Annamalai v. Harman Doc. 39

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

ANNAMALAI ANNAMALAI,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 1:17-CV-4837-TWT

DARRIN HARMAN WARDEN,

Respondent.

ORDER

This is a pro se habeas corpus action. It is before the Court on the Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time to File Objections [Doc. 19]. The Petitioner has filed his Objections to the Report and Recommendation. Therefore, the Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time to File Objections [Doc. 19] is DENIED as moot. The Objections are totally without merit. The Magistrate Judge was not required to consider the First Amended Petition which was filed after she issued her Report and Recommendation. The Petitioner's Verified Second Brief is a lot of nonsense. Given the Petitioner's long history of frivolous litigation, the Magistrate Judge was not required to waste her time and my time by responding to every absurd and ridiculous argument made by the Petitioner. She did, however, address them in her Supplement

to Final Report and Recommendation [Doc. 17]. The Court approves and adopts the

Report and Recommendation as the judgment of the Court. This action is

DISMISSED. The Petitioner's Motion to Not Transfer [Doc. 24] is DENIED. The

Petitioner's Motion to Not Transfer [Doc. 26] is DENIED. The Petitioner's Motion

for Specific Relief [Doc. 27] is DENIED. The Emergency Motion for Petitioner's

Release [Doc. 31] is DENIED. The Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis [Doc. 35]

is DENIED. The appeal is frivolous. The Clerk is directed to file any papers received

from the Petitioner. However, no papers are to be docketed as motions requiring

action by the Court unless the Clerk receives my express consent.

SO ORDERED, this 22 day of January, 2018.

/s/Thomas W. Thrash

THOMAS W. THRASH, JR.

United States District Judge