ have actually.
Q. Who were the two publishers that -- or who are the three publishers that you referenced?
A. One was Lippincott. I don't remember who the other two were. They weren't any of these three.
Q. Okay.
A. The one that sent to a collections agency was Lippincott.
Q. That one you remember?
A. I do.
Q. You also -- you didn't check numerous copies made or distributed under the weighs against fair use?
A. Right.
Q. Any reason you didn't check that box?
A. I guess it depends on how you define numerous.
Q. How would you define it?
A. I would say if $I$ had a class of -- well, you know, $I$ don't know. I guess $I$ would just say that $I$ didn't consider 14 to be numerous. But I also -numerous copies made or distributed.

When you keep it confined to a single class, that's not numerous. It's also just one factor.
Q. So if it's a single class, then that won't be numerous?
A. I wouldn't think so. But then, again, it's only one factor of many.
Q. And once again, you had so many on the left that --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- really --
A. It balanced out.
Q. Really bothering with the factors on the right wouldn't have changed the outcome?
A. Well, I did read them and --
Q. Repeated or long term use that demonstrably affects the market for the work.

This is -- the Twinn piece is something you had been using for your class for a number of years, is that correct?
A. Well, it's not that old a book. And I suppose -- I mean, $I$ could have chosen something else. However, again, it depends on how you define repeated or long term use.

So I used it for three students this year. I used it for 14 last year. The class before that $I$ think I had eight students. So --
Q. So you've been using it year after year?
A. Well, for three years maybe.
Q. And did you use it this year, 2010?
A. Three students, yes.
Q. At some point can you -- at some point could it become, for courses that you teach year in and year out, repeated or long term use as you understand the
term?
A. I suppose. I suppose, if I -- but the other half of that sentence is demonstrably affects the market. And so $I$ mean, it isn't just the repeated or long term use, it's demonstrably affects the market. And that would be a major reason why $I$ wouldn't check that because $I$ don't think this meets that criteria.
Q. Although you really have no personal knowledge of the impact on the market for the --
A. No, but does somebody else?
Q. This was required classroom reading, although you didn't check the box, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And why not?
A. Because $I$ wasn't bothering to check the box when it looked to me like the other side had outweighed it.
Q. Moloney Exhibit 9, is this the checklist you completed again for the same course for the Popper work that we've been discussing?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is -- the portions here to be used was chapter 1 pages 1 through 31, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is Moloney 10. Is that the cover and
copy of the table of contents for "Objective Knowledge: An Evolutionary Approach"?
A. I assume so.
Q. And is chapter 1 of this, "Conjectural

Knowledge: My Solution of the Problem of Induction", is that the chapter that you used for this course and had posted on electronic reserve?
A. Yes.
Q. For the Popper book you again checked the same boxes that you had checked for the Twinn book?
A. Yes.
Q. Nonprofit educational, teaching, research or scholarship and use is necessary?
A. Yes.
Q. And again, you checked none of the boxes on the right?
A. Right.
Q. Again, for the factor 2, nature of copyrighted work you checked all three on the left and none on the right again?
A. Yes.
Q. And that would be for the same reasons you discussed in connection with the Twinn book?
A. Yes.
Q. And then under factor 3, you checked again
small portion of work used and amount taken is narrowly tailored to educational purpose and checked none on the right. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And would your explanation for both small portion of work and amount taken is narrowly tailored to be similar to what you described for the Twinn -explained for the Twinn book?
A. Yes, basically yes.
Q. And then effect on market for original, you checked the top three boxes and then the bottom box. And would your explanation be the same for those?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But you did not check user owns lawfully acquired or purchased copy of original work?
A. Well, you know, the university owned it. I should have checked it. I must have missed it.
Q. Okay. If you would, maybe you can explain this to me.

If you look -- let's look on, compare the
first page of Exhibit 7 to the first page of Exhibit 9. And if you look at each of the checks or the $X$ s in the boxes.
A. Yes.
Q. At least --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- they look identical?
A. It does look it, doesn't it?
Q. They look absolutely identical.
A. Okay, maybe $I$ was wrong. I mean, that's how I remembered it. But $I$ would say -- you know, I guess I did do that. So --
Q. And when you say you did that, that you --
A. That $I$ marked them, $I$ marked them on one sheet.
Q. And photocopied?
A. I assume $I$ must have when $I$ look at this. And what $I$ would say is the reasons apply to all of them. I mean, if you look at this, the reasons apply. So that's --

Yeah, $I$ was sure $I$ had done it, but apparently I didn't.
Q. Apparently because if you look at page 2 with the exception of that one, you would agree that they appear to be mirror images of one another?
A. Yes. And $I$ would say in my own defense since it looks to me like $I$ did do that, that the articles that $I$ use for this particular course all fall into that area.
Q. When you say all fall --
A. Into the areas that $I$ marked. And it's a preponderance of evidence for that side.
Q. Do you recall whether you in all likelihood did the same thing for the other checklists that were completed?
A. I may have. If $I$ look at this - I don't remember.
Q. But sitting here today, you don't have any reason to believe you didn't do the same thing?
A. No, I think $I$ probably did.
Q. Other than what you published or had posted for the fall 2009 course, you don't have any specific recollection of using the electronic reserve system at the university in any other system?
A. I may have, but $I$ don't remember if and when. I don't remember when if $I$ did.

MR. KRUGMAN: Okay. That's all I have.
MS. QUICKER: I don't have anything.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That concludes the deposition. We're off the record at 4:39.
(Deposition concluded at 4:39 p.m.)
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