## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

## **DUBLIN DIVISION**

|                      | ORDER  |            |
|----------------------|--------|------------|
| Defendants.          |        |            |
|                      | )      |            |
| FACILITY, et al.,    | )      |            |
| WHEELER CORRECTIONAL | ,<br>) |            |
|                      | )      |            |
| v.                   | )      | CV 314-085 |
| Plaintiff,           | )      |            |
| Disingles            | )      |            |
| JAMES SNEED,         | )      |            |

Before the Court is *pro se* Plaintiff's "motion to proceed" in which he requests information on where to send his interrogatories and information on the rules of the Court. (Doc. no. 16.) As Defendants in this case have yet to be served, any discovery such as interrogatories by Plaintiff is improper at this time. <u>See</u> Loc. R. 26.1. In addition, the Court has already given Plaintiff some instructions on how to proceed with his case but cannot "serve as de facto counsel for a party. . . ." <u>In re Unsolicited Letters to Federal Judges</u>, 120 F. Supp. 2d 1073, 1074 (S.D. Ga. 2000) (citation omitted). Thus, Plaintiff's request for the Court to advise him on the rules for this District is improper. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's "motion to proceed." (Doc. no. 16.)

SO ORDERED this 22nd day of April, 2015, at Augusta, Georgia.

BRIAN K. EPPS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA