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JOHN M. MURPHY,
having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Good morning, Professor Murphy. My name is

Jonathan Bloom. I'm with the law firm of Weil Gotshal \&
Manges. I represent the plaintiffs in this action who are, as you know, Cambridge University, Oxford University Press and Sage Publications. I'll be asking you some questions here this morning. We're committed to not keeping you too long.

Have you been deposed before?
A. Never.
Q. Okay. Just give you some quick ground rules
which your counsel may have already gone over with you.
I just need you to wait until I've finished with my question before you answer so Ms. Bishop can transcribe our respective words accurately. I need you to answer orally rather than nodding your head or saying uh-huh or anything like that so again the record is clear. You can take a break if you need to at any time, just let me know.

Your counsel may object from to time to my questions, but unless she instructs you not to answer, you can answer the question if you understand it. If my question isn't clear for any reason, just say $I$ don't understand, can you rephrase, something like that and I'll be happy to rephrase the question.

Just some quick preliminaries. What if anything did you do to prepare for the deposition?
A. What if anything did $I$ do to prepare. I didn't do anything. I mean, $I$ had a preparation with Katrina two days ago.
Q. How long did you meet for approximately?
A. I guess it was about two hours.
Q. Okay. And did you look at any documents with her?
A. Did I look at any documents. I'm trying to remember. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were those documents that were provided to you by counsel?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And were you asked to save any documents in connection with this litigation at any time?
A. I'm not sure $I$ understand. Do you mean since two days ago when $I$ met with Katrina?
Q. No, just going back sort of to the beginning of this litigation, were you at any time asked by counsel to preserve or save documents in your possession for purposes of this action?
A. To preserve or save, no, no one asked me to do that.
Q. All right. Did you at some point provide counsel with documents in your possession in connection with this litigation?
A. I'm not sure because what does the word counsel refer to? Does it refer to Katrina?
Q. Well, it could refer to - I meant it to refer to any lawyers at King \& Spalding or the legal affairs office at Georgia State University.
A. Yeah, yes. Yes.
Q. So you did provide some documents --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to counsel. Was it King \& Spalding counsel?
A. I believe it was the university office.
Q. Okay. And those included fair use checklists, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And some other documents?
A. Fair use checklist. They didn't specifically request it, but $I$ provided them with my course syllabus, also.
Q. Okay. We'll get to that.

Let me show you what I've marked as Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 , which is a document that says on the first page John M. Murphy, curriculum vitae.

I ask you just to flip through it and tell me if in fact this is your curriculum vitae?
A. Yes, uh-huh.
Q. Does it appear to be accurate? And I don't need you --
A. Yeah, I'm looking at the first page and it does appear to be accurate, yes.
Q. There's nothing missing that you would care to add for the record as far as you can tell, is that correct?
A. It looks right.
Q. Okay. So you are a full professor, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Meaning you have tenure?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you are in the department of applied linguistics and English as a second language, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you just tell me briefly what that field entails?
A. So applied linguistics and English as a second language, we do language teacher preparation so to teach -- primarily to teach English to speakers of other languages, but it could be to teach other languages to folks that who don't speak those languages either.

It's the application -- we prepare language teachers, so it's the application of knowledge about language teaching in general.
Q. Does it cover specific languages?
A. The primary focus of the department is to teach English to speakers of other languages. But we often get folks in our courses who are going to be French teachers or Chinese teachers or Korean teachers.
Q. Okay. I guess that was a bad question.

Does it focus on teaching students whose native language is a particular group of languages?
A. No. It's anyone whose native language is not English. Although $I$ do the teacher preparation part, so it's not so much -- I'm not working with language learners primarily, I'm working with people who are going to be language teachers.
Q. And when did you begin teaching at Georgia State University?
A. The fall of 1988.
Q. Okay. And do $I$ take it from the first page here that you became an assistant professor at Georgia State University in 1998?
A. No. Well, I'm not looking at the page right now, but my appointment in 1988 was as an assistant professor.
Q. I see. The reason $I$ ask it says under professional credentials, it says assistant professor 1998 to 1994, Georgia State University.
A. That is incorrect.
Q. So that 1998 should be 1988?
A. That should be 1988, yes.
Q. Got you. Okay.

And you've taught in the same department from 1988 until the present, is that correct?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Okay. Just looking at some of the credentials that you have included on your CV here, I notice on page 2 under Roman IV you served on some search committees for positions within the department, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And can you just sort of describe what the search committee process entails?
A. Yes. Search committee, so the department is able to negotiate with the dean that there's a job opening aligned, and we advertise nationally, internationally. Typically it's for a tenured track position. In fact $I$ just chaired one recently.

And advertisements go out. Applications come in. Advertisements have to be -- appear in particular forms, like the Chronicles of Higher Education, different list serves for applied linguistics. And there's affirmative action type requirements we have to meet.

Applications come in. There's typically a committee that's composed of three or four people with one person designated as chair. The committee and the chair is formed at the discretion of the department chair. So then as committee we review the files after the deadline has come in for when they're supposed to
arrive. And we look through all the files, try to figure out who are the top candidates. We make a report to the whole faculty, the tenured track faculty, which right now $I$ believe it's nine people. And the search committee hones it down to about six people.

We start to do telephone interviews. So we telephone the candidates, we telephone the people that they're using as a references or other people who might know about the qualifications of the candidates. We get that pooled down to about four people typically. Then there's on-campus interviews.

They come, individually they visit for two days typically. They meet individually with the chair person, with the search committee, with the whole graduate faculty. They'll meet with lecturers who are nontenured track in the department. They meet with grad students. They do a job talk, which is typically a research presentation of whatever their current research is. And there's -- we take them out to dinner twice, so there's a couple informal settings.

So we repeat that process with four different people. There's exit interviews with the assistant dean and the dean of the college. And eventually after all people have visited, we've had written feedback by the different constituencies as who they would prefer as a
candidate. And then the search committee deliberates. We consult with the whole faculty and eventually we make a nonbinding recommendation to the chair.

It's ultimately the chair's decision as far as who gets the hire.
Q. Just take as an example, you list search committee for three tenure track assistant professor positions in 2002. Do you see that?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. And that resulted in the hire of one position, according to this, correct?
A. Search committee, three tenure track assistant professor positions, resulted in the hiring of one position.

Now, I don't remember the specifics of 2002.
Q. Well, let me ask a more specific question. Well, strike that. It's actually a more general question.

Can you sort of list for me what the criteria are that you apply in evaluating candidates for assistant professor positions including in this instance?
A. Could you repeat that question?
Q. Yeah. Can you just indicate for me what are the criteria by which a search committee evaluates
candidates for an assistant professor position?
A. I can. The criteria would be different for different searches because in the advertisement that goes out we're looking for candidates who are qualified in different ways at different points in time.

So for example, we might advertise for someone who's a specialist in a particular area like corpus linguistics or second language acquisition, so then when people apply, out of say there's 50 people that apply, many of them will not have the specified area of specialization, so that would be an example of not meeting the criteria.

Another example of criteria would be they would have to have a doctorate in hand or they're about to finish the doctorate because they'd have to have that degree in place before they began the position.

So sometimes we'll interview folks who are almost completed with their dissertation, almost have the doctorate but not quite yet. And then the invitation to accept the position would be contingent upon completing the dissertation.

So criteria, we look at teaching effectiveness -- it's going to be tied to the advertisement that goes out for the position. Teaching effectiveness, compatibility as far as their research
and areas of specialization with the advertised position.
Q. How about publications?
A. Okay. So in a job search having publications is an attractive quality for a candidate. The advertisement for the position might say something like has a strong record, but it won't specify $X, Y$ or $Z$ number of publications. So $I$ don't think we would say the number of publications is a requirement to get the appointment. The degree would be a requirement.
Q. Okay. I take it in this particular case only one candidate met the criteria, is that a fair assumption?
A. Again, I don't remember what happened in 2002.
Q. Okay. On page 4 under committee memberships, it indicates that you were on the promotion and tenure committee for the college of arts and sciences commercial and behavioral sciences area 2005, 2006. Do you see that?
A. Could you just help me, where is it?
Q. Toward the bottom under committee memberships, the first entry under committee memberships.
A. Yes, yes.
Q. So is this a committee that evaluated candidates for tenure?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did publications play a role in the evaluation of candidates for tenure?
A. Definitely.
Q. And can you just tell me what role publications play in that evaluation process?
A. Okay. So for -- that's a committee that reviews candidates for tenure, promotion to associate professor, if they're already associate professor and are already tenured for promotion for full professor. So the individual case of the candidate differs depending on what their current rank is.

In the committee we're looking at the whole profile of the candidate. So the categories are research, teaching and service. We're looking at all three.

Part of the process is there are letters that come in from external reviewers, so that committee is looking at letters that have come in from external reviewers which are assessing the quality of the candidate's profile. There's a letter from a departmental committee. There's a letter from the department chair. So by the time this college wide committee is looking at a candidate's profile, they have these various sources of information.

So along with that, included in that is their publications record, their record in teaching and their record in service.

And $I$ guess $I$ need to ask you to specify if you need more information.
Q. Okay. That's fine. But is it fair to say that the calibre of publications is an important part of the evaluation process?
A. It is an important part, yes.
Q. Okay. And does it make a difference in your evaluation of publications in connection with the tenure evaluation process whether they are peer reviewed or not?

MS. QUICKER: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: I guess $I$ need you to
repeat that or clarify that.
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Okay. In evaluating publications in connection with the tenure review process, does it make a difference to the tenure review committee whether the publication -- a publication is peer reviewed or not?
A. Does it make a difference. We look at the nature and the quality of publications. We pay attention to if it's peer reviewed or if it's not peer reviewed. So we are -- that's a characteristic of a
publication that we do take into consideration, yes.
Q. Okay. And what is the - strike that.

Why does it matter if a publication is peer
reviewed in connection with a tenure review process?
A. Could you say that again?
Q. Yeah. You testified that you note whether a publication is peer reviewed or not, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the question is really why you would take note of that fact, that a publication is or is not peer reviewed?
A. So peer review would indicate that specialists not connected with the university -- I'm trying to think of a good way to say this.

It's an indicator of the quality of the publications in conjunction with the journal, characteristics of the journal. So it's an indicator of the quality of the publications, the quality of the candidate's published work.
Q. Okay. Have you yourself served as a peer reviewer?
A. So the phrase peer reviewer can refer to a number of different entities.
Q. Well, let me ask you a more specific question --
A. Okay.
Q. -- with reference to page 6 of the document.
A. Okay.
Q. I see about a third of the way down the page there's a section where the title, referee for book publishers?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And the second item there says two book prospectuses for Cambridge University Press. You see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And it says 1999?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you recall this?
A. Yes. Let me amend that, $I$ recall one clearly. I believe that there was two, but $I$ only recall one.
Q. Okay. And what does it mean to be a referee for a book publisher?
A. So a publisher might telephone me or e-mail me or contact me somehow and say, look, we have a prospectus for a book -- actually this is different from what you were asking me about earlier, the tenure review type things, because it's before publication, so the piece is coming.

And they contact me and say we have a
prospectus for a book. It's maybe 25 pages long, the book is not completed yet. What we'd like you to do is take a look at the prospectus and provide your assessment as to whether we should continue with the project.

And I said I remember one because I do remember one that was through -- in that year. And then I'll provide a written assessment of, you know, what the quality of the prospectus is.
Q. And do you recall specifically that that one was in connection with Cambridge University Press?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Do you remember who you dealt with at Cambridge University Press?
A. I do not.
Q. Okay. But you provided them a written evaluation, is that correct?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. Do you recall whether you were compensated or not for providing that service?
A. I do not remember.
Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection of whether in that case the prospectus ultimately became a published book?
A. I don't know. I didn't follow that case. I
mean, $I$ didn't follow it through.
Q. Then on page 7 there's a section titled refereed journal articles. You see that? And are those articles that you've authored that have been evaluated by peers in the field?
A. I'm sorry, I looked at the page for a second and then $I$ blanked on what your question was.
Q. The question is whether refereed journal articles, whether the articles listed under that heading are articles that you have authored or co-authored that have been evaluated by other experts in the field?
A. I don't like the word expert in that context. I prefer the word specialist. But yes.
Q. Do you have any -- have you ever had any academic training in intellectual property law?
A. No.
Q. Okay. That includes copyright law I assume?
A. Well, okay. The word training --
Q. Let's say, did you ever take a university class on copyright law?
A. No, never.
Q. Let's -- are you familiar with the copyright policy at GSU?
A. I guess I need help with the word familiar.
Q. Okay. Did there come a time when you became
aware that there is a copyright policy at Georgia State University?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: Like I guess I need you to repeat that question.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Let me show you a document I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2. This is a document that is titled policy on the use of copyrighted works in education and research.

My question is whether you've ever seen this document before?
A. I have seen what before me looks like pages 7 and 8. The rest of it $I$ don't remember.
Q. Okay. So I take it you do not currently possess a physical copy of this entire document, is that right?

MS. QUICKER: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: In my files in my office I haven't printed out and $I$ don't have in any of those files a hard copy of this document.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. And do you have any recollection of ever reading this document other than -- strike that.

Do you have a recollection of ever reading this document in its entirety?
A. In its entirety, $I$ don't remember.
Q. Okay. You said you recall pages 7 and 8 , is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall when you first saw those pages?
A. So $I$ received an e-mail communication from the library, from EReserves, when $I$ requested material put on EReserves, so this would have been sent to me electronically as an attachment, and that's when $I$ saw it.
Q. Do you remember when that was?
A. I don't remember when that was.
Q. Do you remember what year it was?
A. Could you ask that again?
Q. Do you remember in what year you first saw this document?
A. Okay, so $I$ heard the word --

MS. QUICKER: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: $I$ heard the word first.
I don't remember what year was the first time.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Okay. But do you have an understanding that
these pages are part of a policy at the University of Georgia?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that a policy that you understand you're expected to follow within the scope of your employment at GSU?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have an understanding as to whether this checklist -- whether there was a different policy prior to the policy that's reflected on this checklist? MS. QUICKER: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: You asked do you have an understanding. Could you just say that again?

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Was there a different policy that you followed before this one?
A. There was a time when the procedures that EReserves follows changed. That's what $I$ remember.
Q. Okay. Do you remember, can you identify any specific respects in which it changed?
A. From my perspective it changed from $I$ had to do reserves in hard copy at the reserve desk in the library, and then the change was there was now a possibility to do things electronically on reserve.
Q. And did you change your practices in any way in response to that change in policy?
A. Yeah. I took advantage of the availability of EReserves.
Q. And why did you do so?
A. That might be a long response. But $I$ think the primary reason $I$ did so was that over the years I've noticed that graduate students who are in a program have a higher expectation for accessibility to materials and they're also very used to using their laptops and working at home and not physically going over to the library. And that expectation on the part of grad students is manifested in a lot of different ways.

So as a faculty member there's more and more expectation that we provide materials through them that's more easily acceptable. And EReserves would be an example of that. So that would be my primary motivation.
Q. Were you ever encouraged by anyone in the administration at GSU to use EReserves?
A. Encouraged, no.
Q. Was it ever recommended to you that using EReserves would be a good idea?
A. No.
Q. And in your experience do some of your
students who access readings on EReserves print out copies to bring to class?

MS. QUICKER: Objection, form. Calls
for speculation.
MR. BLOOM: No, it doesn't.
THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Yes. In your experience, have any of your students who's accessed any of your readings for any of your classes on EReserves printed them out and brought them to class?

MS. QUICKER: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes, that happens.
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Okay. Is that something that you encourage?
A. Okay. Ask the question again because $I$ can answer but $I$ need to hear the question again.
Q. Have you ever recommended to students that they print out readings from EReserves and bring them -and bring physical copies to class?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Compound.
THE WITNESS: I need to say something to help me clarify.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Sure.
A. Because I'll put on EReserves things that include like just course handouts that I've created myself, and course handouts that I've created myself I will ask them to bring them to class.

As far as the content readings that they're doing that are created by others, those are presented as -- let me think of that -- as resources for students. Some may be required readings and some may be supplemental readings for a course, so just there's different categories.

The only things $I$ would require students bring to class would be things that are more along the nature of course handouts.
Q. Okay. And what do you mean specifically by course handout?
A. A course handout might be $I$ want to set up an activity. In order to set up the activity in the old days we run something off and it will give like discussion questions or words to be defined or grouping patterns, directions for the activity, and I'd hand that out in class and everybody look at it and we'd get into groups and do what they're going to do. But nowadays, I try to get that stuff available so they bring that to class and $I$ don't have to run off the copies myself.
Q. So it's not a journal article or --
A. No.
Q. And it's not a book chapter?
A. No.
Q. Let me direct your attention to page 15 of the policy. You see toward the bottom there's two bullets and the top one says Copyright Clearance Center. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever heard of the Copyright Clearance Center?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever attend at GSU any kind of a training session in connection with this copyright policy?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being informed that any kind of training session was available to you?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being instructed, you know, that you were required to follow this policy?
A. No.
Q. And do you recall ever being made aware of any resources at GSU that you might utilize to assist you in complying with this policy?
A. I'm not clear on made aware. If you could repeat the question.
Q. Are you aware of any resources at GSU that you can utilize to help you comply with this policy?
A. I'm aware that such resources probably exist.
Q. Can you identify any such resources specifically?
A. No.
Q. And if, hypothetical question, if the head librarian were to inform you that a reading that you had indicated you wanted to post on EReserves would not comply with this policy, would you -- and asked you not to place it on EReserves, would you comply with that directive?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Foundation, form. Compound.

MR. BLOOM: It's a hypothetical question.

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Same objection.

THE WITNESS: This is a question $I$ have to answer?

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. Could you ask the question again?
Q. Yeah. Hypothetically, if the head librarian at GSU told you that a reading that you proposed to place on EReserves was not fair use and told you that it could not be placed on EReserves, would you comply with that directive?
A. Yes, I would.

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Objection, foundation and form.

MR. BLOOM: Noted. Okay.
I'm sorry, can you read back the answer?

MS. QUICKER: And I want to reassert the same objection to the question being asked again.
(The record was read by
the court reporter.)
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. And if the president of the university told you the same thing, would you comply with his directive?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Foundation, form.

THE WITNESS: I'm having a hard time imagining that the president of the university would contact me over that, but - -

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. So am $I$, but it's a hypothetical question.

MS. QUICKER: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: If the president of the university contacted me about such a matter, yes, $I$ would comply.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Okay. Same question with respect to the board of regents?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Speculation, foundation, form.

THE WITNESS: If the board of regents requested --

Play back again what the board of regents in your hypothetical is asking me to do?

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. If the board of regents told you that you had violated -- strike that.

If the board of regents told you that a particular reading would constitute copyright infringement and would not be fair use if it were placed on EReserves and that you couldn't place it on EReserves for that reason, would you comply with that directive? MS. QUICKER: Objection. Foundation,
form.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I would.
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Same question with respect to the provost?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Foundation,
form.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I would.
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Okay. Let me show you what I'm marking as Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 3. And this is a document entitled declaration of John M. Murphy. It's executed on March 16, 2011.

Is this a declaration signed by you, Professor Murphy?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you write this document?
A. That is a question that's complicated.
Q. Okay. Let me withdraw that question.

Reviewing this document as you sit here today,
is it -- is everything on this document accurate?
A. Okay. 1 is accurate. 2, yes. 3 as $I$ sit here right now, $I$ believe that that is accurate.
Q. Okay.
A. 4, I would -- as $I$ read it now $I$ would only qualify after the word assigned $I$ would insert the words
as required readings.
Q. So $I$ ultimately did not use or assign as required readings, this is how you would modify it?
A. Yes.
Q. Let me just ask you a few questions, if $I$ could, about that paragraph.

You said $I$ ultimately did not use or assign as required readings to my students any of the materials loaded on to ERes. And the materials refers to the materials you've identified in paragraph 3, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. My question is, why did you not use or assign those materials?
A. Yeah, so in the EReserve system -- let me think for a second.

As a faculty member you have to make decisions about what's going to be placed on electronic reserve further in advance of the start date for the course than making final decisions on your course syllabus and how the course is actually planned out and the syllabus handed to students on the first day of class. So things have to be placed on reserve, $I$ don't know if it's a month in advance or several weeks in advance. But I'm tinkering with my course syllabus all the way up until
the start day for the course, even the day of the course.

And in this particular case as $I$ got closer to the start date of the course, $I$ decided that there were four books that $I$ wanted them to own copies of, so they would be purchasing their own copies. And this is a three week Maymester course that meets for 15 days in a three week period. And I decided that the hard copy material that they would own would suffice for the kinds of micro teaching activities that we were going to do in the course.

So the electronic material for me was supplementary material to support the hard copy material they were actually going to own and use in the course as a basis for their micro teaching activities.

I think that answered the question.
Q. So if $I$ understand correctly, the materials identified in paragraph 3 were placed on EReserve at your direction, is that correct, as far as you know?
A. Yes.
Q. And you informed your students that they were not required to read them, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge one way or the other whether any of your students in fact read
those materials?
A. I can answer with a yes or no or $I$ can explain my impression of how they were used?
Q. Well, why don't you give me a yes or no first.
A. Just repeat the question again, please.
Q. Do you know one way or the other whether any of your students did read any of those materials?
A. I don't know.
Q. But it's possible?
A. It is possible.
Q. Did you - and this was in connection with a course designated AL 8480, correct?
A. 8480 is the classroom practices course. I might have to look at it.
Q. That's correct.
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. And did you - had you taught that course previously?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Had you put these same materials on EReserves previously?
A. I'm not sure if $I$ should answer $I$ don't know or $I$ don't remember. I believe $I$ did not.
Q. Just show you a document I'm marking as Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. This is a GoSolar report --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. $\quad$ - for summer semester 2009 .

You see the top row there identifies AL 8480, you see that?
A. Yeah.
Q. And that is your course. What's the title of that course?
A. Classroom practices in teaching English as a second language or as a foreign language.
Q. And you see it indicates May session?
A. Yes.
Q. So this -- dates are May 11 th to June 3 rd. You see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So you taught this course during the May session, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it indicates that there were 20 students who actually attended the class, is that correct?
A. That's not correct.
Q. I'm sorry. $\quad 10$ students?
A. This sheet indicates that there were 10 students, yes.
Q. Does that sound correct?
A. Approximately 10, yes, but $I$ cannot say
definitively it was 10.
Q. I show you a document I'm marking as Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 5. And I'll represent to you that this is a document that was derived from an EReserves report for Maymester 2009 that was provided to us by the defendants, and it was sorted so that it reflects the readings associated with the course AL 8480, which was your course.
A. Okay.
Q. And the fourth column over I'll represent to you represents the number of hits on the materials listed.
A. Okay.
Q. Can you just review this and tell me if this appears to reflect the readings that you selected for EReserves for that course?
A. Okay. Just a second. They include three works that were required for students to purchase.
Q. Okay. Which three are those?
A. Okay. Students were required to purchase Hedge, Tricia, "Writing Resources". Okay. To the best of my recollection.
Q. Actually, before you finish your answer, let me show you Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 and just in the event that may help you?
A. Yes, so Klippel "Keep Talking", Hedge, the one I just started, and the Penny, Ur "Grammar Practice Activities". So yes.
Q. And Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 is the syllabus you prepared for that course, is that correct?
A. Yes, $I$ believe so.
Q. Okay. And so do you have this - this appears to reflect -- strike that.

So you testified earlier that you did not require your students to read the nonrequired -- strike that.

Other than those four texts, you testified that you did not require students to read the materials that were posted on EReserves?
A. Yes.
Q. And you see on this document there are some hits reflected for those materials that you told students they didn't have to read, correct?
A. That's the fourth column.
Q. Yes.
A. Yeah, I've never seen this report before, but --
Q. I understand that.
A. Yes, $I$ believe you.
Q. My question is, do you have any understanding
as to why there are hits reflected on this document? MS. QUICKER: Objection. Foundation.

THE WITNESS: I really have no idea about the definition of a hit in this case. For example, I might have accessed -- I could have -- one of the hits could be mine. I don't know.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Do you have a recollection of personally accessing those materials on ERes during this semester?
A. I remember thinking, oh, this is a pretty cool accessibility, and $I$ very likely accessed a couple just to see what it was like and see what they would look like.
Q. And prior to placing these materials on ERes, did you fill out the fair use checklist for each reading?
A. When you said fill out, I'm not sure. I don't remember.
Q. I'll get to that in a moment. Let me just direct your attention briefly to the second page of the syllabus.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Specifically to items 3 and 4 under the required text.
A. Okay.
Q. The first is a book entitled "Grammar Practice Activities"?
A. Yes.
Q. Correct? And that was published by Cambridge University Press, according to this, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the second is a book called "Writing Resource Books For Teachers", correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's published by Oxford University Press, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And were these texts that you required your students to read in their entirety?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Multiple times, multiple years.
Q. Do you recall how many years?
A. I've been using both of those books since, oh, the date they first came out. I've been offering that course since 1988. When the Ur text came out it was a tremendous resource, and the Hedge text as well. So since the first year of their publication.
Q. And would your ability to teach this course be
impaired if either of those texts were not in existence?
A. Impaired is difficult to say because there's a wide range of such resources available in the field. It would be possible to select alternative texts and teach the course in very much the same way. So I'm unsure of the word impaired.
Q. Would it be harder to teach the class the way you would like to teach the class ideally if the "Grammar Practice Activities" text did not exist?

MS. QUICKER: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: I'm trying to figure out if that was a yes no question. Could you ask that again?

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Sure. Would it be harder to teach that course the way you would like to teach the class if that book did not exist?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I don't think so because there are alternative texts which $I$ could select.

The nature of the course that $I$ teach is more in the nature of the interaction of the classroom and what happens in the classroom, and the platform from which
they're reading activities and implementing activities could change.

Higher priority for me is the
interactive dynamic in the room, not very much the platform of materials that they're building from. So there are alternative materials that could give me as satisfactory of a platform.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. But is it a fair statement that that text helps you teach this class?
A. Yes, $I$ like that text.
Q. And is it fair to say that the Tricia Hedge text helps you teach the class?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Helps, I don't think the book helps me teach the class, no. I do think it's a strong text and $I$ like working with it.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. And why do you assign it?
A. Oh, why do I assign it. Okay.

So these are -- this is a class in honing teaching skills. So these are pre-service teachers for
the most part, some are in-service teachers. And the idea is to offer a course where they actually begin doing some simulation of language teaching in the classroom with their peers.

So $I$ structure the course with a format called micro teaching, which means that they take turns implementing classroom activities and sometimes it will be one person working with a whole group, sometimes it will be two or three people working simultaneously with smaller groups.

So what $I$ like to make available to them are activities - I'm not using this word in a pejorative way -- but activity recipe collections. So second language class with activity recipe collections where a specialist has made available through a published book a wide range of examples of the kinds of things that you can do in the classroom as a language theory. They're very hands on and practical.

And I look at it like if you're a carpenter you need to have hammers and a level and the tools of carpentry. If you're a plumber, you have to have the tools of plumbing. If you're a language teacher, I see these as kind of the tools of the trade so that they have ideas to build from.

Then what they actually do in the classroom
varies from the original source, but it is something that they use as an inspiration and for ideas for what to do in the classroom.

So the reason $I$ use these books is it provides students with a starting point and then they implement language activities based upon ideas presented in those texts.
Q. Okay. So you regard that text as a tool for purposes of this course?
A. Yeah.

MR. BLOOM: Okay. Why don't we go off the record. We'll change the tape and then we'll have our last little portion of the examination thereafter.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video record at 11:01.
(Brief recess.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape 2.
We're back on the video record at 11:12.
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Professor Murphy, I'm going to show you a series of documents. First one is Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. And Murphy - $\operatorname{sor}$ ( Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 8, Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 9, Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 11,

Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 12, Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 and Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 15.

Professor Murphy, $I$ just ask you to look at these documents and tell me if these appear to be well, why don't you tell me what these are.
A. So it's titled fair use checklist and there seems to be one for however many texts are here, $I$ think you said nine earlier. Right. So there's nine separate ones.
Q. And do these correspond to the readings that you placed on EReserve for the course AL 8480 from Maymester 2009?
A. You said do they correspond to the what?
Q. Do they correspond to the readings that you placed on EReserves for the course AL 8480 in the Maymester 2009?
A. The readings, $I$ would use the word supplemental readings.
Q. With that modification --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that what they are? Okay.

When did you complete these checklists?
A. Yeah, what we're looking at is the checklists as $I$ completed them when $I$ was at the TCEA conference in

New Orleans about - I don't know if it was six weeks ago or a month ago.
Q. Okay. You see there's a date on - I'm looking at the first one.
A. Right.
Q. There's a date that says drop off date?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. 4/27/209. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that refer to?
A. That refers to my best estimate of when $I$ dropped them off with EReserves in 2009.
Q. And when you say them, what do you mean, dropped them off?
A. Oh, dropped the excerpts from these various books to EReserves.
Q. Okay. And prior - strike that.

In 2009 did you fill out a fair use checklist for -- let's talk about this reading, "Pronunciation Games" pages 8 to 27.
A. Your question was did I -
Q. Back in 2009 before this reading was placed on EReserves, did you fill out a fair use checklist?
A. I'm only paying attention right there to the word fill out. I remember --
Q. Let me withdraw the question and pose a different question.
A. Okay.
Q. Did you complete a fair use checklist for this reading in 2009?
A. Yes, as requested by EReserves.
Q. Okay. And did you retain that document?
A. I did not.
Q. What did you do with it?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Were you asked at some point in connection with this litigation to locate that document?
A. To locate, no, $I$ don't think so.
Q. Were you asked if you had a checklist for this reading?
A. I don't know. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. You said you completed this document six weeks to a month ago, is that correct?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And why did you do that at that time?
A. The - I believe the legal office at Georgia State contacted me when $I$ was in New Orleans and asked me to complete the document for these proceedings.
Q. And did they ask you whether you had completed a document, a checklist, in 2009?
A. I don't remember if they asked me that.
Q. Okay. Did you prior to your placing this reading on EReserves in 2009, did you refer to the fair use checklist?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you fill in the boxes in the fair use checklist at that time? In other words, let me -
A. I don't remember specifically what the procedure was in 2009.
Q. So you don't remember physically completing a checklist in 2009, is that right?
A. I don't remember that.
Q. Okay. Is it possible that you did?
A. Is it possible, yes.
Q. Do you recall analyzing this reading in accordance with the criteria that are listed on the checklist back in 2009?
A. I recall examining the checklist.
Q. Okay. And when you completed this document six weeks or a month ago, what were you attempting to do?
A. I was attempting to complete it as $I$ would have completed it in 2009.
Q. Okay. And in doing so, did you have any specific recollection of what you did back in 2009?
A. Specific recollection, no.
Q. Okay. So were you interpreting the factors -strike that.

Did you have a recollection - did you have any recollection in completing this document of any aspect of what you did in 2009 in evaluating whether this reading was fair use?

MS. QUICKER: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: You'll have to say that again.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Does any aspect of this document here reflect your recollection of what you did back in 2009?

MS. QUICKER: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Any aspect? I don't know.
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Looking at factor 1 on this document. Okay. Am $I$ correct that where you have two bold Xs, does that mean that you found that that - that subfactor applicable to the reading, is that what that means?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So you found five factors on the left hand side applicable to this reading, correct?
A. Yeah, as I look at the last one I'm not happy with it. But that's what the Xs indicate, yes.
Q. And there are no double $X s$ on the right hand side, which is weighs against fair use, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So you did not find the reading to be transformative, correct, you did not mark that on the left hand side?
A. I'm not sure what the word transformative means in that context.
Q. And similarly $I$ take it you do not -- strike that.

You also didn't check nontransformative on the right hand side, correct?
A. There's no check there.
Q. So do you have understanding of what nontransformative means in this context?
A. No.
Q. Looking at factor 2 -

And so you concluded that the first -- you make a conclusion as to whether factor 1 weighed in favor or against fair use?
A. I concluded that it weighed in favor of fair use.
Q. Okay. And was that because there were more checks on the left hand side than on the right hand side?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you have any understanding as to whether each of the subfactors were to be given equal weight? In other words, did you have an understanding one way or the other as to whether the factors were to be given equal weight?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: I'm --
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Let me withdraw the question and ask another question.
A. Okay.
Q. Did you give each of the subfactors equal weight in your analysis?
A. Equal weight, $I$ don't remember.
Q. Looking at factor 2. Well, let's just go back for a moment. This is, why did you assign this reading? Strike that.

So this is the book, a portion of the book "Pronunciation Games", correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the pages that you were assigning were 8 to 27, correct?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: It's the word assigning

I'm having difficulty with.
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Okay. The excerpt in question here is pages 8 to 27, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So approximately 20 pages, correct?
A. I would have to count them on my fingers.
Q. Do you recall, was this a full chapter of the book?
A. I'm not sure that the word chapter applies. These are activity recipe collections, so it's not subdivided into chapters that way.
Q. Is it a full activity recipe collection?
A. Okay. So this isn't --
Q. Let me show you what - a document which $I$ can mark, but $I$ don't necessarily intend to mark, just for your -- to refresh your recollection.

Does that appear to be a copy of the cover and title page of the - of the cover and table of contents? A. Yes.

MS. QUICKER: You're not marking this but you're going to show him that and have him testify about it?

MR. BLOOM: I can mark it. It doesn't matter.

MS. QUICKER: I just want the record to be clear what you're showing him and have a full record. And it should be an exhibit. BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. All right. So we'll say Murphy, what's the next number, 16, so this is Murphy Plaintiff's Exhibit 16.

How would you describe the portion of the text that's covered by these pages?
A. It's a segment of the text "Pronunciation Games".
Q. Under factor 2 you checked it was important to educational objectives?
A. Wait a minute. Factor 2. Yes, there's two checks.
Q. Okay. And why did you conclude that it was important to your educational objectives?
A. We need to go back to my earlier testimony. When $I$ was putting these materials on reserve, it was before the course was finalized. My vision was that the course -- these materials would be used as supplementary materials for whatever else we were doing in the course. And as supplementary materials $I$ considered them to be of potential value to students and therefore $I$ thought the word important was applicable.
Q. You didn't indicate published work. You see that?
A. Yeah, $I$ wasn't really sure what it referred to in this context. I mean, it is a published work, but I wasn't sure what they were asking here.
Q. And did you conclude that factor 2 weighed in favor of fair use?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Did you conclude that factor 2 weighed in favor of fair use?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And looking at factor 3, you checked small portion of work used, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And can you just describe for me what your thinking was on that subfactor?
A. Small portion, so a - I was going for less than 20 percent of the whole work.
Q. Okay. And why did you use 20 percent as a reference point in your thinking?
A. I'm not sure. I've been at Georgia State since 1988 and that's the number that I've heard bandied about over the years as falling within fair use for copyright purposes.
Q. And you indicated that the portion used is not
central or significant to the entire work as a whole. Can you just tell me what your thinking was on that factor?
A. Yeah, I mean, these are the kind of materials that $I$ recommend that students purchase and have on their office shelves. So by using this size of a portion, my thinking is that it's the whole work which is central and significant and that I'd be encouraging students to have a wide range of these kinds of materials and to own them and take them with them when they teach English in other countries and things like that.

So $I$ don't see this segment as being central, I see the whole piece as being an important piece, the whole book as being important.
Q. And back on factor 2 for a moment, you didn't check any of the items on the right hand side, weighs against fair use, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And similarly factor 3, you didn't check any of the factors on the weighs against fair use side, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. And you concluded that factor three weighed in favor of fair use, is that correct?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. Because there were three checks on the weighs in favor and no checks on the weighs against fair use side, is that why you made that conclusion?
A. Well, the ones on the right are weighs against fair use. I heard you say that differently just then.
Q. I might have misspoke.

There were three factors weighing in favor and no factors weighing against under your analysis, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Looking at factor 4, you checked use stimulates market for original work, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And can you explain to me your thinking on that subfactor?
A. Oh, yeah. So in this course - I'll go back to my analogy with carpentry and plumbing. So I do see these as the kinds of materials that language teachers should have in their possession and have on their office shelves. And that's how $I$ present myself as a specialist in the area with students, $I$ tell them in my office $I$ have like 35 or 40 of these kinds of books to draw ideas from.

So by presenting these as supplemental
material my thinking is they will be excited and interested in those materials as resources and would be more likely to purchase the whole material for themselves.
Q. Okay. And you checked no similar product marketed by the copyright holder. Do you see that?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. And can you tell me your thinking on that subfactor?
A. I guess - - okay, wait a minute. okay. Let me think for a second.

That each of these individual activity recipe collections has a particular niche, so this one for example specifically is pronunciation and in the context of playful games in the classroom. So that's a very nice twist for resource material. And $I$ know of other materials of that nature, but when it said by same - by the copyright holder, $I$ just assumed that to be an author, $I$ didn't assume that to be the publisher. So I don't know the similar project marketed by that same author.
Q. In other words, you didn't know of a similar book by the same author, was that your thinking?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Weighs against fair use under factor 4,
you checked licensing or permission reasonably available. Do you see that?

Can you explain to me your thinking on that subfactor?
A. Yeah. Over the years I am aware that there are procedures for, you know, writing a formal letter soliciting permission from the publisher, so $I$ checked that.
Q. Was that something you had ever done yourself?
A. No.
Q. And did you conclude that factor 4 weighed in favor of fair use?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And if you would just look for me at each of these fair use checklists, each of these nine documents. Can you tell me whether any of them is different than any of the others in terms of how you evaluated the fair use factors?
A. I'm not looking at them at this second, but my recollection is that the ones which were in fact included as required reading materials in the course $I$ completed differently.
Q. And how did -- what was the difference?
A. For that I'd have to go and look at them.

So the first one I'm seeing is the Exhibit 8,
so it's the "Keep Talking" text.
Q. And what are you referring to specifically on Exhibit 8?
A. Yeah, that's -- my testimony is that $I$ believe that $I$ handled those -- I think it was three actually, there were four in the course but $I$ think three are included here of the ones that were required for students to purchase that they owned a copy of, and I believe $I$ handled them differently when $I$ filled out this checklist in retrospect in 2011 knowing that when the course was offered these were materials that students actually owned. So it was kind of a moot point that they were on EReserves.

Now that $I^{\prime \prime m}$ looking at them I'm not noticing that they were handled differently. I seem to have checked all the same items for those as well.
Q. Okay. Looking at these nine documents, is there any difference in your thinking about fair use in connection with any of these readings that you would identify from one to the other, other than what you just mentioned?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Okay. So you concluded that each of these nine readings was fair use, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. A few more questions.

Going back to 2009, do you recall filling out -- do you specifically recall filling out any fair use checklists at that time?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall that.
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. When you completed these checklists, did you seek assistance from anyone at GSU in terms of how to complete the checklist?
A. In March of this year?
Q. If that's when you completed these documents.
A. Yeah, just if you could ask the question again.
Q. So did you complete these all at or about the same time?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And in doing so did you seek assistance from anyone at GSU?
A. No, I was out of town.
Q. So you were out of town when the request was made, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you completed these while you were out of
town, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you transmit them?
A. Okay. So I had a wireless Internet connection with my laptop in the hotel room in New Orleans, the Doubletree Hotel. So $I$ would have gotten an e-mail message. I believe it was from the legal affairs office at Georgia State, there was a document e-mail attachment.

The Internet connection was slow and I know that at the end of the process $I$ had the hotel front desk fax the copies to Georgia State. I don't remember how $I$ got them printed out, so either $I$ had the main -I had the front desk at the hotel print them out in hard copy and then had them faxed -- but right now I don't remember when I actually got physical hard copies. I believe it was a fax communication. It is possible that I completed them electronically and sent them back as an attachment. But $I$ don't remember that part of the process.
Q. Okay. A few more questions, Professor Murphy, and then I'll be done.

Have you ever used ULearn?
A. I have never used ULearn.
Q. And have you ever used coursepacks in any of
your classes, physical coursepacks? I should say paper coursepacks.
A. Yeah, the word ever goes back to 1988 for me in Georgia State. Yes, I have used coursepacks.
Q. Okay. And during 2009 did you use coursepacks in any of your classes?
A. 2009, well, we have to define coursepacks. Previously $I$ talked about the three different categories of materials that $I$ might include in a photocopy packet from a print shop, and for me that would include a packet of course handouts.

So for example $I$ offer a course AL 8320, Sound System of English, and $I$ have a packet which is only handouts, not tied to commercially available materials. So I use that kind of a packet, I'm sure.

I have a separate packet for courses, for that particular course, $A L$ 8320, which includes printed material to work with audio recordings and a work book material for which $I$ have copyright permission from the authors at Columbia University where I did my graduate training. And they've explicitly given me permission to use those materials. I've been using those since 1988.

And $I$ would refer to that as a coursepack, so yes, $I$ do use those materials.
Q. Okay. Have you ever completed a fair use -
strike that.
Have you ever using the fair use checklist criteria concluded that a proposed reading for one of your classes was not fair use?
A. I don't remember, but $I$ can clarify.
Q. Please.
A. I don't remember. Okay. So $I$ work with a print shop facility that used to be called Alphagraphics that's now called The Print Shop, and there have been times in the past, I'm thinking in the 1990s in particular, when as part of their normal process $I$ would leave materials with them, they were soliciting the copyright clearance. I wasn't involved in the process. But $I$ know that they were involved in that process.
Q. My question is specifically with respect to this fair use checklist.
A. Oh, okay. So what was the question?
Q. The question is whether you've ever, using the criteria on this fair use checklist concluded that a reading that you were contemplating using with the class was not fair use?
A. Did you say a reading that $I$ was using in a course?
Q. No, let's say have you ever following these criteria concluded that an excerpt from a book that you
were planning to use with a class was not fair use?
A. I would never -- $I$ wouldn't have gotten to that stage. No.
Q. Okay. Final hypothetical question. If you were to conclude that an excerpt from a book that you wanted to use for a class was not fair use under those criteria, what would you do?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I need to hear the question again.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. Yeah. If you concluded that a proposed reading was not fair use, what would you do? MS. QUICKER: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: I can think of two options. And I don't know which one I would follow.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. What are those two options?
A. Not use the material or go through a procedure of soliciting permission to use the material.
Q. And $I$ take it from -- strike that.

And what would influence which of those
options you would pursue?

MS. QUICKER: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: What would influence? I don't know. It would depend upon the case.

BY MR. BLOOM:
Q. And that's not - that's not in fact a situation that you have ever confronted, correct?
A. I can't say that. In thinking about courses and looking through materials, you know, I mean, I'm making a lot of intuitive decisions about what I would consider and what $I$ wouldn't consider. But $I$ guess I'd have to hear the question again.
Q. But since this policy has been in place, have you ever sought permission to use an excerpt in connection with ERes?
A. I can't answer because I'm not sure when the policy went in place.
Q. Well, let's say -- let's - let's say if I represent to you that the policy was put in place in February of 2009 , can you answer the question?
A. Okay. So the policy in February 2009. So what was the question again?
Q. The question was whether since that time have you ever sought permission from a publisher to use an excerpt for one of your classes on EReserves?
A. No.

MR. BLOOM: I have no further questions.

MS. QUICKER: Nothing further.
MR. BLOOM: Thank you very much, Professor.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That concludes the deposition. We're off the video record at 11:47.
(Deposition concluded at 11:47 a.m.)
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Shugart \& Bishop has no exclusive contract to provide reporting services with any party to the case, any counsel in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency from whom a referral might have been made to cover this deposition.

Shugart \& Bishop will charge its usual and customary rates to all parties in the case, and a financial discount will not be given to any party to this litigation.

Teresa Bishop RPR, RMR, CCR B-307
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| 24:1 30:18,24 | week $34: 7,8$ | written 12:24 20:8 | 1990s 63:10 | 53 3:12 |
| 31:5 40:6,11 | weeks 33:24 46:1 | 20:16 | 1994 10:19 |  |
| 62:20 | 47:18 48:20 |  | 1998 10:13,19,21 | 6 |
| unsure 41:5 | weighed 50:19,21 |  | 1999 19:12 | 2:21 19:2 37:24 |
| Ur 38:2 40:22 | 54:6,9 55:25 |  |  |  |
| use $2: 22,243: 1,3,5$ | 58:11 | Xs 49:18,25 50 | 2 | 678.420.9300 4:14 |
| 3:7,8,9,11 8:4,8 |  |  | 2 2:14 11:4 22:8 |  |
| 22:9 25:20 30:3 | weighs 50:2 55:17 | Y | 32:21 44:18 50:17 | 7 |
| 31:22 33:2,7,13 | 55:21 56:3,3,5 | Y | 51:16 53:12,14 | 21:2 22:13 |
| 34:14 39:16 44:2 | 57:25 | yeah 7:23 8:20 | 54:6,9 55:16 | 23:4 44:23 |
| 44:4 45:7,18 | weight $51: 4,6,14,15$ | 13:24 18:6 25:3 | 20 36:18 52:6 54:18 | 767 4:6 |
| 46:18,23 47:4 | Weil 4:6 5:17 | 30:1 33:15 36:5 | 54:19 | 770 1:24 |
| 48:4,6 49:7 50:2 | went $65: 1$ | 38:21 44:10 45:24 | 2002 13:8,15 15:14 |  |
| 50:20,22 54:7,10 | we'll 8:11 14:17 | 49:24 54:3 55:4 | $2005 \text { 15:18 }$ | 8 |
| 54:19,23 55:18,21 | 44:12,13 53:5 | 56:17 58:5 59:4 | 2006 15:18 | 8 2:13,24 22:14 |
| 55:25 56:4,6,12 | we're 5:9,21 14:4 | 60:14 62:3 64:13 | 2009 2:18 36:2 37:5 | 23:4 44:24 46:20 |
| 57:25 58:12,15,18 | 16:13,15 44:19 | year 20:7 23:15,17 | 45:13,17 46:12,18 | 51:22 52:3 58:25 |
| 59:18,24 60:4 | 45:24 66:8 | 23:22 40:24 60:12 | 46:22 47:5,25 | 59:3 |
| 62:5,15,22,24,25 | we've 12:24 | years 25:7 40:18,19 | 48:3,9,11,17,23 | 8320 62:12,17 |
| 63:2,4,16,19,21 | wide 16:23 41:3 | 54:23 58:5 | 48:25 49:6,13 | 8480 2:20,21 35:12 |
| 64:1,1,6,6,14,21 | 43:16 55:9 | YORK 4:7 | 60:2 62:5,7 65:20 | 35:13 36:3 37:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:22 65:14,24 } \\ & \text { Isual 69:18 } \end{aligned}$ | wireless 61:4 | Z | 65:21 | 45:12,16 |
| utilize 28:24 29:4 | 47:1 51:10 | Z 15:7 | 2011 1:17 2:16 5:9 <br> 32:12 59:10 68:18 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | $93: 144: 24$ |



