

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

AUGUSTA DIVISION

JAMES JACKSON CARSWELL,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	CV 112-076
)	
DONALD BARROW, Warden,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER


After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, to which no objections have been filed. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court. Therefore, the Motion for Additional Supporting Evidence is **DENIED** (doc. no. 14), and the petition filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is **DENIED**.

Furthermore, a prisoner seeking relief under § 2254 must obtain a certificate of appealability ("COA") before appealing the denial of his application for a writ of habeas corpus. This Court "must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the applicant." Rule 11(a) to the Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings. This Court should grant a COA only if the prisoner makes a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). For the reasons set forth in the Report and Recommendation, and in consideration of the standards enunciated

in Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 482-84 (2000), Petitioner has failed to make the requisite showing. Accordingly, a COA is **DENIED** in this case.¹ Moreover, because there are no non-frivolous issues to raise on appeal, an appeal would not be taken in good faith. Accordingly, Petitioner is not entitled to appeal *in forma pauperis*. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

Upon the foregoing, this civil action is **CLOSED**, and a final judgment shall be **ENTERED** in favor of Respondent.²

SO ORDERED this 11th day of September, 2013, at Augusta, Georgia.



HONORABLE J. RANDAL HALL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

¹“If the court denies a certificate, a party may not appeal the denial but may seek a certificate from the court of appeals under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22.” Rule 11(a) to the Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings.

²Because the docket reflects that Petitioner has been recently confined at Washington State Prison (“WSP”) and at Augusta State Medical Prison (“ASMP”), the **CLERK** is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order and resultant judgment to Petitioner at both WSP and ASMP.