

ORIGINAL

FILED  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
AUGUSTA DIV.

2013 JUL 10 AM 11:13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

CLERK C Adams  
SO. DIST. OF GA.


DUBLIN DIVISION

RAFAEL FULGENCIO,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CV 312-039
	)	
WALT WELLS, Warden, CI McRae, et al.,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	

**ORDER**

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, to which objections have been filed (doc. no. 19).<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court. Therefore, Respondents Eichenlaub and Lappin are **DISMISSED** from this action, the instant § 2241 petition is **DENIED**, this civil action is **CLOSED**, and a final judgment shall be **ENTERED** in favor of Respondent Wells.

SO ORDERED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2013, at Augusta, Georgia.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>1</sup>Although Petitioner argues in his objections that the Court erred by addressing the wrong period of time for which he wishes to be credited on his remaining sentence (doc. no. 19, p. 1), the supposedly "correct" time period was clearly encompassed by the time periods addressed in the Report and Recommendation (see generally doc. no. 17). Petitioner's argument therefore presents no grounds for deviation from the Magistrate Judge's recommendation and is **OVERRULED**.