


should file a **new** complaint naming the United States as defendant and making specific allegations of negligence based upon acts or omissions of government employees.

Plaintiff's objection concerning the viability of his Bivens claim is without merit. Plaintiff alleges Defendants have deprived him "of due process by taking and depriving Plaintiff o[f] his personal property without due process of law." (Doc. No. 8, p. 4).¹ As twice explained by the Magistrate Judge, Plaintiff has alternative means to seek redress, which precludes recover under Bivens. See Sharma v. Drug Enforcement Agency, 2013 WL 791396, *5 (11th Cir. March 4, 2013); Byrd v. Stewart, 811 F.2d 554 (11th Cir. 1987). The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is adopted as the opinion of the Court. Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED**, without prejudice. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter the appropriate judgment of dismissal.

SO ORDERED, this 7 day of October, 2013.



LISA GODBEY WOOD, CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

¹ Plaintiff intends to reassert this claim in his proposed Second Amended Complaint. See Doc. No. 12-1, p. 4.