

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

AUGUSTA DIVISION

WILLIE TERRELL, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 v. ) CV 112-034  
 )  
 BRIAN OWENS, Commissioner, et al., )  
 )  
 Defendants. )


---

**ORDER**

---

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, to which no objections have been filed.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court. Therefore, Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **DENIED** (doc. no. 2), and this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. If Plaintiff wishes to proceed with this civil action, he must submit a new complaint, along with the full filing fee. Dupree v. Palmer, 284 F.3d 1234, 1236 (11th Cir. 2002) (*per curiam*).

SO ORDERED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2012, at Augusta, Georgia.

  
HONORABLE J. RANDAL HALL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

---

<sup>1</sup>Following issuance of the R&R, Plaintiff requested, and was granted, an extension of time in which to file objections. (Doc. no. 6.) Rather than submit objections within the extended time limit, Plaintiff filed a motion for an additional extension, which the Court denied. (Doc. nos. 7, 8.)