E X H I B I T

B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

PRESS, et al.,)	
)	
D1 1 1 1 CC	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.) Civil Action File	
) No. 1:08-CV-1425-0	DDE
MARK P. BECKER, in his)	
official capacity as)	
Georgia State University)	
President, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Videotaped deposition of JODI KAUFMANN, taken on behalf of the plaintiffs, pursuant to the stipulations contained herein, before Teresa Bishop, RPR, RMR, CCR No. B-307, at 104 Marietta Street, 6th floor, Room 3, Atlanta, Georgia, on Wednesday, May 6, 2009, commencing at the hour of 8:55 a.m.

Shugart & Bishop
Certified Court Reporters
Suite 140
13 Corporate Square
Atlanta, Georgia 30329
(770) 955-5252

1	I N D E X		
. 2			
3	Exa	aminations	Page
4			
5	EXAMINATION BY MR. RICH 4		
6	EXAMINATION BY MS. GARY 111		
7	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. RICH 113		
8		•	
9		EXHIBITS	
10			
11	No.	Description	Page
12			
13	98	sibilus from the fall of 2008 for the	44
14		EPRS 8500 course	
15	99	syllabus for EPRS 8500, summer of 2007	51
16	100	fair use checklist	75
17	101	syllabus for EPRS 8510 from spring '09	96
18	102	for EPSF 9280 from fall 2006	103
19	103	spring 2007 sibilus for the 8500 course	104
20	104	EPRS 8510 syllabus for summer 2007	105
21	105	syllabus for EPRS 8500, fall 2007	105
22	106	syllabus for EPRS 8510 spring 2008	106
23	107	syllabus for EPRS 8510 summer '08	107
24	108	syllabus of Fournillier for course 8500	107
25		for summer 2008.	
			·

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4	
	R. BRUCE RICH
5	TODD D. LARSON
	ATTORNEYS AT LAW
6	WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES
	767 FIFTH AVENUE
7	NEW YORK, NY 10153-0119
_	212.310.8238
8	TODD.LARSON@WEIL.COM
9	
	JOHN H. RAINS, IV
10	ATTORNEY AT LAW
11	BONDURANT, MIXSON & ELMORE
ΤŢ	1201 WEST PEACHTREE SUITE 3900
12	ATLANTA GA 30309-3417
	404.881.4121
13	
14	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
15	LAURA GRAY ATTORNEY AT LAW
16	KING & SPALDING
	1180 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.
17	ATLANTA, GA 30309-3521
	404.572.2530
18	taskew@kslaw.com
19	
	BHARATH PARTHASARATHY
20	ATTORNEY AT LAW
	GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY
21	OFFICE OF LEGAL AFFAIRS
	P.O. BOX 3987
22	ATLANTA, GA. 30302-3987
23	
	ALSO PRESENT:
24	
25	KENNITH DRAKE, VIDEOGRAPHER
25	
	_ _

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This will be the 2 videotaped deposition of Jodi Kaufmann 3 taken by the plaintiffs in the matter of Cambridge University Press et. al. versus 4 5 Mark P. Becker in his official capacity as Georgia State University president et. al. 6 7 The date is May 6th, 2009. We are on 8 the record at 8:55:56. 9 JODI KAUFMANN, 10 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12 EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. RICH: 14 Good morning. My name is Bruce Rich. 15 partner at the law firm of Weil, Gotshal & Manges and 16 our firm as I think you may know represents the plaintiffs in this action. And I will be asking you 17 18 questions at today's deposition. 19 Would you state your name and address for the 20 record, please? 21 Jodi Kaufmann. 2219 Lake Park Drive. 22 Q. And Ms. Kaufmann, have you ever been through the process of a deposition? 23 24 Α. No. 25 I take it, though, that you're being Q.

A. Qualitative research predominately looks at understanding the every day life world and culture, people's experiences. And so what I teach are methods for students to learn how to do this.

In qualitative 1, that's predominately theoretical in terms of what are the theories that underpin the field. And qualitative 2 looks at ways to collect data for their study.

- Q. Would it be overly simplistic to say that qualitative 2 has an empirical aspect to it or is that an incorrect way to think about it?
 - A. That's not the way I would categorize it.
- Q. Now, you're teaching within the education curriculum, correct?
 - A. (Nods head affirmatively.)
- Q. Are the principles which you teach, for example, in qualitative 1, the theory course, do those transcend the discipline of education or are they targeted completely or somewhat to the education field?
 - A. No, transcends education.
- Q. Are there other faculty at Georgia State
 University in one or more graduate programs who teach
 courses that are substantively similar?
- A. Yes. There's other people in my program who teach 8500 and 8510.

- Q. Whenever it was you first taught it, how did you come to construct the course syllabus? What was your process?
- A. I constructed it predominantly on the way that

 I was mentored at the University of Georgia to teach

 qualitative research.
- Q. And that triggers in my mind for the record identifying the educational background you hold, please, by institution and degree.
- A. I got my Ph.D. from the University of Georgia.

 And after that I taught there as an adjunct faculty teaching qualitative 1 and qualitative 2, essentially the same courses. And was mentored in teaching those.

 And it was those syllabi that I brought with me and then updated making some few changes to teach here.
- Q. To what degree are the course -- and when you say you brought those with you, was that both the 8500 and the 8510 courses?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And to what degree, if you were to compare the syllabi for these courses from their most recent offerings to those which you utilized at the University of Georgia, to what degree have those changed over time?
- A. I don't know. It's been continual and small changes and continually tweaking things and adding

what was happening.

And what is the -- what is your understanding

25

Q.

I'd like to instruct the

MS. GARY:

And so when you developed your syllabi for

25

Q.

Q.

guided your decision-making as a faculty member in terms

25

understanding?

- A. One of the most helpful devices was the new checklist with the different categories and checking where you stand in each one of these.
 - Q. Anything else?
- A. I learned about the different concepts on that. We went through line by line discussing what is transformative, what is nontransformative, et cetera. We did talk about issues of copyright in terms of everything that is now copyrighted whereas it was not previously. We talked about that if you ever come up against issues that you're not clear about that you would be free to call us and ask us your questions, get guidance.
 - Q. How long did the session last approximately?
 - A. Maybe an hour.
- Q. You said you had had some questions and the other individual had some questions?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. What were your questions?
- A. I was interested in the 20 percent. Other questions were more clarifying questions of what she was speaking of. I don't remember them specifically.
- Q. What did you learn as to the current policies, relationship or dictates in relation to the 20 percent

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- rule?
- Α. Cynthia advised that to be safe to keep it in single digits. But that there's no hard and fast rule, everything is contextual.
- As part of this session with Ms. Hall, was reference made to -- specifically to any of the ERes postings which you had been offering over a variety of semesters to your students?
 - Α. No.
- Either in preparation for that session or since that session have you had occasion to apply those -- the new policy and the interpretations of it that you're gaining to those prior reading lists?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Q. When did you go through that exercise?
 - I can't remember, was it Friday or Monday. Α.
 - Did you do that with counsel or independently? Q.
- No, independently, applying what we learned in Α. that workshop.
- And did you go through the process of filling out a checklist as to the different works?
 - Α. Yes, I did.
- Q. And where does the work product of that reside? Where does that series of analyses sit?
 - Α. I handed them into the library, which was my

mistake. I thought they had to go in with the new list.

And they said, no, that I keep them. So they are floating somewhere between me and the library. They're sending it back.

MR. RICH: May we request copies of that as soon as possible, please?

MS. GARY: Of course, we'll get copies.

BY MR. RICH:

- Q. And bottom line, as a result of that how many modifications if any did you determine were appropriate to the lists of readings which you had most recently afforded students on EReserves?
- A. I can't tell you how many. I can tell you that I got the Sage handbook down to single digit percentages. And any articles that I had that I could not find a direct link from GSU I took off and replaced them with other articles. So that now everything is single digit or direct link.
- Q. And in terms of the numbers of excerpts from the Sage handbook, as you described it, did you retain the same numbers of takings but pare down the inclusive pages utilized, is that what I'm understanding you to have done?
- A. No. I only used whole chapters and so then I deleted or took out some chapters to get the numbers

In looking at this document and the series of

25

Q.

1	course are going to be posted on the cours	e page?		
2	A. Yes.	i		
3	Q. That has not yet happened?			
4	A. Yes.			
5	Q. Yes?			
6	A. Yes, that has not yet happened.	They're not		
7	up there.			
8	Q. Is it going to happen by the star	t of the		
9	course?			
10	A. We sure hope so.			
11	Q. Now, which course are you teachin	g the		
12	Maymester?			
13	A. I teach 8500 in Maymester.			
14	Q. How does a Maymester work just ph	ysically in		
15	terms of time and course hours and all?			
16	A. Three weeks and you meet every ni	ght from 4 to		
17	7:30. 4:30 to 7.			
18	Q. Same number of credits as if it w	ere a full		
19	semester offering?			
20	A. Yes.	,		
21	Q. Just very compressed?			
22	A. Exactly.			
23	MR. RICH: Let's mark as 98 a	syllabus		
24	from the fall of 2008 for the EPR	s 8500		
25	course.			

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. Yes.
- Q. And was that something that was common?
- Α. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And prior to the new policy being implemented, did anyone anywhere any time at Georgia State University indicate to you that it was inappropriate to use EReserves for other than optional or supplemental reading?
 - Α. No.
- Q. And under the new policy including from your session with Ms. Hall, has anyone at Georgia State University or anything you've seen or read indicated to you on a going forward basis that it is inappropriate to use EReserves for other than optional or supplemental reading?
 - Α. No.
- Now, if you'll turn to page 7 please. like to jog your memory. And again we've asked your counsel to provide us the checklist and so recognizing this is not a memory test and that you may not have it precisely, but since you went through this process quite recently, to the best of your recollection I'd like you to go through this week by week and tell me for the Maymester what changes you made. Again, if you're wrong

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remembrance.

Madison left. Van Maanen might be gone as well. I'm not positive.

- I'm sorry? Okay.
- Van Maanen might be gone. Tara Johnson's Α. article is gone because we don't have a link to that. think we have a link for St. Pierre.

Narrative Inquiry Beverley is gone on number I'm not sure, Chase might be gone and I think what I did was put in something from the Narrative Inquiry Handbook. Plummer is still there. Bishop is gone under 11. Ellis stayed.

Number 12 I think those all stayed.

I want to understand a little more the Ο. Okav. basis on which you made these different judgments you've just testified to. When you -- how did you come --

Other than quantitatively in terms of bringing down the total takings from the Sage handbook to single digits, were there other criteria you brought to bear in making the determination under the new policy and going through the checklist that informed your judgment which chapters from the handbook to retain and which not to retain?

Α. Yes. What I did was I just took all of the readings and put them under headings, this is Sage,

these are journals, this is -- and then speaking of Sage specifically, I looked at -- I added up all the pages and saw what I had and where I wanted to go. From there I looked at pieces that worked well with students and those pieces that didn't work as well with students.

And also looked at especially like with "Narrative Inquiry", the "Narrative Inquiry" handbook is there something that's more updated in that that would work and supplement. Supplement is probably the wrong word, but would work better in terms of the narrative article I had from the handbook.

- Q. Just so I understand the testimony about Sage, did you add up all of the excerpted Sage works even if they were not all simply from the handbook, in other words, all Sage publications treated as one or within each Sage publication?
- A. No. Each -- I considered Sage handbook 2000 to be one source, Sage handbook 2005 to be another because they're so different. They're not the same articles.
 - Q. I just wanted to clarify that.
- A. And a book, an edited volume would be something, another source.
- Q. In a couple of places a few minutes ago and just now in reference to the Johnson piece you indicated

that you dropped certain materials because there was no link present.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe what you mean by that?
- A. If I go on E-Journals which connects to the journals that the university has access to, that journal is not -- won't come up, which means I can't get a link to it. We don't have that journal in our database.
- Q. So this is not related to whether there is a license arrangement with that journal publisher, but simply whether there is a physical or an electronic copy available?
- A. No. Those that have links when you go into EReserves are those that we have bought the right to use. So if that journal doesn't come up we don't have the right to use it. Does that make sense?
- Q. Well, I don't want to interpret you. Is it your understanding that all the other journals that are posted on EReserves the university already has in place a license arrangement allowing use for EReserves purposes?
- A. My understanding is those journals I can access through E-Journals the university has license to use. And so if I put in a journal into E-Journals and Galileo comes up that it's not available, that the

university has not -- does not have permission to use 1 2 that. Therefore the ones that I have in here are the 3 ones that I can get direct links to. 4 MR. RICH: Off the record for a moment. 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:10:24. 6 7 (Discussion off the record.) 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record 9 at 10:11:18. 10 BY MR. RICH: 11 Q. I just want to be as clear as we can for the 12 record. 13 With respect to a book let's say like the handbook, what you undertook here consistent with your 14 15 pedagogical needs obviously, was to buy work, assure 16 yourself that the cumulative takings of chapters that 17 was spread out over the syllabus did not exceed single 18 digits, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And that it otherwise was educationally 21 suitable, correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Did you in going through the checklist, did 24 you say -- stay with the handbook -- did you treat as

relevant to the decision whether to include these

MS. GARY: Just objection if it calls

-

for attorney client privileged communications. So to the extent you can answer without revealing any privileged communications between you and Ms. Hall --

THE WITNESS: Right, this was not, this was not during that, it was specifically just talking about outside of that. And she said that she thought that what I was doing was right. My interpretation of that was right.

BY MR. RICH:

- Q. And the interpretation you gave it was what?
- A. That it seemed extremely subjective to determine the market value. And if my -- if I read it as that I would not require my students to buy the handbook, it's very expensive and they don't have that much money, and so if I do not put that reading in the students are never going to become aware that that handbook is out there and so there's no probability that the students would buy it. But if I -- so am I understanding that market value question value correctly.
 - Q. And her response was?
- A. I think you're doing okay or something to that.

Q. So if I understand your own interpretation of
what we call factor 4, the market factor, you determined
that the handbook because of its cost to students would
be resisted in terms of a purchase by the students?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that as a result if you were to assign it what would your expectation be? In other words, if you had added it to the required text section of the syllabus, what would have happened in your estimation?
- A. Well, two things are problematic. One I think the students would have been extremely upset having to spend that much money. And number two, in an introductory class they don't need to read the whole handbook.
- Q. But is it your understanding that the only time it would be appropriate for -- that the only time that an educational use would exceed fair use would be if the entirety of the work were being used?
 - A. No. No. I think there's all four factors.
- Q. And so nonetheless you're saying that a rationale for using -- for paying no permission fee for the handbook is that since the entirety of it was not being assigned it would be -- you would be uncomfortable posting it as a required purchase for the students?
 - A. No.

Q.	I don't mean	to misinterpret you.	Could you
restate,	please?		

- A. My rationale is that those articles going through the fair use guidelines considering all four factors to the best of my ability fell within fair use, therefore it was okay to use them.
- Q. But focusing on the fourth factor in terms of market harm, what analysis did you make of what the impact on Sage, the publisher of the handbook, would be if every professor using the handbook as a teaching tool made the same judgment you've made?
 - A. I did not think about that.
- Q. What would your view be if every professor teaching a course drawing on the handbook made the judgment that because it was A, expensive and B, not all of it was going to be used, C, I will assign some number of chapters without obtaining a permission fee to the viability of that handbook?
- A. I honestly think that Sage would have more likelihood of selling the handbook. As a student that's how I came to purchase the handbook.
- Q. How would that decision-making promote sales of the handbook?
- A. Because if my professors did not give me articles from that handbook and let me know its

students buy the entire work or simply post portions of

1 it without permission on EReserves? 2 Α. I can't answer that. 3 Q. Can't answer it because? 4 Α. That was a different -- a conversation --5 MS. GARY: Are you asserting attorney 6 client privilege? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 MR. RICH: Could you define for me 9 where you're drawing the line of privilege 10 with respect to Ms. Hall? 11 And the reason I ask is you all 12 produced to us the PowerPoint. Other 13 witnesses whom I deposed and we've deposed 14 have testified about the substance of the 15 seminar offerings. This witness testified 16 earlier about her conversation with Ms. 17 Hall with the other professor handy. What 18 is your position as to where the boundary 19 line is? 20 MS. GARY: Our position is that the 21 workshops that Cynthia Hall held with 22 professors are not privileged. They were 23 part of her general teaching capacity. 24 any conversations that individual 25 professors may have had with Ms. Hall

outside of those workshops specifically seeking legal advice from her with regards to copyrighted materials would be privileged.

So I believe the witness previously testified as to conversations that took place during part of this general workshop that which were not privileged. But I believe now this question is seeking information which took place outside of the workshop and is therefore privileged.

MR. RICH: We won't obviously test that during this session. But it's something we may want to consider further.

BY MR. RICH:

- Q. Just so I understand it, this subject came up but you're unable to testify to the substance of the discussion?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did that subject come up by your requesting legal advice from Ms. Hall on the issue of permissions fee or licensing?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did it come up because Ms. Hall initiated a discussion of that topic?

A. Yes.

MR. RICH: It's hard for me to know how that could be privileged if this doesn't reflect a request for legal advice.

MS. GARY: If I may have a moment to confer with the witness off the record.

MR. RICH: Sure.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:21:28.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape 3.

We're back on the record at 10:23:02.

MS. GARY: Thank you.

After consulting with the witness, it is our position that there was this workshop that took place that was not attorney client privileged communications. But at a certain point after the workshop ended those conversations did become attorney client privileged and Ms. Hall indicated as such to the witness that these conversations were now protected by the attorney client privilege. And she was providing legal advice to Professor Kaufmann at that point.

So we're going to keep, if we can keep a distinction between the workshop itself and those attorney client communications after the workshop ended. And Cynthia Hall indicated this was indeed an attorney client conversation where Cynthia Hall would provide legal advice.

MR. RICH: All right. I hear the words and I again will respect that for this deposition because I can't force any different outcome here.

I want to reserve our position because

I find that distinction a bit dicey and

artificial. But again, I don't think we're

going to resolve it here today.

BY MR. RICH:

- Q. Let me ask you a different question. What is your understanding about the availability of licensing as a means for making course materials available to students?
 - A. After the new policy changes?
- Q. Let's -- let me ask the question more generally. Well, let's break it.

Before the new policy did you have an understanding whether it was at least potentially

available to faculty members to neither require students to purchase full texts at a bookstore or place excerpts on EReserves without any permissions, that at least a third alternative at least in some instances could be to secure licenses to use excerpts of those works within the course curriculum?

- A. No.
- Q. You had no exposure to that concept. And now post the new policy, am I correct that it was only in the course of what your counsel has indicated or regarded as privileged communications with Ms. Hall that this third option was the subject of some discussion?
- A. Again, yes. And I think it also came up in the PowerPoint.
 - Q. And what in the PowerPoint do you recall?
- A. That there is a certain cite, which I can't remember the name of --
 - Q. Copyright Clearance Center?
 - A. Yes. Yes. That you can go to.
- Q. And when you went through the checklist and evaluated market harm and other possible adverse effects on the -- strike that.

When you went through the checklist including factor 4, did you give any consideration to the availability of licensing for one or more of the works

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

you were offering for your courses?

- Α. No.
- And was that something that just didn't occur Ο. to you or you didn't feel it relevant or what?
- I thought about it. The reason I did not No. was because it is my understanding that if it was -came out as fair use according to the checklist then I didn't need to go there.
- But I believe you testified a few minutes ago that part of your fair use calculous on factor 4 involved the judgment that it would be too expensive for students to purchase the entire works, correct?
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. Now, wouldn't it have been appropriate in that situation in making a more fine tuned evaluation to have asked, well, what if the students only had to pay something less by way of a license fee for access to the materials I want to use?
- Α. That was not my thought process. My thought process was that I have altered the use of this textbook to follow fair use, so now it is fair use and I don't need to go there.
- Q. What relevance did the -- you mentioned that during your seminar, your educational seminar, the nonprivileged part of the activity with Ms. Hall, among

Is it a concept that you regarded as

25

Q.

irrelevant at the end of the day going through your

factors that go to the issue of relevance to the course, importance to your teaching objectives and the like, how did you apply those criteria to these works? By that I mean the works on Plaintiffs' 99.

- A. I applied that the pieces were important to what I wanted to teach. And the way I did that was because I had made the categories of the theories or concepts that I wanted to teach and then I found the best pieces that I could to convey those concepts.

 Therefore those pieces were important to teaching that concept because that's why they were chosen.
- Q. And by definition the pieces you selected by taking the entirety of the chapter contribution, say in the case of the Sage handbook, you took the heart of those contributions, indeed you took the whole contributions, correct?
- A. No. I think that's -- it depends if you understand the whole to be the chapter or the whole to be the edited volume. And I understood the whole to be the edited volume.
- Q. Do you have any understanding as to whether when you made a contribution to Sage -- I'll butcher the name of that.
 - A. The CYT?
 - Q. Yes. Did you understand that to be a

I was using the whole, then I assumed that that was not

fair use and so I found another article.

- Q. So if you were using the whole of a contribution to a handbook that was okay so long as it made the checklist, but if it was a contribution to a journal that was the whole article you drew a different distinction?
- A. Yes. My understanding from the workshop was that an edited volume is the whole, but if it's a journal it's the article that's the whole.

MS. GARY: I think the witness needs a break right now.

MR. RICH: Of course, please.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:36:15.

(Brief recess.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 10 42:51.

BY MR. RICH:

Q. What is your understanding as to where dollars would be located if you as the faculty member made a decision that an item you wanted to use in the course exceeded fair use but that it would be appropriate to pay a permission fee or a license fee to use that item from the publisher, where would the monies to do that come from as you understand it?

1	A. I don't know.
2	Q. Is that anything you've given consideration
3	to?
4	A. No.
5	Q. It's not anything you've discussed with
6	anyone?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Including Ms. Hall?
9	A. No. Where the monies would go?
10	Q. Where the monies would be found, who would
11	make the payment, where the money to make the payment
12	would come from?
13	A. Students.
14	Q. You're assuming?
15	A. Yes. Yes. Was that talked about in the
16	workshop?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. I'm not sure. Very likely.
19	Q. What's your recollection about what was said
20	about that?
21	A. I don't remember.
22	Q. Do you remember if this was part of the formal
23	presentation made by Ms. Hall or part of a Q & A?
24	A. It was talked about somewhere. I don't
25	remember where, in which context.

MR. LARSON: Let's remark them because

the previously marked version had writing 1 2 on it and checks filled out. MR. RICH: Let's mark as Plaintiffs' 100 3 4 a copy of what I believe is the fair use 5 checklist in use now and which we'll verify is the document you used. 6 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 100 7 8 was marked for identification 9 by the reporter.) 10 BY MR. RICH: 11 Do you recognize this document? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Is this the document you used to go through the process you testified to a bit earlier? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 And this is the document which -- what did you Q. 17 do, did you put Xs or checkmarks in the boxes, how did 18 you physically do this? 19 Yes, put lines through them. 20 And you did one per course reading offering, 21 correct? You filled out a checklist for each separate 22 proposed offering, correct? 23 Α. Not -- except for E-Journals that we had links 24 to. 25 But with respect, for example, to each Q. Fine.

use material for the course in your professional

24

25

judgment?

offering and it was from a contributor, a colleague of yours in the field, notwithstanding that was the entirety of the intellectual work product of that contributor it would still be by your understanding of the guidelines, as it were the policy, a small portion of the work because the work you understand is to be defined as the entirety of the compilation, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- A. Small portion, it's not significant to the whole. It's not the heart of the work is how I interpreted that.
- Q. How would you evaluate that factor in the context of a handbook of 43 or so different excerpts, would any single chapter in your estimation constitute the heart of the work?
 - A. No. No.
- Q. By definition would no contribution constitute the heart of the work?
- A. I did not understand any contribution within the handbook constituting the heart of the work, but much more illuminating the multifacets that constitute qualitative research.
- Q. Would you explain that last? I didn't understand the last part of your answer. I'm sorry.

A. To me there was no piece within the handbook or really within qualitative research that constitutes the heart. It's too multifaceted.

- Q. Would an introduction, if one were dealing with an introduction that was the form of an executive summary or an overall synopsis of the contents of a work followed by a series of discrete works, could that in your estimation ever constitute the heart of the work?
- A. I don't think so considering that the example that I have is "Singing In The Rain". So if you take 30 seconds of Gene Kelly singing "Singing In The Rain", you have constituted the heart of that work regardless of it's a very, very small portion.

I don't think qualitative research works in that same manner. You don't have that something that can grasp the concept of the whole of qualitative research.

- Q. In taking six or eight excerpts from the handbook that meet the pedagogical needs of the course, why weren't you taking the heart of that work as it relates to the pedagogical needs of your course?
- A. My understanding is if I am taking a single digit percentage then -- and there is no heart, I am neither taking more than my fair share nor am I taking the heart because there is no heart. Something

24

25

Α.

Q.

No.

different weight than any of the first three factors?

Now, in going through this process with each

of the Sage excerpts, just so I'm clear, in determining small portion used, that was done looking at each chapter excerpt as against the whole?

- A. Yes, edited, in an edited book, yes.
- Q. Yes. And so that small portion determination was made there and that as you've testified to the ultimate determination of how many chapters to use was -- am I correct that it wasn't driven by the checklist per se but by other considerations?
- A. No. I think that the checklist very much has something to do with how much I would consider using.
- Q. How did you use the checklist in making the ultimate determination of how many chapters to use from the Sage handbook?
- A. By making sure that it was a single digit percentage.
- Q. And what in the checklist dictated you doing that?
- A. But that was only one factor. I cut them down to single digit and once I had a single digit amount I went through the checklist with each article that I had on my list for possible use.
- Q. I thought earlier you testified it may have occurred the opposite way. And maybe I wasn't clear enough with my questioning.

And when you bought them, what did they

You go to the copy store and buy a coursepack.

24

25

Α.

Q.

25

the practice at GSU in seeking and obtaining copyright

Do you have an understanding with respect to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

permission when works are offered through -- for distribution to students through coursepacks?

- Α. No.
- If I were to represent to you that in each and every instance permission is sought with respect to each material contributed in coursepacks, sitting here today is there any logical basis for distinguishing that practice for affording students access to materials in physical bound coursepack form versus offering potentially the same materials through the EReserve system without securing copyright permission?
 - Are you asking if I would consider that? Α.
- Q. I'm asking whether sitting here today you can draw any logical conclusion as an educator and as someone who puts together and offers course materials for that distinction in the practice at GSU?
 - Α. No.
 - Does it seem logical to you? **Q**.
 - A. No.
- If it were the case that materials were offered to students in coursepacks and license income were flowing to publishers and the only reason that practice and that income stream stopped was because of the migration of those materials to EReserves, would you believe that to be a fair and appropriate outcome?

Q. And have you ever -- have you ever expressed

When do you expect in the normal course of

25

Q.

Q.	Coursing	the rest	of this	list do	you see an	uХ
other wo	rks subjec	t to the	two fac	tors you	identifie	d,
namely p	ercentage	of the w	hole and	whether	there were	ə
links to	the elect	ronic jo	urnal da	tabase th	nat you wo	uld
either t	ake out or	modify	as to			

- A. Well, I would do that first. And then after doing that everything that was left that I wanted to keep I would go through the fair use list, checklist with. But there's no reason to do the checklist if it's out of the game from the start.
- Q. Right. Henceforth, do you anticipate in adopting the checklist investigating the availability of licenses or permissions to use any of the works that you would propose to offer by EReserves?
- A. I believe at this moment what I would do is contact legal affairs and talk to them about if it fails the checklist, where does that weigh in, what do I need to do.
- Q. Now, did you understand the single digit guidance from Ms. Hall to be a hard practice limitation?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What's the fairest way to characterize that?
- A. My understanding from her discussion was that it has not been directly stated by law what the percentage is that constitutes a small portion. And

that there was this 20 percent floating around but that perhaps to be really safe to use single digits. But that was only her judgment and not hard and fast law.

- Q. Did you develop any understanding that if even taking account of that advice you were to determine that it was pedagogically desirable to offer in toto some double digit percentage from, say, the Sage handbook, whether that would create some red flag or trigger some review mechanism where someone else would say, well, let's take another look at it?
- A. I do not know if -- I knew it was supposed to be a red flag for me and I needed to change that. I don't know what the external mechanisms for control or if there are.
- Q. In this session with Ms. Hall, did she indicate to you that your preexisting practice of taking the quantity of excerpts from the Sage handbook which you did was a dangerous or unlawful or unwise practice to continue?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you discuss the specifics of the excerpting practices from the Sage handbook?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge of what percent of GSU faculty have signed up for or have actually taken

1	MR. RICH: Next is Plaintiffs' 104,
2	which is the summer 2007 syllabus for the
3	8510 course.
4	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 104
5	was marked for identification
6	by the reporter.)
7	BY MR. RICH:
8	Q. And I'll ask you to identify this document.
9	A. EPRS 8510 syllabus for summer 2007.
10	Q. And am I correct that the assigned readings
11	for this course, that this accurately sets forth the
12	assigned readings that were used in this course?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And again, those assigned readings to the
15	extent not required texts to be purchased were made
16	available via EReserves?
17	A. Yes.
18	MR. RICH: Plaintiffs' 105 is the fall
19	2007 syllabus for the 8500 course.
20	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 105
21	was marked for identification
22	by the reporter.)
23	BY MR. RICH:
24	Q. Can you identify this document?
25	A. Syllabus for EPRS 8500, fall 2007.

1	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 107
2	was marked for identification
3	by the reporter.)
4	THE WITNESS: This is the syllabus for
5	EPRS 8510 summer '08.
6	BY MR. RICH:
7	Q. Thank you. And does it accurately set forth
8	the assigned readings for this course?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And were those readings apart from required
11	texts offered on EReserves?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Thank you.
14	MR. RICH: Next mark as Plaintiffs' 108
15	a syllabus of one of your colleagues, Ms.
16	Fournillier, for summer 2008. This is for
17	the 8500 course.
18	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 108
19	was marked for identification
20	by the reporter.)
21	BY MR. RICH:
22	Q. Have you ever seen this document?
23	A. No.
24	Q. How were these documents physically prepared?
25	A. How did she prepare hers, I don't know.

And I believe this is the one you said you revised for

Α.

Yes.

1	Q. Does that make any sense to you?
2	A. Under this, yes.
3	Q. By this you're referring to the
4	A. The checklist for fair use.
5	MR. RICH: I have no further questions.
6	MS. GARY: That's all for me.
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
8	deposition. We're off the record at
9	11:52:29
10	(Deposition concluded at 11:52 a.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	
3	
4	I, the undersigned, JODI KAUFMANN, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing deposition and
5	that, to the best of my knowledge, said deposition is true and accurate (with the exception of the following corrections listed below).
6	Collections listed below).
7	
8	PAGE / LINE CORRECTION
9	/
10	/
11	/
12	/
13	/
14	/
15	/
16	/
17	/
18	/
19	/
20	
21	
22	NOTARY PUBLIC SIGNATURE
23	DATE
	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

3 GEORGIA:

4 | FULTON COUNTY:

I hereby certify that the foregoing deposition was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and the answers thereto were reduced to printing under my direction; that the preceding pages represent a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability, of the evidence given by said witness upon said hearing. And I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties to the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.

This, the 12th day of May, 2009.

Teresa Bishop, RPR, RMR CCR No. B-307 My commission expires 11-21-11.

SHUGART & BISHOP

1	DISCLOSURE
2	
3	STATE OF GEORGIA
4	COUNTY OF DEKALB
5	Deposition of Jodi Kaufmann
6	Pursuant to Article 10.B of the Rules and Regulations of
7	the Board of court Reporting of the Judicial Council of Georgia, I make the following disclosure:
8	I am a Georgia Certified Court Reporter. I am here as a
9	representative of Shugart & Bishop.
10	I am not disqualified for a relationship of interest under the provisions of O.C.G.A. 9-11-28.
11	Shugart & Bishop was contacted by the offices of Weil
12	Gotshal & Manges to provide court reporting services for this deposition.
13	Chumnt f Dichen will mak be table this democities
14	Shugart & Bishop will not be taking this deposition under any contract that is prohibited by O.C.G.A. 15-14-37 (a) and (b).
15	
16	Shugart & Bishop has no exclusive contract to provide reporting services with any party to the case, any counsel
17	in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency from whom a referral might have been made to cover this deposition.
18	Shugart & Bishop will charge its usual and customary rates to all parties in the case, and a financial discount
19	will not be given to any party to this litigation.
20	
21	Teresa Bishop
22	RPR, RMR, CCR B-307
23	
24	
25	