## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

**AUGUSTA DIVISION** 

JONATHAN CORNELIUS GLENN,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
<b>v.</b>	• )	CV 111-075
RONALD STRENGTH, Sheriff, et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	
	ORDER	

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, to which no objections have been filed. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court. Therefore, Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED** for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and this case is **CLOSED**.

SO ORDERED this \_/6 day of December\_2011, at Augusta Georgia.

HONORABLE J. RANDAL HALL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

As noted in the Report and Recommendation, although Plaintiff has filed a pending interlocutory appeal regarding the denial of his request for a preliminary injunction (doc. no. 22), the Court retains jurisdiction to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint. See, e.g., State of Alabama v. Envtl. Prot. Agency, 871 F.2d 1548, 1553-54 (11th Cir. 1989) (holding district court retained jurisdiction to grant summary judgment and to dismiss suit despite pending interlocutory appeal of district court's issuance of preliminary injunction) (citing United States v. White, 846 F.2d 678, 693 n.23 (11th Cir. 1988) (explaining that pending interlocutory appeal did not divest district court of jurisdiction over motion to dismiss indictment)); Hamer v. Campbell 358 F.2d 215, 223 (5th Cir. 1966) (holding that denial of preliminary injunction and filing of interlocutory appeal did not divest district court of jurisdiction over supplemental complaint) (quoting United States v. Lynd, 321 F.3d 26, 28 (5th Cir. 1963) ("[A]n appeal from the denial or granting of a preliminary injunction should not ordinarily delay the final trial of the case on its merits.")).