IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2023 MAY 24 A

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

IN RE:

Application for Exemption from the Electronic Public Access Fees by

OLUWASEUN CARDOSO

STANDING ORDER MC 120- MC 1 2 3 - 0

This matter is before the Court upon the application and request by OLUWASEUN CARDOSO for exemption from the fees imposed by the Electronic Public Access fee schedule adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States Courts.

The Court finds that OLUWASEUN CARDOSO as an individual researcher associated with an educational institution falls within the class of users listed in the fee schedule as being eligible for a fee exemption. Additionally, OLUWASEUN CARDOSO has demonstrated that an exemption is necessary in order to avoid unreasonable burdens and to promote public access to information. Accordingly, OLUWASEUN CARDOSO shall be exempt from the payment of fees for access via PACER to the electronic case files maintained in this court, to the extent such use is incurred for research related to training a machine to simulate a judge's decision using artificial intelligence on civil case dockets.

OLUWASEUN CARDOSO shall not be exempt from the payment of fees incurred in connection with other uses of the PACER system in this court. Additionally, the following limitations apply:

- 1. This fee exemption applies only to OLUWASEUN CARDOSO and is valid only for the purposes stated above;
- 2. This fee exemption applies only to the electronic case files of this court that are available through the PACER system;
- 3. By accepting this exemption, OLUWASEUN CARDOSO agrees not to sell for profit any data obtained as a result of receiving this exemption;
- 4. OLUWASEUN CARDOSO is prohibited from transferring any data obtained as a result of receiving this exemption, including redistribution via internet-based databases; and
- 5. This exemption is valid until August 18, 2023.

This exemption may be revoked at the discretion of the Court at any time. A copy of this Order shall be sent to the PACER Service Center.

Dated this 24th day of Mal

Chief United States District Judge

Application for Multi-Court Exemption from the Judicial Conference's Electronic Public Access (EPA) Fees

1.) I am requesting an exemption from fees for public access to electronic case records for the courts selected below:

Accica below.			
Courts of Appeal		Bankruptcy Appellate Par	iels (BAP)
All Courts of Appeal	Seventh Circuit	First Circuit - BAP	
First Circuit	Eighth Circuit	Sixth Circuit - BAP	
Second Circuit	☐ Ninth Circuit	☐ Eighth Circuit - BAP	
Third Circuit	☐ Tenth Circuit	☐ Ninth Circuit - BAP	
Fourth Circuit	☐ Eleventh Circuit	☐ Tenth Circuit - BAP	
☐ Fifth Circuit	D.C. Circuit		
Sixth Circuit	Federal Circuit		
District Courts			
Alabama Middle	Illinois Northern	Nebraska	Rhode Island
Alabama Northern	Illinois Central	☐ Nevada	South Carolina
Alabama Southern	☐ Illinois Southern	New Hampshire	South Dakota
☐ Alaska	☐ Indiana Northern	New Jersey	☐ Tennessee Eastern
Arizona	Indiana Southern	New Mexico	Tennessee Middle
Arkansas Eastern	Iowa Northern	New York Eastern	Tennessee Western
Arkansas Western	Iowa Southern	New York Northern	Texas Eastern
California Central	☐ Kansas	New York Southern	☐ Texas Northern
California Eastern	Kentucky Eastern	New York Western	☐ Texas Southern
California Northern	Kentucky Western	North Carolina Eastern	Texas Western
California Southern	Louisiana Eastern	☐ North Carolina Middle	Utah
Colorado	Louisiana Middle	North Carolina Western	☐ Vermont
Connecticut	Louisiana Western	North Dakota	☐ Virgin Islands
Delaware	Maine	Northern Mariana Islands	Virginia Eastern
District of Columbia	Maryland	Ohio Northern	Virginia Western
Florida Middle	Massachusetts	Ohio Southern	Washington Eastern
Florida Northern	Michigan Eastern	Oklahoma Eastern	Washington Western
Florida Southern	Michigan Western	Oklahoma Northern	West Virginia Northern
Georgia Northern	Minnesota	Oklahoma Western	West Virginia Southern
Georgia Middle	Mississippi Northern	☐ Oregon	Wisconsin Eastern
Georgia Southern	Mississippi Southern	Pennsylvania Eastern	Wisconsin Western
Guam	Missouri Eastern	Pennsylvania Middle	Wyoming Wyoming
Hawaii	Missouri Western	Pennsylvania Western	
☐ Idaho	Montana	Puerto Rico	

Bankruptcy Courts									
	All Bankruptcy Courts								
	Alabama Middle	☐ Illinois Northern	□ Nebraska	Rhode Island					
	Alabama Northern	☐ Illinois Central	☐ Nevada	South Carolina					
	Alabama Southern	☐ Illinois Southern	□ New Hampshire	South Dakota					
	Alaska	☐ Indiana Northern	☐ New Jersey	☐ Tennessee Eastern					
	Arizona	☐ Indiana Southern	☐ New Mexico	Tennessee Middle					
	Arkansas Eastern	☐ Iowa Northern	New York Eastern	☐ Tennessee Western					
	Arkansas Western	☐ Iowa Southern	New York Northern	Texas Eastern					
	California Central	Kansas	New York Southern	Texas Northern					
	California Eastern	Kentucky Eastern	New York Western	Texas Southern					
	California Northern	Kentucky Western	■ North Carolina Eastern	Texas Western					
	California Southern	Louisiana Eastern	☐ North Carolina Middle	☐ Utah					
	Colorado	Louisiana Middle	☐ North Carolina Western	☐ Vermont					
	Connecticut Louisiana Western North Dakota Virgin Islands								
	Delaware	Maine	Northern Mariana Islands						
	District of Columbia Maryland Ohio Northern Virginia Western								
	Florida Middle	Massachusetts	Ohio Southern	Washington Eastern					
	Florida Northern	Michigan Eastern	Oklahoma Eastern	Washington Western					
	Florida Southern	Michigan Western	Oklahoma Northern	West Virginia Northern					
	Georgia Northern	Minnesota	Oklahoma Western	West Virginia Southern					
	Georgia Middle	Mississippi Northern	Oregon	Wisconsin Eastern					
	Georgia Southern	Mississippi Southern	Pennsylvania Eastern	Wisconsin Western					
	Guam	Missouri Eastern	Pennsylvania Middle						
	Hawaii	Missouri Western	Pennsylvania Western						
	Idaho	☐ Montana	☐ Puerto Rico						
National Courts									
Judicial Panel on U.S. Court of U.S. Court of Multidistrict Litigation Federal Claims International Trade									
2.) I am an individual associated with University of Toronto									
3.) Please summarize why the case information from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records									
(PACER) service is needed and how it will be used. Also, please explain why an exemption from									
the courts identified is necessary. If you need more space, please provide in an attachment.									
Please check attached file									

	4.	In support	of this	application,	. I affirm	the f	ollowing
--	----	------------	---------	--------------	------------	-------	----------

- a) An exemption from the Judicial Conference's EPA Fee is necessary in order to avoid unreasonable burdens and to promote public access to information.
- b) That the exemption will be for a definitive period of time: 16 months

c) I understand that this fee exemption will apply only to me, will be valid only for the purposes stated above, and will apply only to the electronic case files of the court(s) indicated above that are available through the PACER service.

d) I agree that any data received through this exemption will not be sold for profit, will not be transferred, will not be used for commercial purposes, and will not be redistributed via the Internet.

Declaration: I declare that all the above information is true and understand that a false statement may result in termination of my exempt access and an assessment of Electronic Public Access usage fees. (The box must be marked or your request will not be considered)

(647) 835-8012 Oluwaseun Cardos Applicant's Phone Number Applicant's Printed Name oqcardos@uwaterloo.ca Applicant's email address Graduate Researcher 350 Columbia Street West Applicant's Title Applicant's Mailing Address Waterloo N2L6G8 Zip Code State City Applicant's Signature May 16, 2023

Please submit your completed, signed request via email to Multi-CourtExemptions@ao.uscourts.gov or by mail to:

Date

Attention: Multi-Court Exemptions Court Programs Division DPS-CSO-PRGD One Columbus Circle, N.E. Washington, DC 20544

^{**} Requests sent through the US mail may take up to two weeks to clear security.**

I am a research student from the University of Waterloo in Ontario, Canada. My research focuses on the intersection of AI (Artificial Intelligence) and Law. My topic of interest is using machine learning to understand and predict the outcomes of court cases and motions. In order to build a model that can accurately predict the outcome of cases and motions, we would need access to large amounts of cases. We intend to use natural language techniques to preprocess the case information into a format our machine learning models will understand. Our academic research contributions will provide enormous value to our understanding of law and cases, thus benefiting the American judicial system. The paywall that Pacer imposes is the only limitation preventing us from conducting ground-breaking research on Legal AI.

To satisfy your narrow scope constraint, below is what we require.

- We do not want case docket access to Courts of Appeal, Bankruptcy Appellate Panels, Bankruptcy, or National courts.
- We do need access to the case dockets of all District courts.
- We are interested in only civil cases. Not bankruptcy nor Criminal.
- We need access atleast one hundred thousand (100000) case dockets for our research project.

What we are primarily interested in are the motions and their associated briefs, responses, replies and orders (judge's decision). To get what we want, we need access to civil case dockets. It doesn't matter what the case is about all that we require is that it is a civil case.

At a high-level our research goal is to train a machine to be able to predict motions. In order words, we want to train a machine learning model to simulate a judge's decision. When our AI is presented with a motion, memorandum in support and in opposition to that motion, we want to ask the AI if it would deny or grant a motion.

We do not plan to redistribute the original court text. We are not picky and are not interested in a specific type of case other than the case should be a civil case.