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THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now on the video record. This is the beginning of tape number 1. The time is 1:32 p.m. This is the videotaped deposition of Louis Ruprecht taken in the case of Cambridge University Press, et al., versus Becker, et al.

Madam Court Reporter, would you please swear in the witness.

LOUIS A. RUPRECHT, JR., Ph.D.,
being first duly sworn, was deposed and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. LARSON:
Q Professor Ruprecht, if you could just start by stating your full name and providing your address for the record.

A Dr. Louis Arthur Ruprecht, Jr.; 537 Seminole Avenue, Northeast, Atlanta, Georgia, 30307.

Q Thank you. We met off the record. My name is Todd Larson. I'm here representing the plaintiffs in this action.

Have you been deposed before?
A No. This is my first time.
Q Okay. Well, I'm sure your counsel probably gave you some indication of how this will work, but let me give you a few more guidelines, if I could --

A Sure.
Q -- just so you know what to expect. Please answer -- I'll ask you some questions and would ask that you please answer verbally as opposed to nods or something like that --

A Okay.
Q -- which don't show up on our transcript.

A Right.
Q If you could just let me finish my question before you answer, and then $I$ will try to do the same --

A Okay.
Q -- for you, again so we have a clean record.
A Okay.
Q If you don't understand a question that I ask, just ask me to clarify.

A Okay.
Q I'm happy to do so. If you need a break, let me know. We can take a break.

A Okay.
Q I will tell you, $I$ think the video lasts about an hour. So we'll probably be taking one when --

A When we change the tape, okay.
Q If your counsel objects, which is sort of a typical part of a deposition, you can go ahead and then answer the question unless he actually instructs you not to.

A Okay.
Q But that's kind of the normal back and forth of a deposition.

A Okay.
Q Any questions?

A No. I think that's pretty clear. If I have one, I'll certainly -- I'll ask as we proceed.

Q Great. Just tell me what you did to prepare for today's deposition.

MR. MILLER: Just go ahead and caution the
witness here not -- you can answer the question, but to the extent the answer calls for
communications between you and counsel, those are
privileged and are not to be discussed here.
A So we met yesterday to walk through the process of a deposition, for two hours, 1 believe.

Q And that was you and Mr. Miller?
A Yes, it was.
Q Did you review documents in preparation for the deposition?

A Yes.
Q And were those documents provided by counsel?
A Yes.
Q Did you review the GSU copyright policy?
A No.
Q Did you review any transcripts of previous depositions in the case?

A No, I did not.
Q Have you spoken to anyone at GSU about today's deposition?

A Only, you know, alerting my department that I was away to do the deposition.

Q Okay. But nothing substantive?
A Nothing substantive.
Q Did you produce documents to counsel in connection with this case?

A No, I did not. I had just come straight from class, so $I$ just had my class lecture materials with me.

Q I see. Let me clarify. At some prior time, even maybe last year, did you produce -- provide some documents at counsel's request for the case?

A At -- at your request?
MR. MILLER: Objection. Vague. Maybe you
should --
BY MR. LARSON:
Q I just -- did you provide, for example, your syllabus, any materials related to class reading materials on the e-reserve system at some point?

A No. The only thing is $I$ was asked to produce the fair use checklist. I believe that's the only thing I was ever asked for.

Q Okay. And did you do so?
A Yes, I did.
MR. LARSON: Counsel, I'll make a request. I
don't believe we have been provided with checklists from Professor Ruprecht. So to the extent that was an oversight, I'd ask that those be produced.

MR. MILLER: I'll look into whether or not that was produced and then --

BY MR. LARSON:
Q But it's your recollection that you did provide the fair use checklists to counsel?

A Yes. I was asked for this. I was on leave at Princeton in the fall. And if $I$ remember correctly, I was e-mailed asking about that then. And so I reproduced one and sent it to them while $I$ was away.

Q I see. And when you say you reproduced it, what do you mean by that?

A Just filled out another one.
Q So -- and this was sometime last fall?
A I believe so.
Q Okay. And --
A I mean, I'm not a hundred percent sure of that, but $I$ believe that $I$ was contacted by the university about this case then.

Q And so just so $I$ understand, as opposed to located in your files a checklist that you had filled out at some point prior, you actually filled it out
again at this point last fall?
A That's correct.
Q And then provided that to whoever it was at the university that had asked for it?

A That's correct, and $I$ just don't remember the name.

Q And how many checklists did you do this for at that time?

A Just this one.
Q And when you did that, do you recall, were you attempting to basically reproduce identically what you had done when you filled -- well, strike that. Let me start over.

Was the checklist that you filled out last
fall -- was the checklist you filled out last fall related to a work by Zeitlin, a chapter called "Dionysus in '69"?

A Is that the copy of my syllabus?
Q It is.
A Is it okay if $I$ look at it? $I$ just want to confirm that that's --

Q Let me give you --
A Yeah, $I$ don't want to take one if it's got your notes on it.

Q Provide you what $I$ 've marked as Ruprecht 3.

A Okay. Great. Thanks.
Q Can you confirm that Ruprecht 3 is your syllabus from, $I$ guess, Religious Studies 3950, from the fall 2009 semester?

A It appears to be yeah.
MR. MILLER: Just a quick objection. Take
your time and look at the document.
THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
MR. MILLER: Feel free to take your time and
look at the document before you confirm.
A Okay. I mean, just -- this is a trivial
thing, but $I$ just want to be sure that $I^{\prime} m$ doing this the right way. This must have been downloaded from a Word file, so it's not structured the way mine is. In other words, the pagination is different, like the schedule of film screenings was on a separate sheet.

Q Understood.
A That's probably just the conversion from WordPerfect to Word.

Q I will represent to you that it was provided to us by counsel --

A Okay.
Q -- in a native format.
A Okay.
Q And we opened it in Word and printed it.

A I'm just never quite sure exactly how -- you know, how precise to be.

So this does indeed appear to be my syllabus from the fall of 2009. And your original question was?

Q If you could turn to the page that has the Bates number at the bottom right-hand corner 949.

A Gotcha.
Q If you look up under October 29, there's an entry there for Zeitlin, "Dionysus in '69"?

A "In '69." And that is the -- that is the chapter that $I$ filled out the fair use checklist for.

Q Thank you. You can just put this aside for one second.

A Okay.
Q We'll return to it.
A Sure.
Q When you filled out that checklist -- and, again, this was sometime last fall, you said?

A That's right.
Q Was that a checklist that you had initially filled out for the Zeitlin work at the time that you taught this class?

A Well, it was a reconstruction of what $I$ had done when $I$ went through the original checklist to -for the -- prior to -- well, in the process of
structuring the class and deciding on readings.
Q And so tell me, when you were structuring the class and deciding on readings, what did you do with respect to the Zeitlin work that we see here on the syllabus?

A What did I - -
MR. MILLER: Objection. Vague.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q Did you submit this Zeitlin work or make a request to the library that this Zeitlin chapter that we see here in the syllabus be placed on the e-reserve system?

A Yeah. And, I mean, maybe if $I$ just explain real quickly.

Q Yes.
A My process usually - and this might help clarify what $I$ was doing. When $I$ design a new course, I usually sort of plan out the sort of intellectual choreography of the class, decide roughly where $I$ think I want to get. And then $I$ usually just work this out on a pad just week by week, what do $I$ want to achieve. And then $I$ start thinking about readings.

So it's only after I've completed a syllabus and made decisions about which books to order and use and what supplemental readings to use -- it's after

I've completed this and put all the books on reserve that $I$ then just go to the computer - I sort of have the syllabus, and $I$ just work through everything I've marked as a reserve, and $I$ make one by one all of my requests at that time, both books and in this case the chapter.

Q Understood. So when you did that process, was the Zeitlin chapter one that you requested that the library place on e-reserves?

A Yes, it was.
Q And in the process of doing that, did you fill out a fair use checklist for that chapter?

A I worked through one. I carry -- because we're going green, $I$ don't make out a separate one for each reading on the syllabus, but $I$ have the master with me, and $I$ go through each fair use checklist and then confirm that $I$ 've done so when $I$ make the request.

Q So when you say you worked through it, what do you mean?

A Well, it's like a two-page - you know, there's a whole cluster of questions, $I$ think in four different areas, and you sort of work through all of them. And then at the end of that you have a pretty clear conclusion about whether this falls within fair use.

Q And so you - I don't want to put words in your mouth. You tell me if I'm understanding you right. You, for each -- for the work you didn't actually check the boxes on the list, but you looked at it and sort of in your head made a determination of which elements applied or which boxes you would check?

A Because we're going green, $I$ don't print out a separate checklist for each article anymore, but $I$ did make a mental checklist for each -- I mean, I work through the process for each thing I do, I put on e-reserve.

Q Okay. You work through it mentally but without actually physically checking any boxes with a pen?

A Correct, but with the master with me -- with the syllabus and the master, sitting at a computer, $I$ make all of my e-reserve requests at the same time.

Q Understood. So at the time then there was no -- at the end of that process, there was no printed out, marked-up checklist specifically devoted to this Zeitlin chapter, correct?

A That is correct.
Q And then when -- later, in the fall of 2010, when you presented a checklist to counsel, or to the university, you then did actually physically fill out a
checklist at the time?
A Yes.
Q And you marked it up with a pen or pencil or whatever --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- and provided it to the university?
A Uh-huh.
Q When you did that, were you attempting to precisely duplicate what you had done mentally in 2009?

A Yes.
Q When you did that, were you -- were there any instances where -- strike that.

When you filled it out last fall, did you do any analysis at the time of whether you should or shouldn't check any particular boxes?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Vague.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q In other words, did you do any analysis in deciding what to check or did you purely physically say, a year ago $I$ checked this box -- I thought this box should be checked, so I'm checking it right now? MR. MILLER: Objection. Vague.

A I do just want to understand specifically what you want me to speak to. So could you frame it again?

Q Yes. So the question is: When you filled it out last fall, were you solely and only attempting to remember the boxes you had checked when you did it mentally in 2009 or did you do some analysis or consideration of the factors when you were filling it out last fall?

A $\quad$ was attempting to reproduce my reasoning process when $I$ first decided about whether to use the essay or not. And -- so yeah. I think --

Q And do you know whether you were able last fall to produce precisely the same checks on the checklist as you mentally figured applied back in 2009?

A Right. I think - I mean, the way -- the way you're asking that, $I$ think $I$ would have to say no because, $I$ mean, $I$ 'm pretty - I'm doing two different things. But $I$ 'm confident that my reasoning process, when $I$ go through these things -- and $I$ do go through them with some care -- was the same.

Q But you can't be sure that the boxes you checked last fall were precisely the boxes that you mentally checked back in 2009 when you went through the list, correct?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Mischaracterizes his testimony.

A What $I$ said last time is that there are
two -- I mean, one is a checked-out thing that $I$ produced in the fall for these people, and I'm confident that my -- I fairly represented what $I$ did last time prior to making the e-reserve request and that my reasoning process -- that $I$ did reproduce my reasoning process for why $I$ thought this fell within fair use.

Q What $I$ want to understand, though -- I understand what you're saying as to reasoning process. What $I$ want to know is whether you can say for certain that the boxes you checked, not the reasoning process alone but literally the boxes you checked last fall were precisely the boxes that you mentally felt applied to the work when you went through the checklist in 2009.

A It's difficult -- and $I$ don't mean to split hairs, but $I$ don't know how to compare sort of a physical check thing that $I$ sent in the fall to a mental checklist $I$ worked through. I'm confident that what $I$ submitted in the fall fairly and accurately reproduces my process. My process was no different in the fall as looked at this again.

Q Let's step back, and we'll return to that. Well, actually, while we're here, looking at Ruprecht 3 --

A The syllabus.
Q The syllabus, yeah.
A Okay.
Q If you could turn to the page that is 5940 on the bottom right-hand corner.

A Sure.
Q And $I$ see here there's a section called "Required Texts"?

A Uh-huh.
Q Were each of those works texts that students in the course were required to purchase?

A Not required to purchase because I'm mindful that especially in these times, some of our students are dealing with real financial pressures. The texts that $I$ require $I$ order from the bookstore and have available for students. But $I$ always have a couple of students who really are struggling financially. So anything that's required, there's also a hard copy on reserve, which they can check out for two hours.

So, again, $I$ don't want to overdo it, but $I$ just want to be precise that these are all the books that $I$ ordered and that were in the bookstore, and there are also -- there were also hard copies of all of those available for a two-hour reserve --
Q I see.

A -- in the library.
Q And are any portions of these works placed on e-reserves?

A No.
Q And how is it that you make the determination to use, for these what you've called required texts here --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- to use the hard copy reserves as opposed to e-reserves --

A E-reserves?
Q -- for these?
A If $I$ can sort of just step back just a bit, and $I$ hope this -- well, $I$ hope this will clarify it.

Q I'll follow up if it doesn't.
A Okay. Great. As $I$ said, because this was a course that $I$ designed new for the university for the religion curriculum, in my initial planning $I$ really did just think about how $I$ wanted to approach it and what $I$ wanted to achieve. Then $I$ really do spend some time noodling about to try to break it down in week-to-week sequences of how to get, topic by topic, where $I^{\prime} d$ like to get. And at that point $I$ start thinking about readings, what readings would work well, where.

And usually by the time I've done that, $I$ for instance, $I$ decided in Susan Douglas's case that $I$ ended up wanting to use like four or five -- I don't remember -- chapters from her book. So at that point $I$ decided let me -- well, that's a book I'll order and require.

And the same thing was true with Leni Riefenstahl's memoir. I ended up using a fairly sig -you know, they didn't read the whole thing. It's huge. But $I$ had them read enough of it where $I$ had them get that. And the Dai Vaughan, the essays on documentaries, we ended up reading $I$ think four or five of those. So $I$ used that.

And in none of these cases, except for the Walter Ong, Orality and Literacy, did $I$ have them read the entire book. But each of these books I had them read significant portions of, and so those become my required texts.

And then some of the other supplemental readings that $I$ was -- wanted to plug into different areas in the course were things that $I$ used electronically.

Q And the Zeitlin chapter is an example of that?

A That's correct.

Q And when you say "supplemental," just tell me what you mean by the reading being supplemental.

A I didn't mean that in a technical way. I just meant supplementing these required texts.

Q So in the Zeitlin case was that a required reading?

A Yes.
Q So supplemental doesn't mean nonrequired?
A No. And $I$ think -- let me just -- actually, let me not answer you until $I$ look at that. $I$ just want to be sure $I$ 'm representing this right.

Incidentally - I'm sorry. I have to go back to the comment about this being the syllabus that $I$ used. If you look at your page 951 --

Q $\quad 951$.
A Yeah. I'm sorry about this, but $I$ do want to be sure I'm --

Q No, I appreciate it.
A -- I'm right about this. Their syllabus, the one that $I$ handed out, ended at "happy holidays." "Addendum of other possible topics," this was in my master file for the -- so this is actually -- kind of shows this is -- when I'm doing my original noodling, these were other week sequences $I$ had debated, sometimes $I$ had potential readings in. Sometimes $I$
hadn't decided.
Anyway, all of these, "from text to screen," "drama to film," "scripture on screen," those are all topics $I$ elected ultimately not to do in this version of the course.

Q I see.
A So the syllabus that my students had in fall of '09 ended at "happy holidays." And there was a sheet which you don't have, which is a master sheet from our department, which has a whole list of departmental rules and guidelines, brief definitions of plagiarism and a reference to the website for the honor code. And so this isn't an identical syllabus, is all I wanted to say.

Q Okay.
A The parts we were talking about are, but I just wanted to be clear. I'm sorry I didn't see that before.

Q That's okay.
MR. LARSON: Counsel, we'd make a request for those other pages, if those were, in fact, handed out to students as part of the syllabus.

MR. MILLER: Okay. We'll look into that.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q The other - I guess going back to the
question $I$ had asked before, can you just confirm that the Zeitlin work, which is on 949 --

A Yes.
Q -- was a required reading?
A Yes, it was.
Q Okay.
A Normally in my syllabus I'm looking for -yeah, further down on that same page, there is a recI recommended this famous essay by Theodor Adorno on "The Culture Industry." That is not something I required. That's more recommended for students who are -- my film students who are interested in this kind of thing.

So anything that's listed right after the date were things $I$-- I mean, you can never guarantee this but $I$ had hoped and expected them to have read before class, and that's the Zeitlin chapter and those five pages from Doherty's book.

Q Okay.
A That was the sort of assigned reading for that class.

Q Can you just go back to page 940, if we could?

A 940, sure.
Q That's the required texts.

A Sure.
Q So you explained before your thinking in making these books that students could purchase.

A Uh-huh.
Q I think you said you also place a copy of them on hard copy reserve for students who maybe can't afford to purchase them --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- or don't want to purchase them. My question is: With respect to that activity, do you consider at all placing them on e-reserves, as well, and, if not, why not?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.
You can answer.
A I -- the reason -- again, when $I$ originally put the course together, the ones that I've decided to make available for them to purchase are, in every case, books where $I$ was using a significant portion of the book. So at that point $I$ think they should buy it.

Q And what's the cutoff for you? Do you have -- at what point does it become enough of the book that you believe they should buy it?

A That - it depends on the kind of book. I mean, there's just -- particularly in my courses $I$ tend
to use very different kinds of books, even in one class. So $I$ can't really have a generalizable - you know, one general rule for how $I$ assess. I just use so many different kinds of things. But $I$ think in each of these cases, $I$ was clearly having them read enough of these books where they should get them.

Q And it wasn't a case, I take it, that you bothered with these, even taking them through the checklist or anything like that?

A No. I -- no. I do a preliminary checklist, but in cases where it's obvious that $I$ should not put this amount of material on electronic reserve, there's no reason to go through the checklist. It was clear in these cases that we were reading sizable chunks of the book, and they should have the book.

Q So tell me then, with the Zeitlin chapter that's on 949, or the Zeitlin excerpt, what was your thinking there or why did you decide there to use e-reserves as opposed to putting the book on hard copy reserve or requiring purchase?

A I think there's two answers to that.
Q Yeah. Well, let's -- I'm sorry to interrupt. Let's take those one at a time. What was your reason for putting it on e-reserves rather than requiring purchase?

A Yeah. Okay. Because it was just one chapter out of a long edited volume of, you know, essays on different topics. And the chapter in question - Froma Zeitlin is a really important classicist at Princeton, and this is her recollection of going to see this really, at the time, famous Off-Broadway performance, which was an adaptation of a classical Greek tragedy called The Bacchae, and the play was called "Dionysus in '69."

So given that that week $I$ was -- if you go back to the 27 th , the topic for this week was to work on sort of a longstanding literary critical category shift at comparing Jewish and Greek and arguing that they're sort of radically opposed. So the Erich Auerbach essay is a very, very famous sort of laying out of the radical difference between Jew and Greek.

Then $I$ showed them de Palma's first film, which he filmed one of the performances of that play in 1968. And Zeitlin then is a classicist who was reflecting -- remembering having seen that performance and what an impact it made on her.

And so the book is about appropriations of the classical in all sorts of different venues. That chapter was the only thing about the play. And the play is what $I$ was hoping to have them screen that
week. Unfortunately, my copy of it was corrupted. So on Wednesday night they actually didn't get to see it and, after reading her on Thursday, were rather frustrated that they had not. It's a pretty wild play.

Q I'm sorry to keep flipping around on you.
A No, no, no, it's fine. No problem.
Q If you can go back to 5940. And then flip over to 941, actually.

A Sure.
Q And just tell me -- this says, "Out of print with five copies on reserve."

A Uh-huh.
Q And there's a Miles -- Margaret Miles book, I take it?

A No. I'm sorry. That refers to Walter Kerr, Tragedy and Comedy.

Q Oh, I see. That's referring to the one right above it?

A Yeah, I'm sorry. I gave -- it went in and out of print a couple of times. That last ISBN was a small press called Da Capo Press. And they put it back in print for a while, but then they went out of print. So $I$ bought five used copies and put them on reserve because $I$ was having my students read a good chunk of that book.

Q Now, that's listed here as a recommended text, rather than required; is that right?

A Right, because $I$ couldn't have them buy it.
Q Is your expectation in this course -- or was your expectation that the students would do the readings?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.
A Could $I$ ask you to ask me that one again? Just because $I$ want to be sure $I$ know what you're asking me.

Q Yeah. Was it a requirement of the course that the students do the required readings?

A Yes, it's a requirement of the course. The reality of teaching is you cannot assume that every student comes prepared every day.

Q And for a student to do well in the course, was it necessary for that student to do the readings for the course?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.
A I certainly hope so, but $I$ can't - you know, there's no way $I$ can know that some -- especially some very gifted students can get by with either a cursory reading or deciding which things to read.

Q It was the case for some readings in the class that there were actually response papers done about the readings; is that right?

A Not exactly. What $I$ do is $I$ use - there's a new initiative in the college called Writing Across the Curriculum. And just - I know this is more than you need, but $I$ just want to explain.

Q Sure. Go ahead.
A The theory behind it - it's actually a great idea. What we're working against is the notion, $I$ think the correct notion, that students tend to find formal paper writing a highly stressful process, and sometimes assignments get in the way of their creative engagement with the material rather than getting them thinking by writing. So what $I$ do is -- the Writing Across the Curriculum initiative, they've set up a website, and $I$ now use it in most of my classes.

What $I$-- the way $I$ structure the classes and this is why $I$ do it week by week, is they will have had an assignment Tuesday. I will have scheduled a film screening Wednesday nights for anyone who can attend. And then if they can't attend, I'll try to get the film on reserve so they can see it. Another reading on Thursday.

And then they're invited to do what's called
low stakes writing that they enter electronically on the website every Friday. And it's an invitation to sort of summarize or tackle a particular issue that came up for them this week, kind of weaving together the readings, the film, and class discussions. So each week they had an entry due on the website, never specifically on a particular reading but sort of reflecting on the week.

Q Okay. Reflecting on the week, including the readings that were done that week?

A That's right. That's right.
Q Can you flip over to 945?
A Sure.
Q And we can do this quickly. I just want to confirm. And on September 1st $I$ see the Benjamin excerpt there. That was placed on e-reserves?

A That's correct.
Q And then turning over to the next page, there are entries there on the 15th for the Nietzsche, "Birth of Tragedy" sections?

A That's correct.
Q And that was placed on e-reserves?
A That's correct.
Q And the Speer "Inside the Third Reich" excerpt was placed on e-reserves?

A That's correct.
Q And the Sontag "Fascinating Fascism" excerpt was placed on e-reserves?

A That's correct.
Q And what about the Sontag "Regarding the Pain of Others"?

A That was from a book, $I$ think, that they purchased because we read more of that later on, I believe.

Q So the "on e-reserves" designation there refers to the Eco excerpt?

A That's true, although $I$ believe in that case I ended up not using it that semester. I had planned to. But if $I$ remember correctly, $I$ decided against it because they already -- I ended up wanting to just talk about Sontag.

Q And was the Miles "I Tried to Overlook Many Things" excerpt placed on e-reserves?

A I don't recall that. My sense - I can't say this with certainty. I think that $I$ decided against placing both the Eco and Miles, but I'm not sure.

Q All right.
A I do know that -- $I$ do remember deciding that there was so much rich stuff in Sontag, I really wanted to focus on her and primarily on "Fascinating Fascism."

Q If you could flip to 947. And can you confirm whether the Sontag "Photography: A Little Summa" excerpt was on e-reserves?

A I can't. I just don't remember that one. I'm sorry.

Q And how about down on October 1st the
Laderman "Secret Matters" excerpt?
A "Sacred Matters," yes.
Q Or "Sacred Matters," excuse me.
A Yes, yes.
Q Then flipping over another page -- I believe we talked about this one, but just to double check.

The Auerbach?
A Auerbach, that's correct.
Q And then on the next page we discussed Zeitlin.

A Uh-huh.
Q Down on November 3rd there's a Foucault
excerpt.
A Right.
Q Was that placed on e-reserves?
A Introduction to Discipline and Punish. Yes.
Q Okay. And then a little lower a Silverman
chapter on November 5th?
A That one ended up not going on reserve. It's
a - it's called Camera Obscura, and it's a really obscure journal. I couldn't get it. So $I$ believe we ended up not using that.

Q And then on - a little lower there's a - on November 10 a Martin \& Ostwalt excerpt?

A Right.
Q Was that placed on e-reserves?
A I believe so.
Q And then flipping to the next page --
A I believe so. I'm not sure about that. I believe so.

Q Okay.
A I'm sorry. I'm pretty sure, yes.
Q The Deren excerpt on November 19th, "The White Darkness"?

A Yes. That was placed on reserve. That's her description of her own experience of possession.

Q Okay. And then on December 1st, Brakhage excerpt?

A Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
Q From Telling Time? Was that placed on e-reserves?

A Yes, it was.
Q And the same for a Brakhage excerpt from Film at Wit's End?

A Yeah. That's a short essay on Maya Deren.
Q You can put that aside for a second.
A Okay.
Q I'll just ask, are you familiar with the university's GoSOLAR system?

A Yes, I am.
Q I show you what I've marked as Ruprecht 2.
A Okay.
Q I'll represent to you that this is a printout that we made from the GoSOLAR system, which is publicly available on the website.

A Uh-huh.
Q And we printed out what should be your courses from the fall 2009 semester.

A Uh-huh.
Q This indicates Religious Studies 3950 was taught in that semester with $I$ think -- this is what $I$ want you to confirm for me-- a cap of 45 students and an actual enrollment of 43 students? Do you see that?

A Yes, $I$ do see that that's what it says. I mean, $I$ don't remember if that's right, but, yeah, that's what it says.

Q Do you recall it being roughly correct?
Around 43 students?
A That - I can confirm for sure that all of
these 3000-level courses are capped at 45. I don't remember that semester how many were in it because $I$ also don't know when this is from. I often have people asking to overload into classes, and $I$ just don't remember what the final enrollment number was there. But they are all capped at 45.

Q And just so I--
A But my courses often go over 45.
Q I understand you can't give me a precise number here.

A Right.
Q Two years later. I'm just trying to - I mean, it wasn't the case that you only had 15 or 20 ; is that correct?

A That's correct. That is correct.
Q So somewhere perhaps approaching 45 but not precisely?

A Clustering somewhere around 45. I think that's -- yeah, $I^{\prime} m$ confident that that's right.

Q I'll give you what's been marked as Ruprecht 1.

A Sure. Thank you.
Q And this was taken from -- I believe printed just from your website again on the Georgia State website.

A Right.
Q Can you take a look at this -- and take your time flipping through if you need to --

A Sure.
Q -- and just confirm that this is your CV?
A It would -- it's been updated since this, but it probably was what was up in the fall. Nothing major. Just there are a couple of essays which are listed as forthcoming which are now out and a couple of new things $I^{\prime} v e$ written that don't appear here but --

Q I'll ask it this way: The education and teaching experience sections are accurate?

A Yes, they are. Yes, they are.
Q And you've been at Georgia State since 2005;
is that right?
A That is correct.
Q And turning over to the second page, the publications, the first section is "Books"?

A Uh-huh.
Q Is that list accurate?
A Except for the Winckelmann's Secret History. The title is different and because of -- it took longer to get images from the Vatican for it, it's actually going to be published -- it will be a 2011. It's actually going to come out in probably September.

And $I$ don't know if this is important to you, but the title is now Winckelmann and the Vatican's First Profane Museum. They just shortened the title.

Q The title that was there was your working title at the time of producing the CV?

A Yeah. Yeah. And $I$ thought it was a good title, but they didn't like Winckelmann's Secret History. They thought it was too -- well, I don't know, too something. So, anyway, it's now called, Winckelmann and the Vatican's First Profane Museum, with no subtitle.

Q Did you sign a contract with Palgrave for that book?

A Yes, I did.
Q Do you happen to know, did you assign your copyright to the publisher in the work?

A I'm not sure what that means.
Q You don't know, sitting here, whether or not you did?

A Whether I -
Q Whether you assigned your copyright to the publisher as part of the contract?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Asked and answered.
A I think I'm not understanding what you're asking.

Q We can move on. It's - -
A Okay.
Q For any of these works -- step back one step.
A Okay.
Q Did you sign contracts for each of the books listed here under the publications?

A Yes, I did.
Q And are you aware of whether any of the contracts for these works granted you some share of licensing and permissions revenue that might be earned from the books?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Vague.
A I believe that - I just won't be able to reconstruct now, but $I$ 'm sure in at least a couple of cases that was a part of the contract language. One of the problems is there are so many different presses I've worked with, and they all have different -- the contracts tend to be standard, and $I$ just don't remember what the condition -- what the arrangements were in each of these cases.

Q Sure. So let's try it this way. Would you say that any of these, the books listed here, have been more successful than any of the others, any that stand out as having sold a lot of copies or having done particularly well?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Vague. Calls for speculation.

A I don't have any recent sales numbers for these books. So I actually -- that really would be just me speculating. I'm really not sure about that.

Q Is it the case that for these books -- and tell me if there are exceptions.

A Sure.
Q But is it the case that you get a royalty on sales for the books under your contracts with the publishers?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Asked and answered.
A That actually does vary press to press and contract to contract. Most academic presses, you would only get a royalty at a certain point, and it's usually a fairly sizable number of sales before you get -- you get a share of sales. That's not always true, but 1 if $I$ recall, in most of these cases that would be true.

Q Do you recall for any of these books ever receiving a payment from the publisher not for sales of the book but for an instance where the publisher licensed the book to some third party?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.
A Not that --

MR. MILLER: Assumes facts not in evidence.
A Not that $I$ recall.
I'm sorry. I was distracted. Would you ask that one again?

Q Yeah.
A $\quad$ want to make sure $I$ answer that right.
Q The question was: Do you recall, for any of these books, receiving a payments from the publisher not for sales of the book but for licensing to some third party?

MR. MILLER: Same objections.
A I don't recall. I don't recall that.
Q okay.
A But $I$ can't say that with certainty, but I don't recall that.

Q Do you know for any of these books, in your relationship with the publisher, if a professor at another school were to use an excerpt from the book in a coursepack, whether you would receive any royalty or permissions income from that use?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation. Vague.
A I'm not certain about that. I do not believe I ever have received such a payment, but I don't - I simply don't know. I'm sorry.

Q Just before $I$ forget --
A I'm sorry. If $I$ may -- I don't know if this is relevant or not, but just since I'm looking at my books, if this helps clarify my own reasoning process, I always think it's tricky when professors assign their own books. There's only one case where I've ever done that and it's Was Greek Thought Religious. And there it was because $I$ did the same reasoning process I do with all my others. There's no other book that presents the same material. And $I$ have a course where I use actually four or five chapters from it. And at that point $I$ decided, for the same reasons, that $I$ would make it a required book if it weren't mine. So that's the only book I've ever taught in my classes. I only say that to clarify how $I$ sort of deliberate about these things.

Q Understood. Shifting gears slightly --
A Sure.
Q -- so I don't forget, are you aware that the trial in this case has been set down to begin on May 16th?

A No, $I$ was not aware of that.
Q At this point you don't know whether or not you'll be a trial witness?

A I do not.

Q Do you have plans --
MR. MILLER: Let me add a belated speculation
and -- yeah, objection. Sorry.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q Just generally between, say, May 16th and June 16th, do you have plans to be traveling or away for any significant length of time during that month-long period?

A Yes.
Q Tell me what your plan is.
A I have a research grant. I work in the Vatican archives in the summers. I've been part of this Winckelmann book and a companion volume I'm working on. So $I$ was planning to go beginning of June and work June and July, until the Vatican library closes mid-July.

Q As specifically as you can, just tell me when it is you're planning to leave.

A I was planning to leave June 1st.
Q But between May 16 th and June 1st you plan to be here in the Atlanta area?

A I'm smiling only because a colleague of mine is doing a research project in Guatemala and invited me to come down that last week of May. So I haven't planned that for sure, but we've been talking about
that. It had to do with whether I'd be able to get that in before $I$ then turned around and went away for most of the summer.

Q But at this point that trip is not one you've planned formally?

A I'm planning. I haven't purchased the tickets, but $I$ - we're planning it.

Q Have you purchased tickets for the travel to the Vatican?

A No, because - only because I'm - the apartment $I$ usually rent in the summer, the owner is not sure when it's available. So I've been waiting to hear back from her in order to not show up before the apartment is available. But it should be June 1st. That's my plan.

Q Let me show you what's been previously marked as Dixon 2. Do you recognize this document?

A I mean, only in the sense that I'm sort of looking at it. I mean --

Q Is it something you've seen before?
A I can't say that with a hundred percent certainty. I'm sure - I'm not sure. I'm fairly certain that when $I$ first got to Georgia State back in 2005, when $I$ was introduced -- you know, there's a full day of faculty orientation, and you're walked through
all this stuff. I would assume that this is one of the -- this was in the packet or part of our orientation materials, but $I$ can't say that $I$ remember looking at it then.

Q And just -- if you can flip through to -you'll see the pages are numbered at the top up through 19?

A Yeah.
Q If you can flip to 7 to 19?
A Uh-huh, sure.
Q $\quad 7$ of 19?
A Uh-huh.
Q Do you recognize that page?
A Yeah. This should be two pages, actually.
Yeah. This is the thing $I$ have with me with my syllabus when $I$ start doing electronic reserve requests.

Q And then you'll see, under "Instructions," it says, "Complete and retain a copy of this checklist for each fair use of a copyrighted work." I gather you -from what you told me before, you did not do that, correct?

A Not in 2009. I did when $I$ first got here.
But as we've gone green and tried to reduce our redundant paper output, $I$ no longer do.

Q Can you turn to page 9, please?
A Sure.
Q Is this a page you've seen before?
MR. MILLER: Take your time and read it.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q Yeah, yeah, yeah. Read whatever you need.
A Again, I -- I'm familiar with these ideas. I
can't remember your original question.
Q My question is whether you recall ever seeing this particular page before.

A I don't remember. I don't remember.
Q Can you turn to page 13? And same question.
Do you recall having seen this page before?
A Again, $I$ just -- the honest answer is $I$ don't remember.

Q That's fine. That's fine. That's what I'm looking for, honest.

A Okay.
Q Page 15. If you could turn to that, please. And, again, same question. Have you seen that page?

A I have to say $I$ don't remember whether $I$ have or not.

Q In the middle you'll see, under "Works in Print," there's a reference to Copyright Clearance Center?

A Uh-huh.
Q Is that an entity you've ever heard of?
A The CCC specifically doesn't ring a bell. It sounds to me as if this is like a similar -- I mean, for the book $I$ mentioned before, there's a sort of clearance center for images, for art images for use in publications. And $I$ have -- I have consulted them for this project. So it sounds like that. But I don't know the CCC.

Q Okay. Have you attended any sort of training session at the university with respect to the university's copyright policy?

A My recollection is that that was part of the faculty orientation in 2005 when $I$ arrived.

Q Okay. But nothing since 2005?
A Specifically having to do with -- I just want to make sure $I$ 'm answering you correctly.

Q With the university copyright policy.
A Copyright policy? I don't remember. Not that $I$ remember.

Q Do you recall the university issuing or creating a new copyright policy at some point in 2009?

A I'm not sure.
Q To the best of your recollection, when do you recall first using the fair use checklist that we
discussed earlier?
A I'm going to try to answer that. I'm not sure that $I$ can fairly. The reason I'm saying that is I don't -- different kinds of courses, I use different kinds of readings. And I'm just trying to remember in fall 2005 what $I$ taught. I know that one course I taught, the Introduction to Religion, $I$ used a packet and a book. And $I$ just don't recall what $I$ - I just don't recall what $I$ used in the course.

Q When you say "a packet," what do you mean?
A Like a packet of Xeroxed readings from -there was a place that $I^{\prime} m$ not sure still exists, called The Print Shop, when $I$ first got here.

Q So a coursepack, you said?
A Uh-huh.
Q A term you're familiar with?
A Yeah. Right.
Q And did you -- do you know whether the shop that you used or whether you paid any sort of permissions or licensing fees to the publishers whose works were used in the coursepack?

A I'm not - -
MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.
A I'm not sure what they did. Sort of I
provided them with the things $I$ wanted to use. I don't know, other than putting a packet together, what they -- you know, what they did.

Q And have you considered -- did you use, after that course or this instance we're talking about --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- did you use coursepacks in any later classes?

A No. That's why $I$ was thinking about your question from 2005. Introduction to Religion is the only course $I$ ever used a course packet with because, again, in my original thinking through of a syllabus and what $I^{\prime \prime m}$ using -- because it's an introduction to the study of religion. It's a sort of a smorgasbord of methodologies. And so $I$ read a book at the beginning of the course and a book at the end, in which case I wanted to use the book. Every other class session for about two months was just a short reading from something. So in that case it seemed to me a course packet was the best way to sort of capture what $I$ was doing in that class.

Q And have you taught that class subsequently?
A That class -- that's a difficult question for me to answer in a trivial way that's probably not of interest to you. But when $I$ first came here $I$ taught
that course every semester, probably for three years. And it was designed in some ways to be an introduction to the department, and it did generate a fair number of majors and minors.

In the last couple of years we - the
Department of Religious Studies has added a course to the core curriculum. And so it appears to me that the Introduction to Religion course that $I$ had done a lot is being phased out and being replaced by this course that's in the core curriculum.

Q Did you --
A It hasn't been taken from the - the reason I'm qualifying is it hasn't been taken off our books yet. But it has also not been taught in two or - two years or three years, and I -

Q Did you -- I'm sorry.
A No, no. And I - so for that reason $I$ have not taught it or used that.

Q Did you use a coursepack each time you taught that course, when you did teach it?

A Yes. I actually did not change the readings in that course from the first time $I$ set it up. So it was always the same book at the beginning and end and about two months of sort of short, more smorgasbord-like readings in the field.

Q And why was it, if you recall, that you used the coursepack there rather than using e-reserves? MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. Go ahead.

A My then chair said -- recommended The Print Shop. He said he - they were really efficient and really great and that he thought it would be easy, particularly given that $I$ was putting this course together while $I$ was not yet in Atlanta, from away, that this would be an easy way to go. And $I$ found it - I thought that they were indeed great so - and I liked the way the course went. It seemed to be very successful. So $I$-- and so $I$ just maintained the course as $I$ had originally designed it.

Q For the Religious Studies 3950 that we were discussing before that you taught in the fall semester of 2009, did you consider using a coursepack for the readings that you put on e-reserves?

A No, for the reasons $I$ sort of described. When $I$ first kind of think about putting a course together, first of all, it's a - it seems trivial, but the Introduction to Religion's number is 3050, and the film course is, $I$ think, 3950. And $I$ sort of -- and it's a more challenging -- a more intellectually challenging course, which makes higher demands on the students. And in that setting it seemed to me
appropriate to work more through the arguments of books rather than this kind of -- I mean, smorgasbord is overstating the case but a sort of overview and survey course, which is what $I$ understood the Intro to Religion course was supposed to be.

To accomplish what $I$ wanted to accomplish with the film course, $I$ really felt that they needed to work through books. And the supplemental readings I was using there really were supplemental in that sense, that they were adding another wrinkle or another feature to the main argument that $I$ wanted them to take from the books, of which there were quite a few.

Q So I'm not clear. Why was it for that group of works that were supplemental, as you just described, that you chose not to use a coursepack to provide them to the students but used the e-reserve system?

A Partly because $I$ - I mean, the trivial reason is $I$ only ever used it for the Introduction to Religion course. The packet seemed to me to be the textbook for that.

THE WITNESS: Should I stop?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No. We have another hour.
A It seemed to me that the reader for that course was, indeed, you know, one of three textbooks for that class. That would not describe the readings
in the film course, which really are supplemental to, you know, a course that has a lot of books and that is more -- far more reading intensive than the intro course.

MR. LARSON: I think our tape is --
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No, we have another hour.
I passed you a note.
MR. LARSON: Great.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q Do you need a break for a couple of minutes? Why don't we take just a real quick breather, and then we'll--

MR. MILLER: Sure. That's --
MR. LARSON: We only have about a half-hour
left on our schedules so --
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off video at 2:32.
(Recess taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the video
record at 2:44.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q Professor Ruprecht, $I$ put in front of you what I've marked as Exhibit 4.

A Okay.
Q Can you identify this document?
A I'm not sure $I$ can.

MR. MILLER: Take your time and look at it.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q And I'll represent this was produced by your counsel to plaintiffs in the litigation.

A Okay. Okay. So this is an e-mail from the reserve desk to me dated -- I'm not sure if it's July or -- I'm assuming it's September? I'm not sure.

Q This isn't a document you have seen before?
A No, no.
Q Do you know whether -- when you submit requests to the library to place materials on reserve, do you recall ever receiving an e-mail back from the library that looks like Exhibit 4?

A I don't remember anything with all - you know, with this. I don't remember -- which doesn't mean $I$ didn't, but $I$ don't remember an e-mail this long. I'd have a lot of correspondence with the library reserves desk when I'm building a course.

Q Have you ever had the library flag any work that you wanted to place on reserves as inappropriate for any reason?

A Yes.
Q And tell me when you recall that happening.
A I'm not going to be able to recall when.
Q Just tell me what you do recall.

A I think on two occasions they sent stuff back to me and said that there were too many pages, and $I$ would have to shorten it to put it on ereserve.

Q And are you able to say whether that was prior to 2009?

A I'm not. I just can't remember when that was, but $I$ have a pretty clear memory of two cases where they sent stuff back and said you have to - I think in both cases it was that you have to reduce this. I'm not a hundred percent sure about that, but one case for sure because $I$ remember reading it again and deciding what part of it to use. This -- and then past that, you know, one page -- one page that $I$ provided was corrupted. They needed a clean copy or they needed the copyright page for the -- I mean, there's lots of, you know, correspondence back and forth between us about that.

Q In the instances where they told you it was too long, do you recall them telling you that you needed to be below 20 percent of the total work?

A No. They -- I mean, I don't remember that, but my recollection was simply saying that -- in the case that $I^{\prime \prime m}$ thinking of $I$ think they told me that you have to -- this is too long. I mean, I'm sorry. I'm struggling with this. And $I$ just don't remember what
the reading was, but $I$ distinctly remember having to read something over again and decide which part of whatever it was to read. I don't recall them saying 20 percent but --

Q Do you remember who at the library it was that told you this?

A I really don't. The problem is, as you see here -- sometimes I'll get -- they're very conscientious, $I$ do want to say. They've always been a pleasure to work with and very, very generous with their time to me. But sometimes I'll get an e-mail signed by somebody. And usually in those cases where they're asking for something, $I$ just bring it to them, and they'll come out. But you do often get just, thanks, e-reserve staff, sort of an anonymous e-mail. So $I$ don't really know -- in those cases obviously I don't know who it's from.

Q Can you just grab out the syllabus, Ruprecht 1 --

A Sure.
Q -- and turn to page 949? Or, I'm sorry, Ruprecht 3.

A Yeah. Yeah. What page number did you want?
Q 949 .
A 949 .

Q That's the page with the Zeitlin excerpt.
A Gotcha. Okay.
Q Could you also grab out for me Dixon 2, which is the copyright policy excerpt?

A Sure.
Q And turn to page 7?
A Sure.
Q What I'd like you to do on page 7 is, starting with factor 1 , tell me when you mentally worked through this checklist with respect to the Zeitlin excerpt in 2009 in connection with Religious Studies 3950, which boxes you checked in the "weighs in favor of fair use" column?

A Definitely "nonprofit educational" and definitely "teaching." I wouldn't have checked research or scholarship because it was strictly - you know, this is strictly course use, not my own research. It's not criticism, comment, news reporting, or parody.

Q So you didn't check that box?
A I don't think so, no. "Transformative." I've always been a little unclear about that one, but I wouldn't have changed -- I wouldn't have used it here. Definitely not personal study because it was strictly for class use. And $I$ just don't recall -- I always struggle with the "use is necessary to achieve your
intended educational purpose," you know, in comparison to the "use exceeds that which is necessary," simply for the practical reason that $I$ have a difficult time assessing necessity in a classroom context when you can't be certain that everybody does the reading.

Q So right now you don't know then, sitting here now, whether or not when you did this back in 2009 mentally you determined that that last subfactor applied or not?

A I would guess that $I$ didn't, I mean because -- I guess that $I$ would only have checked the first two there. I mean, I'm just trying to reproduce my normal reasoning with this. And $I$ always struggle with "necessary" because -- for that reason. I usually don't check it because it just seems to me that assessing necessity in the context of course readings, given the pedagogical realities that your great students always do the reading and for them it's necessary.

Q And why did you not check "transformative" or determine that transformative applied?

A Well, $I$ just am unclear about this notion that the use changes the work for a new utility or purpose. I mean, it just didn't seem a relevant question to this essay, that $I$ was having them read a
person's recollection of attending this play and the impact it made on her. So $I$ just didn't see how transformation of that work was relevant here.

Q Let's look at the "weighs against fair use" column.

A Uh-huh.
Q And just tell me again in 2009, when you went through this mentally, which of the boxes under factor 1 you -- which of the subfactors there you determined applied.

A Clearly not commercial activity. Clearly not profiting from use. Clearly not entertainment. Again, I wouldn't have checked "nontransformative" for the same reason. $I$ just didn't see transformative as at issue in reading this.

Q And so you -- so if it wasn't at issue then, why did you not think that nontransformative would apply?

A I just - I just didn't see -- I just am not certain what that's trying to capture in light of this chapter.

Q So given that, you didn't feel comfortable determining one side or the other?

A Assessing it either way, no. Well, I didn't really feel that it was relevant to the -- I didn't see
that question as relevant to this chapter, either way. Clearly not for publication. Clearly not for public distribution. And as $I$ said, $I$ struggle with the necessity but only in the sense that - I mean, $I$ could have checked "use is necessary" because it's a - you know, it's a reading assigned for that day, but I just -- and $I$ don't mean to be splitting hairs, but in a certain sense $I$ feel like that's important here. I don't -- I'm -- I always struggle with the question of necessity when it comes to an assigned reading.

Q And so when you did this back in 2009, when you walked through this list, did you or did you not determine that "use exceeds that which is necessary to achieve your intended educational purpose" applied to the Zeitlin chapter?

A I wasn't sure what your question was.
Q Yeah. When you walked through the checklist in 2009 for the Zeitlin chapter, did you determine that the last subfactor under "weighs against fair use" applied?

A No, for the same reason that $I$ don't know how to assess necessity either way there. Not for the very trivial reason that, again, it's necessary to my purposes in the same way that the whole syllabus is, but $I$ know that some students don't do the reading, and
some students who miss a reading nonetheless get to my objectives for that class. So $I$ have a difficult time using that criterion only.

Q If you can turn over to the next page.
A Sure.
Q I'm sorry. Can you flip back to page 1?
A Yeah, sure.
Q I apologize.
A No, no problem.
MR. MILLER: Page 7.
MR. LARSON: Yes, thank you.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q Is it the case in every instance in which you've walked through the checklist with respect to an e-reserves reading that you've selected on the left-hand side "nonprofit educational" and then "teaching"?

A I can't remember about nonprofit educational, but $I$ - and, again, $I$ can't remember every single case, but I'm comfortable saying that in every case, when $I$ assign an electronic reserve reading, it's for teaching purposes.

Q But not --
A So $I$ can't imagine a circumstance in which that one wouldn't apply.

Q But you can't say the same for nonprofit educational?

A I'm trying -- it's difficult for me to answer a hypothetical, you know, and I --

Q And I don't want a hypothetical. My question
is: Do you recall ever not checking or determining that nonprofit educational applied?

A I can't recall a circumstance in which that wouldn't have been the case. I'm just -- I can't imagine a circumstance in which teaching wouldn't be -wouldn't capture what I'm doing. I certainly don't recall an instance where nonprofit educational wouldn't apply, but $I$ just can't tell you for certain that $I$ remember every one of these I've done.

Q But is it your testimony that you do remember for certain how you did the one for the Zeitlin chapter?

A I mean, as much as $I$ remember anything from two years ago. I tried in the fall of 2010 to reproduce what $I$ did in the fall of 2009, and I'm now in April trying to reproduce the fall of 2009 again. And, you know, some of the obvious things are when I use e-reserves, they almost always have the same general qualities.

Q Do you ever recall, in filling out or working
through a checklist with respect to an ereserves excerpt, determining that any of the boxes under factor 1, "weighs against fair use," applied?

A I'm sort of thinking about that only because I'm trying to think of all the different kinds of courses $I$ teach. Again, partly because of the way $I$ structure a syllabus, I've already done a fairly significant mental checklist before -- as I indicated before, how $I$ prepare a syllabus. So I've already made decisions about what books to make available before we get to the e-reserve stuff. So there's been one filter before $I$ ever get to this list, and then the library reserve has a filter.

The ones I'm -- you know, for reasons I've explained, the transformative $I$ suppose could be an issue. If there were ever a case where $I$ was significantly changing a work, then that would - but I don't recall ever having done that.

Q The focus of my question was on the right-hand column and whether you recall ever checking -- and if you don't, that's fine, but whether you specifically recall today ever determining that any box on that side would be checked.

A I just don't remember, but $I$ could certainly have, in my thought process of putting something
together -- I mean, the one that would come up would be "use exceeds that which is necessary," for my purposes.

Q Let's look at factor 2 on the next page. I'm going to ask again specifically about the Zeitlin excerpt.

A Okay. Sure.
Q When you went through the list, the checklist, in 2009, in connection with the Zeitlin, which boxes under factor 2 on the "weighs in favor of fair use" column did you determine applied?

A That it is a published work, that it is factual or a nonfiction work, and $I$ would have said important, not necessary but important to educational objectives. So that would have been -- that should have been all three.

Q All three? And on the other side, "weighs against fair use," which ones did you check at the time, or mentally check?

A The only -- I mean, I didn't check any. I wouldn't have checked any on these. The only one that I do puzzle over a bit is -- and this is simply field specific to me. When $I$ look at these, the only factor of these that ever becomes complicated for me is the distinction between factual/nonfiction and highly creative because $I$ - I mean, Froma Zeitlin is an
incredibly creative scholar, and $I$ read a lot of creative scholars. So that distinction breaks down a little bit in the humanities. But in this case it was factual and nonfiction so -- while it was very creatively written.

Q Let's look at factor 3.
A Okay.
Q Which -- with the Zeitlin work again in 2009, when you went through this checklist, which of the factors -- under factor 3 , which of the subfactors in the "weighs in favor of fair use" column did you determine applied?

A You know, it's never -- I mean, the problem is small and large is such a subjective judgment, but in this case $I$ was comfortable saying this was a small portion of the work, and $I$ was comfortable with the claim that the portion used was not central or significant to the entire book, and I was comfortable with the idea that the amount taken is narrowly tailored to educational purpose.

Q On the other side, which did you determine for factor 3 applied, if any, on the "weighs against fair use" side?

A Again, the small and large is subjective. I didn't think this chapter was a large portion of the
book, and $I$ did not think it was central to the heart of the work, which -- you know, the problem with these is they're so specific to the text in question. In this case it's an edited volume of essays by different people on different topics. So this was the only one about Zeitlin's being in the audience at the play "Dionysus in '69." So it -- some edited volumes have a pretty clear heart. Others actually don't at all. And in neither case was that at issue here. And I didn't think that $I$ was taking more than necessary for --

Q Do you have any sort of cutoff or dividing line for small portion versus large portion?

A It depends - it really does depend on the -the -- here again, $I$ use so many different kinds of things. It really is -- there isn't an easy way to make that assessment. And maybe the best illustration for that is that - I mean, I've got these fabulous resources. I've got one, like an 800-page resource guide for late antiquity. And there might be 60 essays in it. So in that case if $I$ were to use four, I wouldn't think that as a large portion of such an enormous book. So $I$ can't really quantify, you know, if it's more than two chapters in a book. It just depends on the book and depends on how much of the whole it is.

Q Let's look at factor 4 quickly.
A okay.
Q On the left-hand side, "weighs in favor of fair use," when you worked through the checklist for the Zeitlin chapter in 2009, which boxes or subfactors did you determine applied?

A Some of these are difficult to assess, in certain cases.

Q And let me just, in the interest of time --
A I'm sorry.
Q If you can just tell me which ones you actually checked, and then we'll talk about them.

A Right. I mean, I'm having to go through it again to sort of try to reproduce 2009 for you.

Q Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
A It doesn't have a significant effect on market or potential market because, if anything, it seems to me that, in this particular case, students had never heard of this play or this book. And in some cases it actually - it might have stimulated an interest in it. So $I$ was comfortable with both of these first two, the "no significant effect" and "use stimulates."

Q And the "stimulates" point, do you recall specifically anyone purchasing the work as a by-product
of your using an excerpt in your class?
A Yeah. I can't know, you know, who purchases things afterwards, but $I$ certainly recall the buzz the reading generated. And in some ways - I mean, this is trivial, but because my copy of the film had degraded and they couldn't actually see it, when I actually came into class that Thursday, somebody had found scenes of it on YouTube, and they were in the room already watching it when $I$ got there. So $I$ know it generated a buzz, but $I$ can't know whether somebody went out and bought the book.

Q What other boxes on the left-hand side did you determine applied besides the first two?

A I don't -- I don't recall doing "no similar product" just because it's hard for me to know that. I mean, $I$ know a lot in some fields, but I'm really comfortable saying, you know, for sure there's nothing -- in this case when $I$ was doing my original planning for the syllabus, $I$ was talking to a friend of mine who is a classicist. And I indicated, hey, I'm thinking of doing this Jew versus Greek thing, and I'm thinking of showing "Dionysus in '69."

And he told me, hey, $I$ think Froma Zeitlin just wrote something about that. So that's how I originally became aware of the essay and tracked it
down. Obviously it's not a question of no longer in print. It was pretty new. I don't think I checked "licensing or permission unavailable." I always struggle with the supplemental, too, just because -for the same reason $I$ was talking about necessity before. I honestly don't recall what $I$ decided there.

I also don't know -- I've never known really how to use this next criterion, "one or few copies made or distributed," just because I provide one. And in my experience one of the biggest generational divides between me and my students is they're far more comfortable just reading on their laptop. So in -- I really need a hard copy, but a lot of my students I think just call it up or call it up repeatedly.

Q So do you understand that factor to mean hard copies?

A Well, what $I$ 'm saying is the new technologies, it's made it difficult for me to know how to assess that. And if it's virtual copies, 1 can't possibly know. So $I$ don't know how to answer that one.

Q So when you did this in 2009, did you determine that that one did or didn't apply?

A I probably left them blank, both sides, because $I$ just have such a difficulty assessing. You know, given the electronic medium, $I$ mean it's hard for
me to know.
Q What about the last two?
A I definitely checked "restricted access" because the student -- there's a password that's issued just for the course. And in this case I'm pretty sure that the e-reserve for this course wasn't designed - wasn't finished until after the course had started. And they don't -- the ereserve desk doesn't issue me a password until everything is up. So in that case and they're long, complicated passwords. So I then provide it to my students in the class, and then they have to -- they see a list on the reserve page of the books that I've placed on reserve.

And then there's a locked file which has all the e-reserve readings on it. And so they have to click on the locked file. Then they're asked for a password. I don't remember the order, but they're asked for a password, and then they have to click an agreement to honor a copyright. And then the screen changes again, and that file is now open, and you see all the e-reserves for the course. So $I$ think it's clearly restricted access.

Q And on these did you check "user owns lawfully acquired or purchased copy of original work"?

A I can't remember whether $I$ checked that or
not because $I$ can't remember whether this one was Georgia State's or Emory's. I just can't remember that.

Q And on the right-hand side just tell me which ones in 2009, when you did this, you checked.

A For the reasons $I$ stated, it doesn't - it didn't, $I$ think, significantly impair -- I did not check, I don't think, "license or permission reasonably available." Didn't check "numerous copies." Didn't repeat -- didn't check "repeated or long-term use." I should have checked "required classroom reading." I just don't remember doing it. And that was the only one.

Q What's the, when you say -- the market in the first two boxes on each side, what's your understanding of what the market is that you're considering there?

A The market for purchasing books.
Q And do you take into account licensing or permissions granted by a publisher for the particular excerpt as opposed to purchases of the whole book?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Vague.
A I don't think $I$ did in this case because knowing how $I$ generally work through these things, $I$ tend to -- rightly or wrongly, $I$ tend to think of those first two questions together. And I distinctly
remember in this case thinking, well, it's not going to have a significant effect on the market for the book, and it may indeed actually stimulate the market for the book because $I$ know none of my students will have heard of this play. It's a pretty amazing film, and Zeitlin's account of it is pretty amazing. And, again, I think the buzz that it generated confirmed that.

Q You said you did not determine that licensing or permission reasonably available applied?

A I don't think so.
Q Why not?
A That's a good question. I didn't think that was -- I think I didn't think that was a necessary factor for her account, for her recollection of the -I think that's why $I$ didn't check it.

MR. LARSON: Just give me one second.
Let's go off the record.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video record.
(Discussion off the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 3:13.

BY MR. LARSON:
Q Could you just, to make sure we've got it, explain to me why you did not determine that "licensing or permission reasonably available" applied?

A I'm sorry. I've been struggling answering that one because $I^{\prime} m$ just basing my thought process on this. I believe it was given that, you know, the thing is structured to be -- the columns are in parallel, $I$ think my thinking on this one was that that's the counter to uses -- use stimulates market for original work. And given that $I$ thought that was clearly the case, I didn't think that the licensing or permission was at issue. I believe that's how I thought that through.

Q Do you remember ever, in working through fair use checklists for any readings you've placed on e-reserves, ever determining that any of the factors under factor 4 on the right-hand side applied other than "required classroom reading"?

A I really don't -- $I$ just don't recall, given, you know, five years of doing that -- of doing this. The ones that could be at issue for my kinds of courses would be required classroom reading or -- well, $I$ just can't think of a case in our e-reserves situation where unrestricted access would be at issue. So $I$ think really -- I think that -- it would be "required classroom readings" for me that would be an issue.

MR. LARSON: I have no more questions.
MR. MILLER: I have no questions.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video record.

MR. LARSON: Thank you for your time today. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
(Deposition concluded at 3:16 p.m.)

| THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video |
| :--- |
| record. |
| MR. LARSON: Thank you for your time today. |
| THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. |
| (Deposition concluded at $3: 16 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m})$. |
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