

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

AUGUSTA DIVISION

MELVIN MARIGNE,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) CV 114-123
)
 THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY)
 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF)
 GEORGIA,)
)
 Defendant.)

ORDER

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, to which objections have been filed. (Doc. nos. 10, 11.) Plaintiff does not offer any new information, evidence, or argument that warrants a deviation from the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. In addition to the reasons for dismissal stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court notes that the United States Attorney is not a proper defendant for a medical malpractice claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as its opinion, **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim, and **CLOSES** this civil action.

SO ORDERED this 14th day of July, 2014, at Augusta, Georgia.


HONORABLE J. RANDAL HALL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA