

ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
AUGUSTA DIV  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA 2008 DEC 16 A 943  
DUBLIN DIVISION

FILED  
CLERK Y. H. W. L.  
SO. DIST. OF GA.

HENRY ALLEN SASSER, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 v. ) CV 308-048  
 )  
 JAMES DONALD, Commissioner, et al., )  
 )  
 Defendants. )

**MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**


Plaintiff Henry Allen Sasser, an inmate at Columbia Care Center (a hospital) in Columbia, South Carolina, commenced the above-captioned civil rights case *pro se* and requested permission to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). On September 15, 2008, the Court directed Plaintiff to return his Prisoner Trust Fund Account Statement and Consent to Collection of Fees forms within thirty (30) days and advised Plaintiff that all prisoners, even those proceeding IFP, must pay the filing fee of \$350.00 in full. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to respond would be an election to have this case voluntarily dismissed without prejudice. (See doc. no. 8). Plaintiff failed to respond.

On October 23, 2008, the Court granted Plaintiff ten (10) additional days to comply with the terms of the Court's September 15th Order. (See doc. no. 9). Once again, Plaintiff was warned that his failure to comply in a timely fashion with the Court's Order would result in a recommendation that his case be dismissed. The time to respond has passed, and

Plaintiff has not submitted the documents required by the Court's September 15th Order, nor has he provided the Court with any explanation why he has not complied.

Plaintiff cannot proceed IFP unless he submits the requisite Trust Fund Account Statement and consents to the collection of the entire \$350.00 filing fee in installments. Wilson v. Sargent, 313 F.3d 1315, 1319, 1321 (11th Cir. 2002) (*per curiam*) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1915). Plaintiff has been warned repeatedly that failing to return the necessary IFP papers would be an election to have his case voluntarily dismissed. As Plaintiff has neither fulfilled the requirements for proceeding IFP, nor paid the filing fee, the Court **REPORTS** and **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED**, without prejudice.

SO REPORTED and RECOMMENDED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2008, at Augusta, Georgia.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
W. LEON BARFIELD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE