1 2 3 4 5 6	Dale M. Schwartz Dale M. Schwartz & Associates St. 450 RiverEdge One 5500 Interstate North Parkway, NW Atlanta, GA 30328 Telephone: (770) 951-1100 Facsimile: (770) 951-1113 dale@immlawfirm.com Of Counsel: Anti-Defamation League		
7 8 9	605 Third Avenue New York, NY 10158-3560 Telephone: (212) 885-7700 Facsimile: (212) 885-5882		
10	Steven M. Freeman		
10	sfreeman@adl.org Steven C. Sheinberg ssheinberg@adl.org		
11	ssheinberg@adl.org Deborah Bensinger dbensinger@adl.org		
13	<u>dbensinger@adl.org</u> David L. Barkey <u>dbarkey@adl.org</u>		
14			
15	Attorneys for Anti-Defamation League		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA		
	ATLANTA DIVISION		
18 19	Georgia Latino Alliance for Human) <u>1:11-cv-01804-TWT</u>		
20	 BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE Plaintiffs, THE ANTI-DEFAMATION 		
21	vs. () LEAGUE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR		
22	Deal, <u>et al.</u> ,) PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION		
23	Defendants.		
24	Preliminary Statement		
25	If well-ordered liberty means anything, it must mean that all persons should		
26	be afforded access to police protection if they become victims of hate crimes.		
27	Georgia House Bill 87, as amended ("HB 87"), impedes that access for <i>all</i>		
28	Latinos – United States citizens, lawful residents and undocumented immigrants		

alike. HB 87 poses a substantial threat of deterring Latinos from reporting crimes 1 or serving as witnesses in criminal investigations by creating an environment of 2 hostility and fear that threatens the existence of any cooperative relationship 3 between law enforcement and the Latino community. This *amicus* brief provides 4 additional context and information about a particular and devastating consequence 5 of the rupture in police-community trust that HB 87 will inevitably cause – the 6 creation of an underclass uniquely vulnerable to increased hate crimes and 7 violence. 8

As shown below, unless its enforcement is enjoined, HB 87 is substantially 9 likely to cause irreparable harm by driving a wedge between law enforcement and 10 communities whom they are entrusted to protect. That breach in trust will render 11 the State's Latino community uniquely vulnerable – an outcome fundamentally at 12 odds with strong public policies embodied in federal and state law. Granting the 13 preliminary injunctive relief that Plaintiffs seek will avert irreparable harm that 14 HB 87 will otherwise inflict on policing in Georgia, and will advance the vital 15 public interest in ensuring that federal anti-hate crimes statutes are enforced to the 16 fullest extent of the law. See Winter v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc., 129 S. Ct. 17 365, 376 (2008). 18

- 19
- 20

21

Argument

I. Federal Law Expressly Prohibits the Commission of Hate Crimes Against People of Color, Including Latinos.

The Federal Hate Crimes Prevention Act of 2009 provides strong prohibitions against the commission of hate crimes, imposing harsher sentences on those who commit unlawful acts against another, where the motivation in selecting the victim was based on the person's actual or perceived race, color or national origin. 18 U.S.C. § 249. The act gives the United States Department of Justice the power to investigate and prosecute violent crimes fitting these criteria as violations of federal law in order to serve the Federal interest in eradicating

- 2 -

bias-motivated violence, protecting the public interest, and securing substantial justice, and evinces a strong federal policy against the commission of hate crimes. 18 U.S.C. § 249.

The issue of hate crimes in our nation is very real. According to statistics 4 gathered by the Federal Bureau of Investigation documenting hate crimes through 5 2009, hate crimes were at their highest level since 2001 in 2008. In 2009 we saw a 6 slight decrease in incidents of hate crimes reported, with 6,604 reported 7 nationwide.¹ Of those, 483 were motivated by the actual or perceived Hispanic 8 Identity of the victim.² While this is a decline from the 2008 number, it is an 9 increase in the percentage of reported hate crimes attributed to motivation based 10 on perceived Hispanic heritage.³ Last year, we saw the discriminatory impact of 11 similar legislation when SB-1070 was passed in Arizona. The effect of that bill 12 was to drive a wedge between local law enforcement agencies and the 13 communities they were entrusted to protect; a study of Latino registered voters in 14 the state, commissioned by the national Council of La Raza, 85% expressed fear 15 that they would be racially profiled under the law, and 47% stated that the passage 16 of the law would make them less likely to report a crime to law enforcement 17 officials. This likely explains why the number of incidents of hate crimes reported 18 in Arizona increased based on sexual orientation, religion, and race, while the 19 number of ethnicity based crimes reported decreased.⁴ Validating such a 20connection, in April of 2011, nearly a year following the passage of SB 1070, 21 Tucson police chief Roberto Villasenor was quoted as saying that, even despite 22 it's subsequent invalidation, SB 1070 "damages [law enforcement's] capability to 23

24

1

2

3

 $26 \int_{-2}^{2} \mathrm{Id.}$

 ¹ "Hate Crime Statistics: Incidents, Victims and Known Offenders by Bias Motivation, 2009" available at http://www2.fbi.gov/ucr/hc2009/data/table_01.html (last visited June 10, 2011)

 ³ "Hate Crime Statistics: Incidents, Victims and Known Offenders by Bias Motivation, 2008" available at http://www2.fbi.gov/ucr/hc2008/data/table_01.html (last visited June 10, 2011)

 ⁴ "Hate Crime Statistics: Incidents, Victims and Known Offenders by Bias Motivation, 2009 - Arizona" available
 at <u>http://www2.fbi.gov/ucr/hc2009/data/table_13az.html</u> (last visited June 10, 2011)

obtain information to solve the crimes," indicating his belief that reporting is substantially affected by the passage of that and similar laws. ⁵

Sadly, Georgia is one of the five states in the U.S. that has not enacted any hate crime legislation, and so statistics are not available to accurately indicate the frequency of crimes motivated by racial or ethnic characteristics of the victims as would be reported if such laws were in place.⁶ However, similar fears are invoked by HB 87 as were in Arizona by SB 1070, and it seems inevitable that the law will have a similar result on reporting of criminal activity by Latino immigrants, thus impeding the ability of law enforcement to serve their communities to the fullest extent.

II. HB 87 Will Undermine the Latino Community's Trust in Law Enforcement, Eviscerating the Police's Ability to Enforce Federal and State Anti-Hate Crimes Laws.

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

A. Police Cannot Enforce the Law If Victims and Witnesses Are Unwilling to Come Forward.

14 The Police Foundation, the International Association of Chiefs of Police 15 and the Major Chiefs Association have all expressed grave concerns that 16 deputizing local law enforcement officers to enforce immigration law undermines 17 the trust and cooperation of immigrant communities.⁷ For example, a 2009 report 18 by the Police Foundation states that "[i]mmigration enforcement by local police 19 undermines their core public safety mission, diverts scarce resources, increases 20their exposure to liability and litigation, and exacerbates fear in communities 21 already distrustful of police."8 According to Police Foundation President Hubert 22 Williams:

23

^{24 &}lt;sup>5</sup> "The Unhappy Anniversary of Arizona's Immigration Law" available at <u>http://www.forbes.com/2011/04/26/immigration-law-anniversary.html</u> (last visited June 10, 2011)

 ⁶ "Hate Crime Report Card" available at <u>http://www.humanrightsfirst.org/our-work/fighting-discrimination/hate-crime-report-card/hate-crime-report-card-the-united-states/</u> (last visited June 10, 2011)

 ⁷ See, e.g., Kozinets Decl. Ex. F, Arizona Association of Chiefs of Police Statement on Senate Bill 1070, available at http://www.leei.us/main/media/AACOP_STATEMENT_ON_SENATE_BILL_1070.pdf (last visited June 21, 2010).

²⁸ Kozinets Decl. Ex. G, http://www.policefoundation.org/pdf/strikingRelease.pdf (last visited June 21, 2010).

1 2 3 4	Police executives have felt torn between a desire to be helpful and cooperative with federal immigration authorities and a concern that their participation in immigration enforcement efforts will undo the gains they have achieved through community-oriented policing practices directed at gaining the trust and cooperation of immigrant communities. As one police chief pointed out during the project, "How do you police
5	a community that will not talk to you?"9
6	The Major Cities Chiefs Association agrees. According to its 2006 Position
7	Statement:
8	Local agencies have worked very hard to build trust and
9	a spirit of cooperation with immigrant groups through community based policing and outreach programs and
10	Local agencies have a clear need to foster trust and
10	cooperation with everyone in these immigrant communities. Assistance and cooperation from
11	immigrant communities is especially important when an immigrant, whether documented or undocumented, is
	the victim of or witness to a crime. These persons must
13	be encouraged to file reports and come forward with information. Their cooperation is needed to prevent and solve crimes and maintain public order, safety, and
14	security in the whole community
15	Immigration enforcement by local police would likely negatively effect and undermine the level of trust and
16	cooperation between local police and immigrant communities Undoubtedly legal immigrants would
17	avoid contact with the police for fear that they
18	themselves or undocumented family members or friends may become subject to immigration enforcement. ¹⁰
19	As was the case during the Arizona hearings regarding Senate Bill 1070,
20	the fear that granting law enforcement broad discretion to investigate and report
21	citizenship status of any state resident will deter criminal reporting and endanger
22	the Latino community is very real in Georgia. It is imperative to the safety of the
23	community that these lines of communication be kept open, and considered to be
24	community that these mes of communication be kept open, and considered to be
25	
26	⁹ Id.
27	¹⁰ Kozinets Decl. Ex. H, Major Cities Chiefs Immigration Committee Recommendations, June 2006, available at
28	http://www.majorcitieschiefs.org/pdfpublic/MCC Position Statement REVISED CEF 2009.pdf (emphasis

added) (last visited June 21, 2010).

a measure of security, rather than risk, by those who could provide information
 regarding hate- and other bias-based crimes.

3

27

B. HB 87 Will Prevent Effective Protection from Hate Crimes

Unless its enforcement is enjoined, HB 87 will create an underclass of 4 people who have no meaningful access to police services out of fear that their 5 perceived immigration status - whether relevant or not - will subject them to 6 heightened law enforcement scrutiny whenever they come into contact with 7 In its aim to deal with the issue of undocumented person living in 8 police. Georgia, HB 87 grants broad discretion to law enforcement officers, authorizing 9 them to investigate the immigration status of any individual who they have 10"probable cause" to suspect to have committed any criminal violation. Such 11 discretion serves to create a fear of racial profiling and detention without just 12 cause by Latinos, whether they are legal or illegal immigrants or U.S. citizens. As 13 a consequence, Latinos will be deterred from reporting or serving as witnesses 14 regarding a range of criminal activities in the community, including hate crimes. 15 16

ADL knows from long experience advocating for and training law 17 enforcement on the implementation of hate crime laws that close cooperation 18 between local law enforcement and minority communities is essential.¹¹ If crime 19 and immigration enforcement become intertwined, police may find "out of status" 2021 persons, or persons with non-citizen family members, hesitant to seek protection, to report crimes committed against them or to serve as witnesses in other crimes – 22 compromising the police's ability to keep the community safe. See Part II.A, 23 supra. 24

25 Moreover, when a bias-motivated crime is committed, the victim's entire 26 community may be left feeling victimized, vulnerable, fearful, isolated and

 ¹¹ See Motion for Leave to File Brief of Amicus Curiae Anti-Defamation League in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, at 1-3; Kozinets Decl. Exs. K-M.

unprotected by the law. The impact of the crime spreads far beyond the already 1 terrible consequences for the individual victim. Yet hate crimes will go 2 unreported or under-reported if HB 87 is permitted take effect, because victims 3 and witnesses will hesitate to contact law enforcement if doing so will subject 4 them heightened law enforcement scrutiny and possible detention, arrest or 5 deportation. The "Illegal Immigration Reform and Enforcement Act of 2011" 6 will endanger the Latino community in Georgia by creating an atmosphere of fear 7 and distrust, and will serve to cause more harm than it will prevent. 8

9 10

11

III. Victims, Their Communities and the Public Will Be Irreparably Harmed by the Under-Reporting of Hate Crimes that Will Inevitably Result from HB 87, and the Public Interest Strongly Supports Enjoining the Statute's Enforcement

ADL has monitored and exposed the increasingly hateful anti-immigrant, 12 anti-Latino and anti-Mexican rhetoric that has surrounded the national debate on 13 immigration reform.¹² HB 87 was passed against this backdrop of anger and 14 frustration in Georgia. The bill's principal proponent, Governor Nathan Deal, has 15 voted to strengthen restrictive measures on immigrants in every issue up for 16 decision during his term, including voting against a measure that would have 17 allowed immigrants an additional four months to pursue legal residency.¹³ This 18 bill would further the restrictive, anti-immigrant sentiment felt by the Latino 19 community, and place greater stress on their relationship with local government. 20

In this climate, it is critically important that law enforcement be fully able to police the laws against the commission of hate crimes directed at the Latino community. Yet, HB 87 grants law enforcement officers considerable discretion to pull over any person for "probable cause" and investigate the citizenship status of them and all accompanying persons, and makes it a crime to be found

26

 ¹² Kozinets Decl. Ex. N, ADL Report: "Immigrants Targeted: Extremist Rhetoric Moves into the Mainstream," available at http://www.adl.org/civil_rights/anti_immigrant/ (last visited June 21, 2010).

 ¹³ "Nathan Deal on Immigration" available at
 <u>http://www.ontheissues.org/Governor/Nathan Deal Immigration.htm</u> (last visited June 10, 2011).

transporting any undocumented immigrant. Many legal residents have relatives or 1 friends who are undocumented, and fear that they will be in danger of being taken 2 into custody by officers because they themselves appear to be "illegal aliens." 3 This can only act to deter those who fear such interactions from reporting crime 4 related information, or victimization by another member of the community. By 5 putting police and large segments of the community potentially at odds with one 6 another, HB 87 is likely to create a large population that lacks access to the type 7 of basic police services that the rest of the community takes for granted. This lack 8 of protection - combined with the atmosphere of hateful rhetoric that has marked 9 much of the immigration debate – will create a law enforcement underclass that is 10vulnerable to the commission of bias-motivated violence and crime. Such a result 11 risks institutionalizing precisely the kinds of harms that the anti-hate crimes laws 12 were designed to prevent. It is contrary to the strong public policies against hate 13 crime embodied in federal law, and is inimical to the public's interest in 14 15 advancing public safety and security. Conclusion 16 For the foregoing reasons, HB 87 will inflict irreparable harm if its 17 enforcement is not enjoined, and the public interest strongly supports entry of a 18 preliminary injunction. 19 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 14th day of June 2011. 2021 22 /S/ 23 24 Dale M. Schwartz Dale M. Schwartz & Associates 25 St. 450 RiverEdge One 5500 Interstate North Parkway, NW 26 Atlanta, GA 30328 GA BAR# 631000 27 28

Steven M. Freeman Steven C. Sheinberg
Steven M. Freeman Steven C. Sheinberg Deborah Bensinger Anti-Defamation League 605 Third Avenue New York, NY 10158-3560
New York, NY 10158-3560
Attorneys for Anti-Defamation League

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	
3	I hereby certify that on June 14, 2011, I caused the attached document to be
4	electronically transmitted to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for
5	filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF
6	Registrants:
7	Samuel S. Olens
8	Kathleen Pacious
9	Devon Orland
10	Andrew H. Turner
11	Chara Fisher Jackson
12	Charles H. Kuck
13	Daniel Werner
14	Danielle M. Conley
15	Georgia Brian Spears
16	Mary C. Bauer
17	Michelle R. Lapointe
18	Naomi Ruth Tsu
19	Robert Keegan Federal, Jr.
20	Samuel Brooke
21	
22	I further certify that I have served, by U.S. mail, first class postage prepaid,
23	the following non-CM/ECF participants:
24	Andre I. Segura
25	American Civil Liberties Union Foundation-NY 125 Broad Street
26	18th Floor New York, NY 10004
27	
28	

1 2 3	Azadeh N. Shahshahani ACLU of Georgia Building 400, Suite 425 1900 The Exchange, SE Atlanta, GA 30339
4 5	Cecillia D. Wang ACLU Immigrant's Rights Project 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111
6 7 8	Elora Mukherjee ACLU Racial Justice Program 18th Floor 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004
9 10 11	Jonathan Blazer National Immigration Law Center Suite 1400 405 14th Street Oakland, CA 94612
12 13 14	Karen C. Tumlin National Immigration Law Center Suite 2850 3435 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angles, CA 90010
15 16 17	Katherine Desormeau ACLU Immigrant's Rights Project 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111
18 19	Linton Joaquin National Immigration Law Center Suite 2850 3435 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angles, CA 90010
20212223	Melissa S. Keaney National Immigration Law Center Suite 2850 3435 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angles, CA 90010
23 24 25 26	Nora Preciado National Immigration Law Center Suite 2850 3435 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angles, CA 90010
20 27	
28	

1		
2	Omar C. Jadwat American Civil Liberties Union Foun	dation-NY
3	125 Broad Street 18th Floor	
4	New York, NY 10004	
5	Sin Yen Ling Asian Law Caucus	
6	Asian Law Caucus 55 Columbus Avenue San Francisco, CA 94111	
7		
8 9	Tanya Broder National Immigration Law Center Suite 1400 405 14th Street	
10	Oakland, CA 94612	
10		
12	This the 14 th day of June, 2011	
13		
14		
15		/S/
1.2		
		DALE M. SCHWARTZ
16		DALE M. SCHWARTZ Attorney for Anti-Defamation League
16 17		
16 17 18	Suite 450 RiverEdge One	
16 17 18 19	Suite 450 RiverEdge One 5500 Interstate North Parkway, NW	
16 17 18 19 20	5500 Interstate North Parkway, NW Atlanta, GA 30328	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	5500 Interstate North Parkway, NWAtlanta, GA 30328(770) 951-1100 (Telephone)(770) 951-1113 (Facsimile)	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	5500 Interstate North Parkway, NWAtlanta, GA 30328(770) 951-1100 (Telephone)	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	5500 Interstate North Parkway, NWAtlanta, GA 30328(770) 951-1100 (Telephone)(770) 951-1113 (Facsimile)	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	5500 Interstate North Parkway, NWAtlanta, GA 30328(770) 951-1100 (Telephone)(770) 951-1113 (Facsimile)	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	5500 Interstate North Parkway, NWAtlanta, GA 30328(770) 951-1100 (Telephone)(770) 951-1113 (Facsimile)	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	5500 Interstate North Parkway, NWAtlanta, GA 30328(770) 951-1100 (Telephone)(770) 951-1113 (Facsimile)	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE
21	
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(D), I hereby certify that the foregoing has been
23	prepared in compliance with Local Rule 5.1(B) in 14-point New Times Roman
24	typeface.
25	the second s
26	This the 14 th day of June, 2011.
27	
28	

1		/S/
2		DALE M. SCHWARTZ
3		Attorney for Anti-Defamation League
4		
5		
6		
7	Suite 450 RiverEdge One 5500 Interstate North Parkway, NW	
8	Atlanta, GA 30328	
9	(770) 951-1100 (Telephone) (770) 951-1113 (Facsimile)	
10	Email: dale@immlawfirm.com	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 10		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		