Davidson v. Emmins et al Doc. 13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

AUGUSTA DIVISION

GERALD DAVIDSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	CV 316-025
)	
WARDEN S. EMMINS, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
GERALD A. DAVIDSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	CV 316-028
)	
WARDEN S. EMMINS, et al.,))	
Defendants.)	
	ORDER	

On March 24, 2016, Plaintiff submitted a complaint brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in the Northern District of Georgia. <u>Davidson v. Emmins</u>, CV 316-025, doc. no. 1 (S.D. Ga. Mar. 31, 2016). Fourteen days later, on April 7, 2016, Plaintiff submitted another complaint brought pursuant to § 1983 in the Northern District of Georgia. <u>Davidson v. Emmins</u>, CV 316-028, doc. no. 1 (S.D. Ga. Apr. 14, 2016). Both cases were transferred from the Northern District of Georgia because both of

Plaintiff's complaints concern events that occurred at Johnson State Prison. CV 316-025, doc. no. 3; CV 316-028, doc. no. 3.

Both complaints contain similar Defendants, allegations of deliberate indifference, and underlying facts. Compare CV 316-025, doc. no. 1, pp. 2-26, with CV 316-028, doc. no. 1, pp. 3-4. For example, in both complaints Plaintiff claims (1) mistreatment by the same medical professionals; (2) deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's sores on his face, neck and back; (3) being denied Wellbutrin, a medication; and (4) that medical health professionals intentionally tampered with one of Plaintiff's blood samples. See CV 316-025, doc. no. 1; CV 316-028, doc. no. 1. Although the later-filed complaint is shorter, it is properly filed on the form for 42 U.S.C. § 1983 actions in the Northern District of Georgia, contains a more precise description of Plaintiff's claim, and is more organizationally structured. See generally CV 316-028, doc. no. 1.

Therefore, it appears that Plaintiff may have intended to simply file an amended complaint in case number CV 316-025. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall have ten days from the date of this Order to notify the Court whether he intended to file two separate cases and be potentially responsible for a total of \$700 in filing fees. Should Plaintiff fail to respond to this Order, (1) the Court will presume Plaintiff did not intend to open a new case, (2) case number CV 315-025 will proceed in the normal course of business with the amended complaint against Defendants named in the amended complaint, and (3) case number CV

315-028 will be dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 19th day of May, 2016, at Augusta, Georgia.

BRIAN K. EPPS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA