ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA DUBLIN DIVISION

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
AUTHORY DIV.

2014 DEC -2 PM 3;

CLERK 1.740 V

MENETIRONY CLERVRAIN, et al.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	CV 314-107
CHARLES SAMUEL, Director, Bureau of Prisons, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("R&R), to which objections have been filed. Plaintiffs have filed fifteen separate objections identical in all respects except for the name of the plaintiff in the first sentence and the signature. Plaintiffs have also filed fifteen separate motions to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Nothing in Plaintiffs' objections warrants deviation from the Eleventh Circuit's clear holding that multiple prisoners cannot proceed *in forma pauperis* in the same lawsuit. Hubbard v. Haley, 262 F.3d 1194, 1198 (11th Cir. 2001). Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as its opinion, DENIES Plaintiffs' motions to

proceed in forma pauperis, **DISMISSES** this case without prejudice, and **CLOSES** this civil action.

SO ORDERED this Zway of December, 2014, at Augusta, Georgia.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE