

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

MARY GODWIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

1:12-cv-3752-WSD

**WELLSTAR HEALTH SYSTEMS,
INC.,**

Defendant.

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the parties' deposition designations and objections to deposition designations [80], [83] as to the deposition testimony of Kenneth Raymond Tift. Having considered the parties' designations and objections, the Court rules as follows:

Deposition Pages and Line Numbers	Ground for Objection	Ruling
54:10-56:9	Evidence excluded by Order on Motion <i>in Limine</i> [76] ("Order")	Objection sustained for reasons stated in the Order. Insufficient foundation to authenticate.
62:20-64:10	Evidence excluded by Order on Motion <i>in Limine</i>	Objection sustained for reasons stated in the Order.
68:15-69:1	Evidence excluded by Order on Motion <i>in Limine</i>	Objection sustained for reasons stated in the Order.


69:8-22	Evidence excluded by Order on Motion <i>in Limine</i>	Objection sustained for reasons stated in the Order.
71:9-74:3	Evidence excluded by Order on Motion <i>in Limine</i>	Objection sustained for reasons stated in the Order.
74:8-75:8	Evidence excluded by Order on Motion <i>in Limine</i>	Objection sustained for reasons stated in the Order.
83:6-85:6	Evidence excluded by Order on Motion <i>in Limine</i>	Objection sustained for reasons stated in the Order.
86:14-88:16	Evidence excluded by Order on Motion <i>in Limine</i>	Objection sustained for reasons stated in the Order.
20:9-25	Fed. R. Evid. 403	Objection overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
21:1-4	Fed. R. Evid. 403, 801, 802	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
26:12-25	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403, 602, calls for speculation	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
27:1-24	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403, 602, calls for speculation	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defense asserted.
44:22-25	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections sustained. Testimony is not relevant and would be confusing and misleading to the jury.
45:1-2, 15-23	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections sustained. Testimony is not relevant and would be confusing and misleading to the jury.
47:10-14	Fed. R. Evid. 801, 802	Objections sustained. Testimony is not relevant.

47:15-22	Fed. R. Evid. 801, 802	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to Plaintiff's job performance requirements and Plaintiff's credibility.
47:23-25	Fed. R. Evid. 801, 802	Objections sustained. Testimony is ambiguous and not relevant.
48:1-2	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections sustained. Testimony is ambiguous and not relevant.
57:3-24	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defense asserted.
62:1-10	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
64:12-21	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403, 602, calls for speculation	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
89:18-22	Fed. R. Evid. 602, calls for speculation	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
90:2-11, 20-25	Fed. R. Evid. 602, calls for speculation	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
91:1-6	Fed. R. Evid. 602, calls for speculation	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.

96:24-25	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections overruled if Defendant introduces lines that appear before the testimony designated on this page.
97:1-11	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections overruled if Defendant introduces testimony that appears before the testimony designated on this page.
98:15-21	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections overruled if Defendant introduces testimony on page 96.
101:16-23	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
104:23-25	Fed. R. Evid. 801, 802	Objections sustained. Testimony is ambiguous and speculative.
105:1-5	Fed. R. Evid. 801, 802	Objections sustained. Testimony is ambiguous and speculative.
105:13-25	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403, 602, calls for speculation	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
106:1-9	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403, 602, calls for speculation	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
115:13-20	Fed. R. Evid. 602, calls for speculation	Objections sustained. Testimony is ambiguous and speculative.

142:12-22	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims and defenses asserted.
157:19-25	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403, 403, calls for speculation	Objections overruled. Testimony is relevant to claims, defenses, and circumstances of resignation.

SO ORDERED this 23rd day of February, 2016.



WILLIAM S. DUFFEY, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE