IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

MAURICE BAKER,)		ini ini	2014	C
)		s	2	S.S
Petitioner,)			AUC	20 - 7
)			2	
V.)	CASE NOS.			- Kaim
)		CR413-666	5	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		×N.	ယ္	
Respondent.)				
)		· \		

ORDER

÷.

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 4), to which objections have been filed (Doc. 6). After a careful de novo review of the record, the Court concludes that Petitioner's objections are without merit. Accordingly, the report and recommendation is **ADOPTED** as the Court's opinion in this case. As a result, Petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Petition is **DENIED**. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close this case.

In his objections, Petitioner continues to argue that the four-level enhancement for possessing a firearm in connection with another felony offense is inapplicable because the State of Georgia declined to prosecute him for that offense. (Doc. 6 at 2-3.) According to Petitioner; he discharged the firearm only in self-defense, not in the

commission of aggravated assault. (Id.) Petitioner's objections are without merit for two reasons. First, the enhancement applies "regardless of whether a criminal charge was brought, or a conviction obtained." U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual § 2K2.1 cmt. n.14(C) (2013). Second, Petitioner failed to object to the Presentence Investigation Report's characterization of the circumstances surrounding his use of the firearm, which can reasonably support a conclusion that Petitioner used the firearm in connection with the commission of aggravated assault. See United States v. Woodard, 395 F. App'x 641, 642 (11th Cir. 2010).

SO ORDERED this *M* day of August 2014.

WILLIAM T. MOORE, JH. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA